

gesis

Leibniz-Institut
für Sozialwissenschaften

**German Longitudinal
Election Study**



*Durchgeführt von der
Deutschen Gesellschaft für Wahlforschung und GESIS*

GLES Panel

Profile Wave 2024

ZA6838

*Documentation of Questionnaire
(Preliminary Version)*

The German Longitudinal Election Study (GLES) is the central scientific survey programme in Germany for the continuous collection and provision of high-quality data for national and international election research. The methodologically diverse surveys of GLES make it possible to investigate the political attitudes and behaviors of voters and candidates. GLES has been carried out in close cooperation between the German Society for Electoral Studies (DGfW) and GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences. More information are available here: www.gles.eu.

The GLES Panel allows users to study intra-individual change in political attitudes and behaviors during the election campaign, as well as investigates long-term change between federal elections.

This pre-publication of the question programme refers to the 2024 profile wave, which is referred to as sample A5 and represents an expansion of sample A. In the profile wave, eligible voters from Bilendi's opt-in online access panels are asked about basic political attitudes and behaviour and information is collected on the socio-demographic background and personality traits of the participants. Below you will find summarised information on the survey.

Please NOTE:

This documentation of the questionnaire is a preliminary version and published before data release on the website of the German Longitudinal Election Study (GLES). Therefore, it might contain errors and adjustments might be necessary due to the data collection and processing. Preliminary English translations might change due in later revisions. Please do not cite before the data are released in the [data catalogue](#) of GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences. Information on data releases can be found on the [GLES website](#) or by signing up for the [GLES newsletter](#).

As a public service to the international academic community, we provide English translations of GLES datasets, questionnaires, and related important documents. Due to specifics of the original documents in German and the fact that translations were not done by political scientists, the wording of established social and political science questions and constructs in these translations may occasionally deviate somewhat from the Standard English versions. If you have any questions concerning the translations, do not hesitate to contact the GLES staff via gles@gesis.org.

Study No.	ZA6838
Title	GLÉS Panel 2016-2024, Profile Wave 2024
Current Version	not yet published
doi	not yet published
Citation	not yet published
Number of observations	not yet collected
Number of variables	not yet collected

Basic Information

Funding Agency	GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences		
Data Collector	GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences		
Date of Collection	Expected		
	Wave	Sample	Date of Collection
	Profile Wave 2024	A5	2024-10-23 – 2014-11-19
Content	Intention to vote und vote choice, political involvement, political attitudes, perceptions of problems, evaluations of political actors, sociodemographics, personality		
Data release	not yet published		

Methodology

Geographic Coverage	Germany (DE)
Universe	[Sample A5] German population with Internet access eligible to vote in the 2025 federal elections.
Selection	[Sample A5] Quota sampling of the frame population of the Online Access Panel (Bilendi) by Age, gender and education
Mode of Data Collection	[Sample A5] Computer-assisted web-based interviews (CAWI)

Data access

User regulations	Data and documents are released for academic research and teaching with an offsite user contract: access category C. Please contact gles@gesis.org to gain access.
Anonymized data	Some collected variables are not accessible with a data use agreement due to data protection reason. All variables can be accessed by interested researchers in a Secure Data Center (SDC) at GESIS (Cologne, Mannheim). If you are interested in those variables, please send an e-mail to gles@gesis.org .

Notification of publications

To get an overview of the use of our data, we kindly request users of GLES-data to inform us about publications that utilize those data (biographic description, study no. of the dataset). Publications using GLES-data are listed in the official bibliography of the GLES project. In case of limited access to your publication (e.g. conference papers), we would highly appreciate if you could send us an electronic (PDF file, gles@gesis.org) or a print copy of your publication.

Contact

GESIS – Leibniz-Institut für Sozialwissenschaften
Postfach 122155
68072 Mannheim
E-Mail: gles@gesis.org

Variable: kpa5_screen1**Topic:** Screening**Item:** Nationality**Filter:****Presentation:**

must answer; Single response list (vertical); Plausibility check: If no answer was given, then the following text will be displayed: "Answering this question is important for the rest of the survey. Please state whether you have German citizenship." The information text is italicised and in orange.

Question:

Do you have German citizenship

- yes
- no

Screenout:

kpa5_screen1 != 1

Coding:

- (1) yes
- (2) no

Variables: kpa5_2291; kpa5_2290; kpa5_2293s

Topic: Sociodemography **Items:** Month of birth; Year of birth; Location of birth

Filter:

Presentation:

must answer; the following four questions are displayed on one screen; plausibility check: If no valid answers were given for gender, month of birth, year of birth and/or location of birth, the following request follows: "One or more answers are invalid. Please answer all questions". The information text is in italics and orange.

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Instructions:

If kpa5_2294 = 2, show: You now have the option of correcting incorrect information.

Please check carefully whether all of your answers are correct. You can continue the following surveys only if you give the exact same answers!

Question kpa5_2291:

In what month were you born?

Please select

- January
- February
- March
- April
- May
- June
- July
- August
- September
- October
- November
- December

Coding:

- (1) January
- (2) February
- (3) March
- (4) April
- (5) May
- (6) June
- (7) July
- (8) August
- (9) September
- (10) October
- (11) November
- (12) December

Filter:

Presentation:

Text field (single row) with four numerical digits; Plausibility check: for less than four numerical values. Text for plausibility check: "Please enter your year of birth in four digits.". Plausibility check (can be ignored), if entries outside 1903 and 2007. Text for plausibility check: "Please check your year of birth again."

Question kpa5_2290:

Please enter the year you were born in.

Instructions:

Use four digits, e.g. 1978.

Filter:

Presentation:

Text field (single row); Field for answer with one character; Plausibility check: Only one letter (allow umlauts) and no number or punctuation is possible; Text for plausibility check: "Please enter the first letter of your location of birth."

Question kpa5_2293s:

Please enter the first letter of your location of birth.

Instructions:

If you are not sure, enter the location of birth just as it is written on the front page of your ID card.

–

Screenout:

kpa5_2291 > 9 & kpa5_2290 = 2007 | kpa5_2290 > 2007

Variable: kpa5_2294

Topic: Soziodemography **Item:** Control verification features

Filter:

Presentation:

single response list (vertical). Displayed answers are highlighted in bold.

Instructions:

Here you can see your details again. Please check whether they are correct.

Month of birth: show kpa5_2291

Year of birth: show kpa5_2290

First letter of place of birth: show kpa5_2293s

Question:

Are your details correct?

- Yes, the information is correct.
- No, I would like to correct my details.

Coding:

(1) Yes

(2) No

Output filter:

kpa5_2294=2 => Forwarding to the previous page of the verification features.

Screenout:

If kpa5_2294 was answered five times with “No, I would like to correct my details”, i.e. if no correct details were provided in five attempts.

Variable: kpa5_2280**Topic:** Sociodemography**Item:** Gender**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical). Plausibility check if no information is provided. Plausibility text: "Please state your gender."

Question:

Please state your gender.

- male
- female
- diverse

Coding:

- (1) male
- (2) female
- (3) diverse

Variable: kpa5_2320

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: School leaving certificate

Filter:

Presentation:

must answer; single response list (vertical); plausibility check, if no answer was given: "Please NOTE that an answer to this question is mandatory for continuing the survey. If you have acquired your school leaving certificate outside of Germany, please state the respective German certificate."

Question:

What's your highest level of general education?

- Finished school without school leaving certificate
- Lowest formal qualification of Germany's tripartite secondary school system, after 8 or 9 years of schooling ("Hauptschulabschluss, Volksschulabschluss")
- Intermediary secondary qualification, after 10 years of schooling ("Mittlere Reife, Realschulabschluss, or Polytechnische Oberschule mit Abschluss 10. Klasse")
- Certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college ("Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc.)")
- Higher qualification, entitling holders to study at a university ("Abitur or Erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife)")

- Still at school

Coding:

- (1) Finished school without school leaving certificate
- (2) Lowest formal qualification of Germany's tripartite secondary school system, after 8 or 9 years of schooling ("Hauptschulabschluss, Volksschulabschluss")
- (3) Intermediary secondary qualification, after 10 years of schooling ("Mittlere Reife, Realschulabschluss or Polytechnische Oberschule mit Abschluss 10. Klasse")
- (4) Certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college/university of applied sciences ("Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc.)")
- (5) Higher qualification, entitling holders to study at a university ("Abitur or Erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife)")
- (9) Still at school

Variable: kpa5_2321**Topic:** Sociodemography**Item:** intended school leaving certificate**Filter:**

kpa5_2320 = 9

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical).

Question:

Which general school-leaving certificate are you aiming for?

- Lowest formal qualification of Germany's tripartite secondary school system, after 8 or 9 years of schooling ("Hauptschulabschluss, Volksschulabschluss")
- Intermediary secondary qualification, after 10 years of schooling ("Mittlere Reife, Realschulabschluss, or Polytechnische Oberschule mit Abschluss 10. Klasse")
- Certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college ("Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc.)")
- Higher qualification, entitling holders to study at a university ("Abitur or Erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife)")

Coding:

- (1) Lowest formal qualification of Germany's tripartite secondary school system, after 8 or 9 years of schooling ("Hauptschulabschluss, Volksschulabschluss")
- (2) Intermediary secondary qualification, after 10 years of schooling ("Mittlere Reife, Realschulabschluss or Polytechnische Oberschule mit Abschluss 10. Klasse")
- (3) Certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college/university of applied sciences ("Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc.)")
- (4) Higher qualification, entitling holders to study at a university ("Abitur or Erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife)")

Variable: kpa5_2601

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Federal state

Filter:

Presentation:

must answer, single response list (vertical); plausibility check: If no answer was given then the following text will be shown: "Answering this question is very important for the rest of the survey. Please state the federal state you live in."

