

gesis

Leibniz-Institut
für Sozialwissenschaften

German Longitudinal
Election Study



*Durchgeführt von der
Deutschen Gesellschaft für Wahlforschung und GESIS*

GLEES-Panel

Wave 25

(October/ November 2023)

ZA6838

*Documentation of Questionnaire
(Preliminary Version)*

The German Longitudinal Election Study (GLES) is the central scientific survey programme in Germany for the continuous collection and provision of high-quality data for national and international election research. The methodologically diverse surveys of GLES make it possible to investigate the political attitudes and behaviors of voters and candidates. GLES has been carried out in close cooperation between the German Society for Electoral Studies (DGfW) and GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences. More information are available here: www.gles.eu.

The GLES Panel allows users to study intra-individual change in political attitudes and behaviours during the election campaign, as well as investigates long-term change between federal elections. It is a longitudinal survey of the same individuals of currently three different samples. Sample A, “2017 Election Campaign”, consists of respondents from the Short-term Campaign Panel of the GLES 2017, In addition, individuals recruited in profile wave a2 2020 were re-surveyed for the first time in wave