Question:

And in which federal state do you live?

Instructions:

If you have several places of residence, please indicate the federal state in which your principal place of residence is located.

- Baden-Württemberg
- Bavaria
- Berlin
- Brandenburg
- Bremen
- Hamburg
- Hesse
- Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania
- Lower Saxony
- North Rhine-Westphalia
- Rhineland-Palatinate
- Saarland
- Saxony
- Saxony-Anhalt
- Schleswig-Holstein
- Thuringia

Coding:

- (1) Schleswig-Holstein
- (2) Hamburg
- (3) Lower Saxony
- (4) Bremen
- (5) North Rhine-Westphalia
- (6) Hesse
- (7) Rhineland-Palatinate
- (8) Baden-Württemberg
- (9) Bavaria
- (10) Saarland
- (11) Berlin
- (12) Brandenburg
- (13) Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania
- (14) Saxony
- (15) Saxony-Anhalt
- (16) Thuringia

Variable: kpa5_010**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics**Item:** Interest in politics, in general**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Quite generally, how interested are you in politics?

- very interested
- somewhat interested
- in between
- not very interested
- not interested at all

Coding:

- (1) very interested
- (2) somewhat interested
- (3) in between
- (4) not very interested
- (5) not at all interested

Variable: kpa5_020

Topic: General attitudes towards politics

Item: Satisfaction with Democracy

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

On the whole, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way democracy works in Germany?

- very satisfied
- fairly satisfied
- neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- not very satisfied
- not at all satisfied

Coding:

- (1) very satisfied
- (2) fairly satisfied
- (3) neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- (4) not very satisfied
- (5) not at all satisfied

Variable: kpa5_170**Topic:** Voting decision**Item:** Intention to vote**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

When elections are held a lot of people vote, others do not manage to vote or do not participate in elections for other reasons.

Question:

How likely are you to vote in the next general election in 2025?

Are you...

- certain to vote
- likely to vote
- might vote
- not likely to vote
- certain not to vote

Coding:

- (1) certain to vote
- (2) likely to vote
- (3) might vote
- (4) not likely to vote
- (5) certain not to vote

Variable: kpa5_190a, b

Topic: Vote decision

Item: Vote intention: first/second vote

Filter:

kpa5_170=1-3

Presentation:

Display sample ballot paper with first and second vote; down below "don't know"-category for first and second vote.

Intro:

You have two votes in the federal election. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party.

Question:

How will you mark your ballot?

(A) First vote:

- Candidate of the SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- Candidate of the CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- Candidate of the Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Candidate of the FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Candidate of the AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Candidate of the Die Linke
- Candidate of the BSW (Bündnis Sahra Wagenknecht)
- Candidate of Other party

- Don't know

(B) Second vote:

- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Die Linke
- BSW (Bündnis Sahra Wagenknecht)
- Other party

- Don't know

Coding:

- (4) SPD
- (1) CDU/CSU
- (6) GRÜNE
- (5) FDP
- (322) AfD
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (392) BSW
- (801) other party

- (-98) don't know

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kpa5_192a, b were recoded into the variables kpa5_190aa/kpa5_190ab and kpa5_190ba/kpa5_190bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).

Variable: kpa5_192a

Topic: Voting decision **Item:** Vote intention: first vote, other party

Filter:

kpa5_190a=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which candidate would you vote for with your FIRST vote?

Candidate of...

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- HEIMAT (Die Heimat)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:

(126) BP

(349) DiB

(151) Die PARTEI

(372) dieBasis

(171) FAMILIE

(180) FREIE WAEHLER

(331) LKR

(206) HEIMAT

(209) ödp

(215) PIRATEN

(373) Team Todenhöfer

(237) Tierschutzpartei

(364) Volt

(801) other party

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpa5_190a were recoded into the variables kpa5_190aa and kpa5_190ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kpa5_192b

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Vote intention: second vote, other party

Filter:

kpa5_190b=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party would you vote for with your SECOND vote?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- HEIMAT (Die Heimat)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:

(126) BP

(349) DiB

(151) Die PARTEI

(372) dieBasis

(171) FAMILIE

(180) FREIE WAEHLER

(331) LKR

(206) HEIMAT

(209) ödp

(215) PIRATEN

(373) Team Todenhöfer

(237) Tierschutzpartei

(364) Volt

(801) other party

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpa5_190b were recoded into the variables kpa5_190ba and kpa5_190bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kpa5_221a, c-g, l, m**Topic:** Voting decision**Item:** Consideration Set**Filter:**

(kpa5_190b>0 & <801) | (kpa5_192b>0 & <801) >> Receive intro and question

(kpa5_190b=801) & (kpa5_192b=-99 | kpa5_192b=801) >> Do not receive any intro but ONLY question

The party that was chosen for the second vote in kpa5_190b, must not appear in the answering options.

Presentation:

Standard matrix, Column labels as coding labels

Intro:

You said that you want to cast your second vote for (insert party from 190b/192b).

Question:

Are there any other parties you would consider for your second vote?

- (C) SPD
- (A) CDU/CSU
- (E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- (D) FDP
- (I) AfD
- (F) Die Linke
- (M) BSW
- (G) other party

Coding:

- (1) would consider
- (2) would rather consider
- (3) would rather not consider
- (4) would not consider

Variable: kpa5_211a, c-g, i, m

Topic: Voting decision **Item:** Consideration Set, hypothetical

Filter:

kpa5_170=4-5,-99>> Question 1

kpa5_190b=-98 | -99>> Question 2

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Question 1:

Let's assume you would take part in the election. Which party would you consider for your second vote?

Question 2:

Which parties would you consider for your second vote?

- (C) SPD
- (A) CDU/CSU
- (E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- (D) FDP
- (I) AfD
- (F) Die Linke
- (M) BSW
- (G) other party

Coding:

- (1) would consider
- (2) would probably consider
- (3) would probably not consider
- (4) would not consider

Variable: kpa5_840s**Topic:** Valence issues**Item:** Most important problem**Filter:****Presentation:**

Text field (single row) with 100% of the page width, no maximum limited number of characters; italicize "only one".

Intro:

You will now be asked about the two most important problems.

Question:

In your opinion, what is the most important problem facing Germany today?

Instructions:

Please mention *only one* problem. The second most important problem is then asked about.

Coding:

[Open answers have been coded by coding pattern [„Codierschema des wichtigsten politischen Problems“](#)]

Variable: kpa5_850

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Ability to solve the most important problem

Filter:

kpa5_840s!=-99

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party is best able to handle this problem?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- BSW
- other party

- all parties equally good
- no party

Coding:

- (4) SPD
- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (6) GRÜNE
- (5) FDP
- (322) AfD
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (392) BSW
- (801) other party

(809) all parties equally good

(808) no party

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpa5_851 were recoded into the variables kpa5_850a and kpa5_850b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kpa5_851

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Ability to solve the most important problem, other party

Filter:

kpa5_850=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which of these parties is best able to handle this problem?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- HEIMAT (Die Heimat)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- andere Partei

Coding:

(126) BP

(349) DiB

(151) Die PARTEI

(372) dieBasis

(171) FAMILIE

(180) FREIE WAEHLER

(331) LKR

(206) HEIMAT

(209) oedp

(215) PIRATEN

(373) Team Todenhöfer

(237) Tierschutzpartei

(364) Volt

(801) andere Partei

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpa5_850 were recoded into the variables kpa5_850a and kpa5_850b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kpa5_860s

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Second most important problem

Filter:

kpa5_840s != -99

Presentation:

Text field (single row) with 100% of the page width, no maximum limited number of characters; italicize "only one".

Question:

In your opinion, what is the second most important problem facing Germany today?

Instructions:

Please mention *only one* problem.

Coding:

[Open answers have been coded by coding pattern [„Codierschema des wichtigsten politischen Problems“](#)]

Variable: kpa5_870**Topic:** Valence issues**Item:** Ability to solve the second most important problem**Filter:**

kpa5_860s != -99

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party is best able to handle this problem?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- BSW
- other party

- all parties equally good
- no party

Coding:

- (4) SPD
- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (6) GRUENE
- (5) FDP
- (322) AfD
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (392) BSW
- (801) other party

(809) all parties equally good

(808) no party

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpa5_871 were recoded into the variables kpa5_870a and kpa5_870b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kpa5_871

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Ability to solve the second most important problem, other party

Filter:

kpa5_870=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which of these parties is best able to handle this problem?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- HEIMAT (Die Heimat)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:

(126) BP

(349) DiB

(151) Die PARTEI

(372) dieBasis

(171) FAMILIE

(180) FREIE WAEHLER

(331) LKR

(206) HEIMAT

(209) oedp

(215) PIRATEN

(373) Team Todenhöfer

(237) Tierschutzpartei

(364) Volt

(801) other party

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpa5_870 were recoded into the variables kpa5_870a and kpa5_870b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kpa5_2180a, b, d, f, g, k, h, o, l, n, c, j, e, i, m

Topic: Personality

Item: Big 5

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Intro:

Coming back to you.

Question screen 1:

To what extent do the following statements apply to you?

- (N) I am considerate and friendly with others.
- (A) I tend to be somewhat shy and reserved.
- (F) I work effective and efficiently.
- (G) I have an active imagination and am inventive.
- (K) I easily get nervous and uneasy.

Question screen 2:

And to what extent do the following statements apply to you?

- (B) I am extroverted and sociable.
- (D) I am lazy.
- (O) I tend to criticize others.
- (H) I have little artistic interest.
- (L) I am relaxed. Stress does not upset me.

Question screen 3:

To what extent do the following statements apply to you?

- (C) I am communicative and talkative.
- (J) I worry a lot.
- (E) I perform tasks very thoroughly.
- (I) I am inventive and propose new ideas.
- (M) Sometimes I am a little rough with others.

Coding:

- (1) does not apply at all
- (2) rather does not apply
- (3) neither applies nor does not apply
- (4) rather applies
- (5) applies completely

Variable: kpa5_3320a-f, h-k**Topic:** Personality**Item:** Schwartz values**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix on two screens; six-point scale without numerical label; only label at the endpoints "not like me at all" and "very much like me".

Intro:

In what follows, several people are described on the basis of what is important to t5010hem.

Question screen 1:

Please indicate how much each person is or is not like you.

- (A) Thinking up new ideas and being creative is important to him/her. He/she likes to do things in his/her own original way.
- (B) It is important to him/her to be rich. He/she wants to have a lot of money and expensive things.
- (C) He/she thinks it is important that every person in the world should be treated equally. He/she believes everyone should have equal opportunities in life.
- (D) It is important to him/her to show his/her abilities. He/she wants people to admire what he/she does.
- (E) It is important to him/her to live in secure surroundings. He/she avoids anything that might endanger his/her safety.

Question screen 2:

And how much is this person like or not like you?

- (F) He/she likes surprises and is always looking for new things to do. He/she thinks it is important to do lots of different things in life.
- (H) It is important to him/her to listen to people who are different from him/her. Even when he/she disagrees with them, he/she still wants to understand them.
- (I) It is important to him/her to make his/her own decisions about what he/she does. He/she likes to be free and not depend on others.
- (J) It is important to him/her to be humble and modest. He/she tries not to draw attention to himself/herself.
- (K) It is very important to him/her to help the people around him/her. He/she wants to care for their well-being.

Coding:

- (1) 1 not like me at all
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6 very much like me

Variable: kpa5_1572

Topic: Personality

Item: Willingness to take risks

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "risk averse", "very willing to take risks"

Question:

How do you see yourself: Are you generally a person who is fully prepared to take risks or do you try to avoid taking risks?

Coding:

(1) risk averse

(2)

(3)

(4)

(5)

(6)

(7)

(8)

(9)

(10)

(11) very willing to take risks

Variable: kpa5_3320l, m, n, o, p, q, s, t, u**Topic:** Personality**Item:** Schwartz values**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix on two screens; six-point scale without numerical label; only label at the endpoints "not like me at all" and "very much like me"

Intro:

Here you find again a description of several people on the basis of what is important to them.

Question screen 1:

Please indicate how much each person is or is not like you.

(L) Being very successful is important to him/her. He/she hopes people will recognise his/her achievements.

(M) It is important to him/her that the government ensures his/her safety against all threats. He/she wants the state to be strong so it can defend its citizens.

(N) It is important to him/her always to behave properly. He/she wants to avoid doing anything people would say is wrong.

(O) It is important to him/her to get respect from others. He/she wants people to do what he/she says.

(P) It is important to him/her to be loyal to his/her friends. He/she wants to devote himself/herself to people close to him/her.

Question screen 2:

And how much is this person like or not like you?

(Q) He/she thinks people should follow rules at all times. He/she believes that people should do what they're told.

(S) He/she looks for adventures and likes to take risks. He/she wants to have an exciting life.

(T) It is important to the person to preserve the customs that he/she has learned. He/she thinks it is best to do things the traditional way.

(U) He/she strongly believes that people should care for nature. Looking after the environment is important to him/her.

Coding:

(1) 1 not like me at all

(2) 2

(3) 3

(4) 4

(5) 5

(6) 6 very much like me

Variable: kpa5_5000a-c

Topic: Predispositions

Item: National identity as social identity

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Question:

Please state whether the statements apply to you or not.

- (A) Being German is very important to me.
- (B) When talking about the Germans, I say "we" more often than "they".
- (C) The adjective "German" suits me very well.

Coding:

- (1) does not apply at all
- (2) rather does not apply
- (3) neither applies nor does not apply
- (4) rather applies
- (5) applies completely

Variable: kpa5_5010a-j**Topic:** Predispositions **Item:** Form of national identity**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix

Question screen 1:

Some people say that the following things are important for being truly German. Others say they are not important. How important do you think each of the following is? How important is it...

- (A) ...to have been born in Germany.
- (B) ...to have German ancestry.
- (C) ...to have lived in Germany for all of one's life.
- (D) ...to share German customs and norms.
- (E) ...to speak German without sketches

Question screen 2:

And how important are the following things to be truly German? How important is it...

- (F) ... to have a Christian world view.
- (G) ... to respect German political institutions and laws.
- (H) ... to have democratic convictions.
- (I) ... to attend to one's civic duties.
- (J) ... to treat people of all backgrounds equally.

Coding:

- (1) not important at all
- (2) not very important
- (3) in between
- (4) somewhat important
- (5) very important

Variable: kpa5_780

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Personal economic situation, current

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical), italicize "own".

Intro:

Now, let us shift attention to your economic situation.

Question:

How would you evaluate your *own* current economic situation?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:

- (1) very good
- (2) good
- (3) neither good nor bad
- (4) bad
- (5) very bad

Variable: kpa5_770**Topic:** Valence issues**Item:** Responsibility for personal economic situation**Filter:**

kpa5_780=1-5

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical); "don't know"- category is settled out a bit.

Question:

To what extent do you think that the federal government's policies are responsible for your economic situation?

- a great deal
- a fair amount
- moderately
- not very much
- not at all
- don't know

Coding:

- (1) a great deal
- (2) a fair amount
- (3) moderately
- (4) not very much
- (5) not at all

(-98) don't know

Variable: kpa5_790

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Personal economic situation, future

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical), italicize "own".

Question:

What do you think your own economic situation will be a year from now?

- improved considerably
- improved somewhat
- remained the same
- deteriorated somewhat
- deteriorated considerably

Coding:

- (1) improved considerably
- (2) improved somewhat
- (3) remained the same
- (4) deteriorated somewhat
- (5) deteriorated considerably

Variable: kpa5_820**Topic:** Valence issues **Item:** General economic situation, current**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How would you evaluate the current general economic situation in Germany?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:

- (1) very good
- (2) good
- (3) neither good nor bad
- (4) bad
- (5) very bad

Variable: kpa5_810

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Responsibility for general economic situation

Filter:

kpa5_820=1-5

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)); "don't know"- category is settled out a bit.

Question:

To what extent do you think that the government's policies are responsible for the general economic situation in Germany?

- a great deal
- a fair amount
- moderately
- not very much
- not at all

- don't know

Coding:

- (1) a great deal
- (2) a fair amount
- (3) moderately
- (4) not very much
- (5) not at all

(-98) don't know

Variable: kpa5_830**Topic:** Valence issues**Item:** General economic situation, future**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

What do you think the general economic situation in Germany will be a year from now?

- Improved considerably
- Improved somewhat
- Remained the same
- Deteriorated somewhat
- Deteriorated considerably

Coding:

- (1) Improved considerably
- (2) Improved somewhat
- (3) Remained the same
- (4) Deteriorated somewhat
- (5) Deteriorated considerably

Variable: kpa5_050a, e, h, k, l

Topic: General attitudes towards politics

Item: Efficacy and duty to vote

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Intro:

Here are some common statements on politics and society. You can agree with the following statements to a greater or lesser extent.

Question:

Please state whether you agree or disagree with each statement.

- (A) Politicians care about what ordinary people think.
- (E) Politicians try to get in close contact with the population.
- (H) I have the confidence to take active part in a discussion about political issues.
- (K) I am perfectly able to understand and assess important political questions.
- (L) In a democracy, it is the duty of all citizens to vote regularly in elections.

Coding:

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree
- (4) agree
- (5) strongly agree

Variable: kpa5_1500

Topic: Political positions **Item:** Left-right self-assessment

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix with numerical label from 1 to 11; label of endpoints from "1 left" to "11 right"

Intro:

In politics people often talk of "left" and "right".

Question:

Where would you place yourself?

- 1 left
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11 right

- don't know

Coding:

- (1) 1 left
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7
- (8) 8
- (9) 9
- (10) 10
- (11) 11 right

- (-98) don't know

Variable: kpa5_1090

Topic: Political positions **Item:** Socio-economic dimension, ego

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "lower taxes, although this results in less social services" and "more social services, although this results in raising taxes".

Question:

Some people prefer lower taxes, although this results in less social services. Others prefer more social services, although this results in raising taxes.

What is your personal view on this issue?

- lower taxes, although this results in less social services
-
-
-
-
-
- more social services, although this results in raising taxes

Coding:

- (1) 1 lower taxes, although this results in less social services
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 more social services, although this results in raising taxes

Variable: kpa5_1130

Topic: Political positions **Item:** Libertarian-authoritarian dimension, ego

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "immigration for foreigners should be easier", "immigration for foreigners should be more difficult"

Question:

Some want to make it easier for foreigners to immigrate, while others want to make it more difficult for foreigners to immigrate.

What is your personal view on this issue?

- immigration for foreigners should be easier
-
-
-
-
-
- immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

Coding:

- (1) 1 immigration for foreigners should be easier
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

Variable: kpa5_1290

Topic: Political positions **Item:** Climate change, ego

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth", "economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change"

Question:

Some say that the fight against climate change should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth. Others say that the economic growth should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change.

What is your personal view on this issue?

- fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth
-
-
-
-
- economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

Coding:

- (1) 1 fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

Variable: kpa5_1200**Topic:** Political positions**Item:** Sanctions against Russia, Ego**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale with 7 points without numerical labels. Labels of the end points: 'Germany should ease sanctions against Russia', "Germany should tighten sanctions against Russia".

Question:

Some believe that Germany should ease the sanctions against Russia. Others believe that Germany should tighten sanctions against Russia.

And what is your personal opinion on the subject of "sanctions against Russia"?

- Germany should ease the sanctions against Russia
-
-
-
-
- Germany should tighten sanctions against Russia

Coding:

- (1) 1 Germany should ease the sanctions against Russia
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 Germany should tighten sanctions against Russia

Variable: kpa5_060b, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, l, kpa5_2880be

Topic: General attitudes towards politics

Item: Extremism/Authoritarianism

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Question on screen 1:

Here you can find several statements with which some people agree, while others do not.

How about you?

- (E) Under certain circumstances, a dictatorship is the better form of government.
- (F) The GDR had more good than bad aspects.
- (G) Socialism is a good idea which has just been poorly implemented in the past.
- (L) It would be better if decisions were taken by unelected, independent experts rather than by politicians or the citizens.
- (I) It should be made clear to troublemakers that they are not wanted in society.

Question on screen 2:

And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

- (H) The world would be a better place if people in other countries were more like Germans.
- (J) We need strong leaders so we can live safely in society.
- (kpa5_2880be) To ensure that this survey is completed by a human being, please click on 'disagree' here.
- (B) The main business enterprises must be nationalized.
- (D) The general welfare ranks much higher than the interest of groups and associations

Coding:

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree
- (4) agree
- (5) strongly agree

Variable: kpa5_1260

Topic: Political positions **Item:** Globalization, ego

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale with 7 points without numerical labels. Labels of the end points: "loser", "winner"; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit.

Question:

Do you see yourself as a loser or a winner of globalisation?

- loser
-
-
-
-
-
- winner

- don't know

Coding:

- (1) loser
- (2)
- (3)
- (4)
- (5)
- (6)
- (7) winner

- (-98) don't know

Variable: kpa5_1590

Topic: Political positions **Item:** Position issue: Gender equality, ego

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; 7-point scale without numerical labelling, labels at the endpoints: "state equality measures still do not go far enough", "state equality measures already go much too far".

Question:

Now we would like to know what you think about state measures for the equality of women in society. Some think that state equality measures by no means go far enough, others think that they already go much too far today.

What is your opinion on this issue?

- State equality measures by no means go far enough.
-
-
-
-
-
- State equality measures already go much too far.

Coding:

- (1) 1 State equality measures by no means go far enough.
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 State equality measures already go much too far.

Variable: kpa5_1250

Topics: Political positions **Item:** European integration, ego

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "European unification should be pushed further", "European unification has already gone too far".

Question:

Should the European unification be pushed further in order to establish a joint government soon or has the European unification already gone too far?

What is your personal view on this issue?

- European unification should be pushed further
-
-
-
-
-
- European unification has already gone too far

Coding:

- (1) European unification should be pushed further
- (2)
- (3)
- (4)
- (5)
- (6)
- (7) European unification has already gone too far

Variable: kpa5_160a, b, c, j, p1, p2, r

Topic: General attitudes towards politics

Item: Confidence in institutions

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Intro:

Let's talk about the following public institutions.

Question:

Please state if you trust these institutions or not.

How about ...

- (A) the Bundestag?
- (C) the Government
- (B) the Federal Constitutional Court?
- (J) the German armed forces?
- (P1) public service broadcasting?
- (P2) social media?
- (Q) the police?
- (R) the science?

Coding:

- (1) I do not trust at all
- (2) I rather do not trust
- (3) neither trust nor distrust
- (4) I rather trust
- (5) I fully trust

Variable: kpa5_430a-f, l, m**Topic:** Party ratings in general**Item:** Scalometer parties**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the party at all" and +5 "I think a great deal of the party". "haven't heard of" settled out a bit

Question:

What do you think of the different parties in general?

Instructions:

Please use a scale from -5 to +5.

- (A) CDU
- (B) CSU
- (C) SPD
- (D) FDP
- (E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- (F) Die Linke
- (I) AfD
- (M) BSW

Coding:

- (1) -5 I do not think much of the party at all
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 I think a great deal of the party

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

Variable: kpa5_5021

Topic: General attitudes towards politics

Item: Difference parties

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Question:

Thinking about the current political discussions, how large would you say the differences between the parties are?

Coding:

- (1) 1 no differences at all
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5 major differences

Variable: kpa5_730**Topic:** Party ratings in general**Item:** Scalometer government**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

Question:

Are you more satisfied or less satisfied with the performance of the government of SPD, Bündnis 90 / Die Grünen and FDP?

- -5 not at all satisfied
- -4
- -3
- -2
- -1
- 0
- +1
- +2
- +3
- +4
- +5 completely satisfied

Coding:

- (1) -5 not at all satisfied
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 completely satisfied

Variable: kpa5_731d-f

Topic: Party ratings in general

Item: Scalometer governing parties

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

Question:

And considering each of the governing parties separately, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with their performance? How satisfied are you with the performance of the...?

(D) SPD

(F) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen

(E) FDP

Coding:

(1) -5 not at all satisfied

(2) -4

(3) -3

(4) -2

(5) -1

(6) 0

(7) +1

(8) +2

(9) +3

(10) +4

(11) +5 completely satisfied

Variable: kpa5_5020**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics**Item:** Difference government**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix

Question:

Some people say that it makes a big difference who is in power in Berlin. Others say that it doesn't make any difference who is in power. How about you?

Coding:

- (1) 1 It doesn't make any difference who is in power.
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5 It makes a big difference who is in power.

Variable: kpa5_3103a-h

Topic: General attitudes towards politics

Item: Populism

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Intro:

Here you can find some more statements on politics and society with which some people agree, while others do not.

Question on screen 1:

How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

- (A) Politicians talk too much and take too little action.
- (B) Ordinary people are of good and honest character.
- (C) The people should have the final say on the most important political issues by voting on them directly in referendums.
- (D) Ordinary people all pull together.

Question on screen 2:

And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

- (E) Differences between the people and the elite are larger than the differences among the people.
- (F) The people, and not politicians, should make our most important policy decisions.
- (G) The politicians in Parliament need to follow the will of the people.
- (H) Ordinary people share the same values and interests.

Coding:

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree
- (4) agree
- (5) strongly agree

Variable: kpa5_650 h2, o1, l1, m2, s, x1, y, k1

Topic: Candidates **Item:** Scalometer politicians

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the person at all", +5 "I think a great deal of the person"; "haven't heard of" - category is settled out a bit

Question screen 1:

Please state what you think of some leading politicians.

(H2) Alice Weidel

(O1) Olaf Scholz

(L1) Robert Habeck

(M2) Boris Pistorius

Question screen 2:

And what do you think of the following politicians?

(S) Christian Lindner

(X1) Friedrich Merz

(Y) Sahra Wagenknecht

(K1) Markus Söder

Coding:

(1) -5 I do not think much of the person at all

(2) -4

(3) -3

(4) -2

(5) -1

(6) 0

(7) +1

(8) +2

(9) +3

(10) +4

(11) +5 I think a great deal of the person

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

Variable: kpa5_2780

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Turnout federal election 2021

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

In the last federal election on 26th September 2021 a lot of people did not get around to voting or did not participate in the election for other reasons.

Question:

How about you? Did you vote, did you not vote, or were you not eligible to vote at all?

- Yes, I voted.
- No, I didn't vote.

- I wasn't entitled to vote.
- I don't know anymore.

Coding:

(1) yes, I voted

(2) no, I didn't vote

(-86) not entitled to vote

(-98) don't know

Variable: kpa5_350a, b

Topic: Voting decision **Item:** Recall previous federal election first/second vote

Filter:

kpa5_2780==1 | -99

Presentation:

Display sample ballot paper with first/second vote; "don't know"-category below.

Question:

Which parties did you vote for at the last federal election in September 2021?

(A) First vote:

- Candidate of the SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- Candidate of the CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- Candidate of the Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Candidate of the FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Candidate of the AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Candidate of the Die Linke
- Candidate of another party

- I didn't cast a first vote
- Don't know anymore

(B) Second vote:

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- Other party

- I didn't cast a second vote
- Don't know anymore

Coding:

- (4) SPD
- (1) CDU/CSU
- (6) GRUENE
- (5) FDP
- (322) AfD
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (801) other party

(-84) didn't cast a first/second vote

(-98) don't know

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kpa5_351a, b were recoded into the variables kpa5_350aa/kpa5_350ab and kpa5_350ba/kpa5_350bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kpa5_353a

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Recall previous federal election first vote, other party

Filter:

kpa5_350a = 801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which candidate did you vote for with your FIRST vote?

Candidate of...

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- HEIMAT (Die Heimat)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:

(126) BP

(349) DiB

(151) Die PARTEI

(372) dieBasis

(171) FAMILIE

(180) FREIE WAEHLER

(331) LKR

(206) HEIMAT

(209) oedp

(215) PIRATEN

(373) Team Todenhöfer

(237) Tierschutzpartei

(364) Volt

(801) other party

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpa5_350a were recoded into the variables kpa5_350aa and kpa5_350ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kpa5_353b**Topic:** Voting decision**Item:** Recall previous federal election second vote, other party**Filter:**

kpa5_350b=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party did you vote for with your SECOND vote?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- HEIMAT (Die Heimat)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:

(126) BP

(349) DiB

(151) Die PARTEI

(372) dieBasis

(171) FAMILIE

(180) FREIE WAEHLER

(331) LKR

(206) HEIMAT

(209) oedp

(215) PIRATEN

(373) Team Todenhöfer

(237) Tierschutzpartei

(364) Volt

(801) other party

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpa5_350b were recoded into the variables kpa5_350ba and kpa5_350bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kpa5_090

Topic: Political Knowledge

Item: Political knowledge: 5%-threshold

Filter:

Presentation:

Text field (single row) with three characters; the word “percent” should appear after the text field; numbers with decimal places may be entered; letters may not be entered. Only values between 0 and 100 are permitted. Plausibility check if no numbers were entered: "Please enter a number."; with "don't-know-category" as answering option; programming so that no respondent is able to make inconsistent answers (e.g. ticking a checkbox and entering a percentage). Plausibility check text: “The combination of entering a number and selecting ‘don't know’ is not possible.”. Text for plausibility check if value above 100 or below 0 entered: “Please enter a value between 0 and 100 percent.” The plausibility checks can be ignored.

Intro:

Now we have three questions for you about elections in Germany.

Question:

Which percentage of votes does a party definitely need to send representatives to the Bundestag?

- at least ___ percent
- don't know

Coding:

(-98) don't know

[NOTE: Information is stored in two sub-variables, one text field and one ‘don't know’. As additional information, the data set contains the variable kpa5_090_v1 (“Political knowledge: 5% clause (dummy true/false)”), which only differentiates between correct and incorrect answers to the question about the 5% clause.

Variable: kpa5_110**Topic:** Political Knowledge**Item:** Political knowledge: First/second vote**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical); "don't know"- category is settled out a bit.

Question:

What do you think: Which vote decides how many seats each party will have in parliament?

- the first vote
- the second vote
- both are equally important

- don't know

Coding:

- (1) the first vote
- (2) the second vote
- (3) both are equally important

(-98) don't know

[NOTE: The correct answer (2 – the second vote) has been marked with an asterisk (*).]

Variable: kpa5_130

Topic: Political Knowledge

Item: Political knowledge: Electoral law

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical); "don't know"- category is settled out a bit.

Question:

Who elects the Chancellor of the Federal Republic of Germany?

- the Federal Council (Bundesrat)
- the Federal Assembly (Bundesversammlung)
- the Federal Parliament (Bundestag)
- the people

- don't know

Coding:

- (1) the Federal Council (Bundesrat)
- (2) the Federal Assembly (Bundesversammlung)
- (3) the Federal Parliament (Bundestag)
- (4) the people

(-98) don't know

[NOTE: The correct answer (3 – the Federal Parliament) has been marked with an asterisk (*).]

Variable: kpa5_1632**Topic:** Media use**Item:** Private Internet use, frequency**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How often do you use the Internet for private purposes, regardless of whether you are using a computer, laptop, tablet computer or smartphone?

- several times a day
- once a day
- several times a week
- once a week or less
- never

Coding:

- (1) several times a day
- (2) once a day
- (3) several times a week
- (4) once a week or less
- (5) never

Variable: kpa5_1621a-c, e-i

Topic: Media use

Item: Most important source of information, usually

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical), italicize "in general"

Intro:

There are several ways to stay updated on politics.

Question:

Which is *in general* your main source of information on politics and parties?

Instructions:

Multiple answers are possible.

- (A) television (incl. media center)
- (B) newspaper (incl. online offer)
- (C) radio (incl. web radio)
- (G) Social Media (e.g. Facebook, X /Twitter)
- (I) Podcast
- (H) other internet providers (e.g. email provider, blog)
- (E) personal conversations
- (F) another source

Coding:

- (1) mentioned
- (2) not mentioned

Variable: kpa5_1600**Topic:** Media use**Item:** Internet use, politically current**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical), italicize "past week"

Question:On how many days during the *past week* did you use the Internet to inform yourself about politics?

- not at all
- on one day
- on 2 days
- on 3 days
- on 4 days
- on 5 days
- on 6 days
- on 7 days

Coding:

- (1) 0 days
- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

Variable: kpa5_2090

Topic: Predispositions **Item:** Party identification

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

In Germany, many people lean towards a particular party for a long time, although they may occasionally vote for a different party.

Question:

How about you, do you in general lean towards a particular party? If so, which one?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- BSW
- other party

- no party

Coding:

- (4) SPD
- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (6) GRUENE
- (5) FDP
- (322) AfD
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (392) BSW
- (801) other party

- (808) no party

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpa5_2091 were recoded into the variables kpa5_2090a and kpa5_2090b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kpa5_2091

Topic: Predispositions **Item:** Party identification, other party

Filter:

kpa5_2090=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which one of these parties do you feel close to?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- HEIMAT (Die Heimat)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:

(126) BP

(349) DiB

(151) Die PARTEI

(372) dieBasis

(171) FAMILIE

(180) FREIE WAEHLER

(331) LKR

(206) HEIMAT

(209) oedp

(215) PIRATEN

(373) Team Todenhöfer

(237) Tierschutzpartei

(364) Volt

(801) other party

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpa5_2090 were recoded into the variables kpa5_2090a and kpa5_2090b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kpa5_2100

Topic: Predispositions **Item:** Party identification, strength

Filter:

kpa5_2090>0 & <=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean towards this party?

- very strongly
- fairly strongly
- moderately
- fairly weakly
- very weakly

Coding:

- (1) very strongly
- (2) fairly strongly
- (3) moderately
- (4) fairly weakly
- (5) very weakly

Variable: kpa5_2095

Topic: Predispositions **Item:** Multiple party identification

Filter:

kpa5_2090=1-801; do not display party named at kpa5_2090 anymore. If kpa5_2090=1 (CDU/CSU), then show neither response option 2 (CDU) nor response option 3 (CSU). If kpa5_2090=2 | 3, then do not display response option 1 and the opposite answer option 2 or 3 at kpa5_2095 anymore.

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Some people lean towards more than one party, while others don't. How about you? Do you lean towards another political party?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- BSW
- other party

- no other party

Coding:

- (4) SPD
- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (6) GRUENE
- (5) FDP
- (322) AfD
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (392) BSW
- (801) other party

(808) no other party

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kpa5_2096 were recoded into the variables kpa5_2095a and kpa5_2095b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kpa5_2096

Topic: Predispositions **Item:** Multiple party identification, other party

Filter:

kpa5_2095=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which one of these parties do you feel close to?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- HEIMAT (Die Heimat)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:

(126) BP

(349) DiB

(151) Die PARTEI

(372) dieBasis

(171) FAMILIE

(180) FREIE WAEHLER

(331) LKR

(206) HEIMAT

(209) oedp

(215) PIRATEN

(373) Team Todenhöfer

(237) Tierschutzpartei

(364) Volt

(801) other party

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kpa5_2095 were recoded into the variables kpa5_2095a and kpa5_2095b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kpa5_2101**Topic:** Predispositions **Item:** Multiple party identification, strength**Filter:**

kpa5_2095=1-801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean towards this party?

- very strongly
- fairly strongly
- moderately
- fairly weakly
- very weakly

Coding:

- (1) very strongly
- (2) fairly strongly
- (3) moderately
- (4) fairly weakly
- (5) very weakly

Variable: kpa5_2441

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Household size

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

You have almost reached the end of the survey. Finally, some questions concerning you personally.

Question:

How many people live in your household permanently, including yourself and all children?

- 1 person
- 2 people
- 3 people
- 4 people
- 5 people
- 6 people
- 7 people
- 8 people and more

Coding:

- (1) 1 person
- (2) 2 people
- (3) 3 people
- (4) 4 people
- (5) 5 people
- (6) 6 people
- (7) 7 people
- (8) 8 people and more

Variable: kpa5_2450

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Number members of the household under 18 years

Filter:

kpa5_2441>1

kpa5_2290 = 2006 & kpa5_2291 < 10 | kpa5_2290 < 2006 --> Question 1

kpa5_2290 = 2006 & kpa5_2291 >= 10 | kpa5_2290 = 2007 --> Question 2

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical); Answer options are dependent on the question kpa5_2441. If kpa5_2441 > 1 household members, the answer categories from "nobody" to "(n-1) people" will be shown.

Question 1:

How many people living in your household are younger than 18 years?

Question 2:

How many people living in your household -apart from yourself- are under the age of 18?

- nobody
- 1 person
- 2 people
- 3 people
- 4 people
- 5 people
- 6 people
- 7 people and more

Coding:

- (1) nobody
- (2) 1 person
- (3) 2 people
- (4) 3 people
- (5) 4 people
- (6) 5 people
- (7) 6 people
- (8) 7 people and more

Variable: kpa5_2301

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Marital status

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

What is your marital status?

Instructions:

Please treat a registered civil partnership like a marriage.

- married and living in the same household as husband or wife
- have a husband or wife but don't live in the same household
- unmarried
- divorced
- widowed

Coding:

- (1) married and living in the same household as husband or wife
- (2) have a husband or wife but don't live in the same household
- (5) unmarried
- (6) divorced
- (7) widowed

Variable: kpa5_2311

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Respondent has a partner

Filter:

kpa5_2301=2 | 5-7 | -99

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Do you have a partner?

- yes
- no

Coding:

- (1) yes
- (2) no

Variable: kpa5_2312

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Partner living in the same household

Filter:

kpa5_2311=1

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Do you live with your partner together in one household?

- yes
- no

Coding:

- (1) yes
- (2) no

Variable: kpa5_2330

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Vocational and professional training

Filter:

kpa5_2320=1-5 |-99

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

What kind of vocational training did you complete?

- on-the-job vocational training with final certificate, but not within a traineeship or apprenticeship scheme ("Beruflich-betriebliche Anlernzeit mit Abschlusszeugnis, aber keine Lehre")
- compact vocational training course ("Teilfacharbeiterabschluss")
- completed trades/crafts or agricultural traineeship ("Abgeschlossene gewerbliche oder landwirtschaftliche Lehre")
- completed commercial traineeship ("Abgeschlossene kaufmännische Lehre")
- work placement/internship ("Berufliches Praktikum, Volontariat")
- specialized vocational college certificate ("Berufsfachschulabschluss")
- technical or vocational college certificate ("Fachschulabschluss")
- master (craftsman), technician or equivalent college certificate ("Meister, Technikerabschluss")
- polytechnic/University of applied sciences degree ("Fachhochschulabschluss")
- university degree ("Hochschulabschluss")

- other vocational training certificate
- still training/studying
- no completed vocational training

Coding:

- (1) on-the-job vocational training with final certificate, but not within a traineeship or apprenticeship scheme ("Beruflich-betriebliche Anlernzeit mit Abschlusszeugnis, aber keine Lehre")
- (2) compact vocational training course ("Teilfacharbeiterabschluss")
- (3) completed trades/crafts or agricultural traineeship ("Abgeschlossene gewerbliche oder landwirtschaftliche Lehre")
- (4) completed commercial traineeship ("Abgeschlossene kaufmännische Lehre")
- (5) work placement/internship ("Berufliches Praktikum, Volontariat")
- (6) specialized vocational college certificate ("Berufsfachschulabschluss")
- (7) technical or vocational college certificate ("Fachschulabschluss")
- (8) master (craftsman), technician or equivalent college certificate ("Meister, Technikerabschluss")
- (9) polytechnic/University of applied sciences degree ("Fachhochschulabschluss")
- (10) university degree ("Hochschulabschluss")
- (12) other vocational training certificate
- (13) still training/studying
- (14) no completed vocational training

Variable: kpa5_2340

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Gainful employment

Filter:

kpa5_2320!=9

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

Let's move on to your gainful employment and profession.

Question:

Which of the categories from this list applies to you?

- in full-time employment (more than 30 hours/week)
- in part-time employment (up to 30 hours/week)
- in a traineeship or apprenticeship
- high school student
- college student
- currently on a retraining course
- currently unemployed
- currently on short-time work
- alternative community service (Bundesfreiwilligendienst, Freiwilliges Soziales Jahr (FSJ), Freiwilliges Oekologisches Jahr (FOEJ))
- in early retirement, retirement, on a pension (formerly employed)
- on maternity leave, parental leave
- not in full or part-time employment (Housewife/househusband)

Coding:

- (1) in full-time employment
- (2) in part-time employment
- (3) in a traineeship or apprenticeship
- (4) high school student
- (5) college student
- (6) retraining course
- (7) unemployed
- (8) short-time work
- (9) alternative community service
- (10) in early retirement, retirement, on a pension
- (11) maternal/parental leave
- (12) no employment (housewife/househusband)

Variable: kpa5_2350

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Former gainful employment

Filter:

kpa5_2340=4-7 | 9-12 | -99

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Have you worked in a full-time or part-time job in the past?

- yes
- no

Coding:

- (1) yes
- (2) no

Variable: kpa5_2371

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Duration of unemployment

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Have you ever been unemployed for more than two consecutive years in the last 10 years?

- yes
- no

Coding:

- (1) yes
- (2) no

Variable: kpa5_2380

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Profession

Filter:

kpa5_2340=1-3, 8

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

Let's move on to your profession.

Question:

Which of the categories from this list applies best to you?

- independent farmer
- independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
- self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
- officials /judges / professional soldier
- employee
- worker
- in vocational training
- family member assisting in family business

Coding:

- (1) independent farmer
- (2) independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
- (3) self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
- (4) officials /judges/professional soldier
- (5) employee
- (6) worker
- (7) in vocational training
- (8) family member assisting in family business

Variable: kpa5_3610

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Employee - differentiation

Filter:

kpa5_2380=5

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which one of the following descriptions matches your profession best?

- foreman and head workman in employment
- employee with simple duties (e.g. salesperson, clerk, typist)
- employee under loose supervision carrying out complex tasks independently (e.g. senior clerical staff, bookkeeper, draughtsman)
- employee carrying out responsible tasks independently or with limited responsibility for others (e.g. researcher, manager, head of department)
- employee with wide managerial responsibilities and decision-making powers (e.g. head of organisation/association, managing director, executive of large firm or organisation)

Coding:

- (1) foreman
- (2) simple duties
- (3) complex tasks under loose supervision
- (4) tasks with responsibility
- (5) wide managerial responsibilities

Variable: kpa5_3620

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Worker – differentiation

Filter:

kpa5_2380=6

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which of the following descriptions matches your profession best?

- unskilled and semiskilled worker
- skilled worker and crafter
- foreman, master, site foreman

Coding:

- (1) unskilled and semiskilled worker
- (2) skilled worker and crafter
- (3) foreman, master, site foreman

Variable: kpa5_3630

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Independent professional – differentiation

Filter:

kpa5_2380=2 | 3

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How many employees does your business have?

- no employees
- 1-9 employees
- 10 employees and more

Coding:

- (1) no employees
- (2) 1-9 employees
- (3) 10 employees and more

Variable: kpa5_3640**Topic:** Sociodemography **Item:** Civil servant – differentiation**Filter:**

kpa5_2380=4

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

In what service class do you work?

- lower grade of civil service (up to and including "Oberamtsleiter")
- middle grade of civil service (from "Assistant" to "Hauptsekretär/Amtsinspektor")
- upper grade of civil service (from "Inspektor" to "Oberamtsmann/Oberamtsrat")
- higher grade of the civil service, judges ("Regierungsrat" and higher)

Coding:

- (1) lower grade
- (2) middle grade
- (3) upper grade
- (4) higher grade of civil service, judge

Variable: kpa5_3650

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Employment sector

Filter:

kpa5_2380 =5-7

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Which type of company do you work for?

- public sector
- company owned wholly or partly by the Federal Government or Federal States
- non-profit company
- private sector company

Coding:

- (1) public sector
- (2) company owned wholly or partly by the Federal Government or Federal States
- (3) non-profit company
- (4) private sector company

Variable: kpa5_3660

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Sector of the economy

Filter:

kpa5_2340 =1-3, 8

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

What kind of business/industry are you working in?

- agriculture, forestry, fishery
- energy industry, water sector, mining
- manufacturing
- construction and real estate
- trade
- hotel and catering
- transport
- banking, insurances
- information technology, data processing
- other commercial services (e.g. legal or economic counselling, legal services)
- administration
- education, research and development
- social services (nursing, support, therapy, etc.)
- other services

Coding:

- (1) agriculture, forestry, fishery
- (2) energy industry, water sector, mining
- (3) manufacturing
- (4) construction and real estate
- (5) trade
- (6) hotel and catering
- (7) transport
- (8) banking, insurances
- (9) information technology, data processing
- (10) other commercial services (e.g. legal or economic counselling, legal services)
- (11) administration
- (12) education, research and development
- (13) social services (nursing, support, therapy, etc.)
- (14) other services

Variable: kpa5_3670

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Temporary/agency work

Filter:

kpa5_2380 =4-6

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

In what kind of employment contract are you working?

- normal, fixed term
- normal, permanent
- temporary/agency, fixed term
- temporary/agency, permanent

Coding:

- (1) normal, fixed term
- (2) normal, permanent
- (3) temporary/agency, fixed term
- (4) temporary/agency, permanent

Variable: kpa5_3680

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Fear of job loss

Filter:

kpa5_2380 =4-7

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Are you worried that you might lose your job or have to change your job in the next two years?

- no
- yes, I am worried about becoming unemployed
- yes, I am worried about having to change my job
- yes, I am worried about both

Coding:

- (1) no
- (2) yes, I am worried about becoming unemployed
- (3) yes, I am worried about having to change my job
- (4) yes, I am worried about both

Variable: kpa5_3690

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Fear of losing professional career

Filter:

kpa5_2380 =1-3, 8

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Are you worried that you might lose your present professional career in the next two years or have to change your profession?

- no
- yes, I am worried that I might lose my present professional career
- yes, I am worried that I have to change my profession
- yes, I am worried about both

Coding:

- (1) no
- (2) yes, I am worried that I might lose present professional career
- (3) yes, I am worried that I have to change profession
- (4) yes, I am worried about both

Variable: kpa5_2390

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Former profession

Filter:

kpa5_2350=1

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

What was your former profession? Please select the category that applies best to you.

- independent farmer
- independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
- self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
- officials /judges / professional soldier
- employee
- worker
- in vocational training
- family member assisting in family business

Coding:

- (1) independent farmer
- (2) independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
- (3) self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
- (4) officials /judges / professional soldier
- (5) employee
- (6) worker
- (7) in vocational training
- (8) family member assisting in family business

Variable: kpa5_3710

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Former profession, employee – differentiation

Filter:

kpa5_2390=5

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which of the following descriptions matches your former profession best?

- foreman and head workman in employment
- employee with simple duties (e.g. salesperson, clerk, typist)
- employee under loose supervision carrying out complex tasks independently (e.g. senior clerical staff, bookkeeper, draughtsman)
- employee carrying out responsible tasks independently or with limited responsibility for others (e.g. researcher, manager, head of department)
- employee with wide managerial responsibilities and decision-making powers (e.g. head of organisation/association, managing director, executive of large firm or organisation)

Coding:

- (1) foreman
- (2) simple duties
- (3) complex tasks under loose supervision
- (4) tasks with responsibility
- (5) wide managerial responsibilities

Variable: kpa5_3720

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Former profession, worker – differentiation

Filter:

kpa5_2390=6

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which of the following descriptions matches your former profession best?

- unskilled and semiskilled worker
- skilled worker and crafter
- foreman, master, site foreman

Coding:

- (1) unskilled and semiskilled worker
- (2) skilled worker and crafter
- (3) foreman, master, site foreman

Variable: kpa5_3730

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Former profession, independent professional differentiation

Filter:

kpa5_2390=2 | 3

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How many employees did the business have you worked in last?

- no employees
- 1-9 employees
- 10 employees and more

Coding:

- (1) no employees
- (2) 1-9 employees
- (3) 10 employees and more

Variable: kpa5_3740**Topic:** Sociodemography **Item:** Former profession, civil servant -Differentiation**Filter:**

kpa5_2390=4

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

In what service class did you work?

- lower grade of civil service (up to and including "Oberamtsleiter")
- middle grade of civil service (from "Assistant" to "Hauptsekretär/Amtsinspektor")
- upper grade of civil service (from "Inspektor" to "Oberamtsmann/Oberamtsrat")
- higher grade of the civil service, judge ("Regierungsrat" and higher)

Coding:

- (1) lower grade
- (2) middle grade
- (3) upper grade
- (4) higher grade, judge

Variable: kpa5_3750

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Former profession, employment sector

Filter:

kpa5_2390=5-7

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Which type of company did you work for?

- public sector
- company owned wholly or partly by the Federal Government or Federal States
- non-profit company
- private sector company

Coding:

- (1) public sector
- (2) company owned wholly or partly by the Federal Government or Federal States
- (3) non-profit company
- (4) private sector company

Variable: kpa5_3760

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Former profession, sector of the economy

Filter:

kpa5_2350=1

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

What kind of business/industry were you working in?

- agriculture, forestry, fishery
- energy industry, water sector, mining
- manufacturing
- construction and real estate
- trade
- hotel and catering
- transport
- banking, insurances
- information technology, data processing
- other commercial services (e.g. legal or economic counselling, legal services)
- administration
- education, research and development
- social services (nursing, support, therapy, etc.)
- other services

Coding:

- (1) agriculture, forestry, fishery
- (2) energy industry, water sector, mining
- (3) manufacturing
- (4) construction and real estate
- (5) trade
- (6) hotel and catering
- (7) transport
- (8) banking, insurances
- (9) information technology, data processing
- (10) other commercial services (e.g. legal or economic counselling, legal services)
- (11) administration
- (12) education, research and development
- (13) social services (nursing, support, therapy, etc.)
- (14) other services

Variable: kpa5_2391

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** School leaving certificate, partner

Filter:

kpa5_2301=1 | kpa5_2312=1

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

No we will turn to questions about your partner's school leaving certificate and profession.

Question:

What is the highest level of general education of your partner?

- finished school without school leaving certificate
- lowest formal qualification of Germany's tripartite secondary school system, after 8 or 9 years of schooling ("Hauptschulabschluss, Volksschulabschluss")
- intermediary secondary qualification, after 10 years of schooling ("Mittlere Reife, Realschulabschluss or Polytechnische Oberschule mit Abschluss 10. Klasse")
- certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college/university of applied sciences ("Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc.)")
- higher qualification, entitling holders to study at a university ("Abitur or Erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife)")
- other school leaving certificate

- still at school

- I don't know

Coding:

- (1) finished school without school leaving certificate
- (2) lowest formal qualification of Germany's tripartite secondary school system, after 8 or 9 years of schooling ("Hauptschulabschluss, Volksschulabschluss")
- (3) intermediary secondary qualification, after 10 years of schooling ("Mittlere Reife, Realschulabschluss or Polytechnische Oberschule mit Abschluss 10. Klasse")
- (4) certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college/university of applied sciences ("Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc.)")
- (5) higher qualification, entitling holders to study at a university ("Abitur or Erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife)")
- (6) other school leaving certificate
- (9) still at school

(-98) don't know

Variable: kpa5_2400**Topic:** Sociodemography **Item:** Gainful employment, partner**Filter:**

kpa5_2391!=9 & kpa5_2391!= -98

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Which of the following categories applies best to your partner?

- in full-time employment (more than 30 hours/week)
- in part-time employment (up to 30 hours/week)
- in a traineeship or apprenticeship
- school student
- studying at a polytechnic or university
- currently on a retraining course
- currently unemployed
- currently on short-time work
- community service (Bundesfreiwilligendienst, Freiwilliges Soziales Jahr (FSJ), Freiwilliges Oekologisches Jahr (FOEJ))
- retirement, on a pension (formerly employed)
- on maternity leave, parental leave
- not in full or part-time employment (Housewife/househusband)
- don't know

Coding:

- (1) in full-time employment
 - (2) in part-time employment
 - (3) in a traineeship or apprenticeship
 - (4) school student
 - (5) studying at a polytechnic or university
 - (6) retraining course
 - (7) unemployed
 - (8) short-time work
 - (9) community service (Bundesfreiwilligendienst, Freiwilliges Soziales Jahr (FSJ), Freiwilliges Oekologisches Jahr (FOEJ))
 - (10) retirement, on a pension
 - (11) maternal/parental leave
 - (12) no employment (housewife/househusband)
- (-98) don't know

Variable: kpa5_2410

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Former gainful employment, partner

Filter:

kpa5_2400=3-7 | 9-12 | -99

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical); "don't know"- category is settled out a bit.

Question:

Has your partner worked in a full-time or part-time job in the past?

- yes
- no

- don't know

Coding:

(1) yes

(2) no

(-98) don't know

Variable: kpa5_2420

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Profession, partner

Filter:

kpa5_2400=1-3, 8

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical); "don't know"- category is settled out a bit.

Question:

Which of the categories from the following list describes your partner's profession best?

- independent farmer
- independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
- self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
- officials /judges / professional soldier
- employee
- worker
- in vocational training
- family member assisting in family business

- don't know

Coding:

- (1) independent farmer
- (2) independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
- (3) self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
- (4) officials /judges / professional soldier
- (5) employee
- (6) worker
- (7) in vocational training
- (8) family member assisting in family business

(-98) don't know

Variable: kpa5_2430

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Former profession, partner

Filter:

kpa5_2410=1

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical); "don't know"- category is settled out a bit.

Question:

What was your partner's former profession? Please select the category that applies best to her/him.

- independent farmer
- independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
- self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
- officials /judges / professional soldier
- employee
- worker
- in vocational training
- family member assisting in family business

- don't know

Coding:

- (1) independent farmer
- (2) independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
- (3) self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
- (4) officials /judges / professional soldier
- (5) employee
- (6) worker
- (7) in vocational training
- (8) family member assisting in family business

(-98) don't know

Variable: kpa5_2591**Topic:** Sociodemography **Item:** Net household income, with categories**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical); italicize "your household's total"; plausibility check (ignorable): If no answer was given show text: "We assure that your answers will be used anonymously and for scientific purposes only."

Question:

Taken all together, would you please indicate what the monthly net income of your household is? By net income, I mean the amount that you have left after taxes and social security.

- below 500€
- 500 up to 750€
- 750 up to 1,000€
- 1,000 up to 1,250€
- 1,250 up to 1,500€
- 1,500 up to 2,000€
- 2,000 up to 2,500€
- 2,500 up to 3,000€
- 3,000 up to 4,000€
- 4,000 up to 5,000€
- 5,000 up to 7,500€
- 7,500 up to 10,000€
- 10,000€ and more

Coding:

- (1) below 500€
- (2) 500 up to 750€
- (3) 750 up to 1,000€
- (4) 1,000 up to 1,250€
- (5) 1,250 up to 1,500€
- (6) 1,500 up to 2,000€
- (7) 2,000 up to 2,500€
- (8) 2,500 up to 3,000€
- (9) 3,000 up to 4,000€
- (10) 4,000 up to 5,000€
- (11) 5,000 up to 7,500€
- (12) 7,500 up to 10,000€
- (13) 10,000€ and more

Variable: kpa5_2580

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Subjective perception of class

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

People talk a lot about social classes these days.

Question:

Which of these classes do you consider yourself a part of?

- lower class
- working class
- lower middle class
- middle class
- upper middle class
- upper class

Coding:

- (1) lower class
- (2) working class
- (3) lower middle class
- (4) middle class
- (5) upper middle class
- (6) upper class

Variable: kpa5_2480**Topic:** Sociodemography **Item:** Religious denomination**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

What is your religious denomination?

- Protestant
- independent Protestant Church
- Roman Catholic
- other Christian denomination
- Muslim community/Islam
- other non-Christian denomination
- undenominational

Coding:

- (1) Protestant
- (2) independent Protestant Church
- (3) Roman Catholic
- (4) other Christian confession
- (6) Muslim community/Islam
- (5) other non-Christian denomination
- (9) undenominational

Variable: kpa5_2491

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Church attendance

Filter:

kpa5_2480=1-6

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How frequently do you attend religious services?

- never
- once a year
- several times a year
- once a month
- two to three times a month
- once a week
- more often

Coding:

- (1) never
- (2) once a year
- (3) several times a year
- (4) once a month
- (5) two to three times a month
- (6) once a week
- (7) more often

Variable: kpa5_3910

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Religiousness

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

What would you say about yourself: how religious are you?

- not religious at all
- not very religious
- somewhat religious
- very religious

Coding:

- (1) not religious at all
- (2) not very religious
- (3) somewhat religious
- (4) very religious

Variable: kpa5_3920

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Country of birth, federal state

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

In which federal state, or in the territory of which present-day federal state, were you born?

- Baden-Württemberg
- Bavaria
- Berlin
- Brandenburg
- Bremen
- Hamburg
- Hesse
- Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania
- Lower Saxony
- North Rhine-Westphalia
- Rhineland-Palatinate
- Saarland
- Saxony
- Saxony-Anhalt
- Schleswig-Holstein
- Thuringia

- not born in the territory of the present-day Federal Republic of Germany

Coding:

- (8) Baden-Wuerttemberg
- (9) Bavaria
- (11) Berlin
- (12) Brandenburg
- (4) Bremen
- (2) Hamburg
- (6) Hesse
- (13) Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania
- (3) Lower Saxony
- (5) North Rhine-Westphalia
- (7) Rhineland-Palatinate
- (10) Saarland
- (14) Saxony
- (15) Saxony-Anhalt
- (1) Schleswig-Holstein
- (16) Thuringia
- (17) not born in the territory of present-day Federal Republic of Germany

Variable: kpa5_3930**Topic:** Sociodemography **Item:** Country of birth, other country**Filter:**

kpa5_3920=17

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Where were you born?

- former German territories in Eastern Europe (e.g. Silesia, East Prussia)
- Turkey
- Italy
- Poland
- Russian Federation, former Soviet Union
- Greece
- Croatia, Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, former Yugoslavia
- Austria
- Slovakia, Czech Republic, former Czechoslovakia
- Denmark, Sweden, Norway, Finland
- Netherlands
- Belgium
- France
- Switzerland
- USA
- Syria
- other country

Coding:

- (1) former German territories in Eastern Europe (e.g. East Prussia, Silesia)
- (2) Turkey
- (3) Italy
- (4) Poland
- (5) Russian Federation, former Soviet Union
- (6) Greece
- (7) Croatia, Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, former Yugoslavia
- (8) Austria
- (9) Slovakia, Czech Republic, former Czechoslovakia
- (10) Denmark, Sweden, Norway, Finland
- (11) Netherlands
- (12) Belgium
- (13) France
- (14) Switzerland
- (15) USA
- (17) Syria
- (16) other country

Variable: kpa5_2551

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Age at immigration

Filter:

kpa5_3930=1 >> Receive Question 1

kpa5_3930=2-16 | -99 >> Receive Question 2

Presentation:

Text field (single row) with four digits, maximum numbers of characters = 4; plausibility check, whether complete numbers and no letters "Please enter the year." Plausibility check, if answer <kpa5_2290: "This answer does not match your year of birth. Please check your answer." Plausibility check if entry > 2024: "The year you entered is in the future. Please check your entry." The plausibility checks can be ignored.

Question 1:

Since when do you live on current German territory?

Question 2:

When did you move to Germany?

Instructions:

Please enter the complete year with four digits, e.g. 1990 or 2005.

Coding:

Variable: kpa5_3940**Topic:** Sociodemography **Item:** Age at immigration, East Germany**Filter:**

kpa5_3920=1-10 & kpa5_2601=12-16

Presentation:

Text field (single row) with four digits; maximum number of digits = 4; plausibility check, whether complete numbers and no letters; "Please enter the year."; plausibility check if answer <kpa5_2290: "This answer does not match your year of birth. Please check your answer." Plausibility check if entry > 2024: "The year you entered is in the future. Please check your entry." The plausibility checks can be ignored.

Question:

Since when have you been living in East Germany?

Instructions:

Please enter the complete year with four digits, e.g. 1990 or 2005.

Coding:

Variable: kpa5_3950

Topic: Sociodemography **Item:** Age at immigration, West Germany

Filter:

kpa5_3920=12-16 & kpa5_2601=1-10

Presentation:

Text field (single row) with four digits; maximum number of digits = 4; plausibility check, whether complete numbers and no letters; "Please enter the year."; plausibility check if answer <kpa5_2290: "This answer does not match your year of birth. Please check your answer." Plausibility check if entry > 2024: "The year you entered is in the future. Please check your entry." The plausibility checks can be ignored.

Question:

Since when have you been living in West Germany?

Instructions:

Please enter the complete year with four digits, e.g. 1990 or 2005.

Coding:

Variable: kpa5_3960**Topic:** Sociodemography **Item:** Age moving to Berlin**Filter:**

kpa5_3920!=11 & kpa5_2601=11

Presentation:

Text field (single row) with four digits; maximum number of digits = 4; plausibility check, whether complete numbers and no letters; "Please enter the year."; plausibility check if answer <kpa5_2290: "This answer does not match your year of birth. Please check your answer." Plausibility check if entry > 2024: "The year you entered is in the future. Please check your entry." The plausibility checks can be ignored.

Question:

Since when have you been living in Berlin?

Instructions:

Please enter the complete year with four digits, e.g. 1990 or 2005.

Coding:

Variable: kpa5_2599

Topic: Soziodemographie **Item:** Wohnort: Stadt-Land

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Which of the following categories best describes where you live?

- Big city
- Edge or suburb of a large city
- Medium-sized or small town
- Rural village
- Single farmstead or detached house in the countryside

Coding:

- (1) Big city
- (2) Edge or suburb of a large city
- (3) Medium-sized or small town
- (4) Rural village
- (5) Single farmstead or detached house in the countryside

Variable: kpa5_2602**Topic:** Sociodemography **Item:** Postal code**Filter:****Presentation:**

Text field (single row); maximum number of digits = 5; plausibility check, whether 5 numbers and no letters were entered with the instruction "Please enter your postal code."; When no answer was given, show the following text (ignorable): "We assure that we will treat your information confidentially. We need your complete postal code in order to identify the candidate in your constituency."

Intro:

Candidates for the Bundestag and political issues differ between different regions in Germany. We would therefore like to update the information on your constituency. For this allocation we need the postal code of your main place of residence. Your information is of course voluntary.

Question:

What is your postal code?

Coding:

Variable: kpa5_4241a-d

Topic: survey rating

Item: Evaluation questionnaire: Interesting/Varied/Long/Difficult

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard-Matrix

Intro:

Finally, we would like to know what you thought of this survey.

Question:

How was the questionnaire?

- (A) Interesting
- (B) Varied
- (C) Long
- (D) Difficult

Coding:

- (1) not at all
- (2) rather not
- (3) partly/partly
- (4) rather
- (5) very

Variable: kpa5_4270s**Topic:** Quality of Data **Item:** Comment, open**Filter:****Presentation:**

Text field (four rows) with 100% page width, no maximum limit of characters.

Intro:

Finally, we would like to give you the possibility to comment on our today's survey. Please express your opinion, praise or criticism in a friendly, factual and respectful manner. Please note, however, that we are unable to reply to your comments for data protection reasons. If you have any questions or suggestions about our study and would like personal feedback, please email us at gles-studie@gesis.org.

Question:

Do you have comments or suggestions concerning the survey or particular questions?

Instructions:

For your own protection, we ask you not to enter any sensitive data such as your name, contact details or other identifying information.

Coding: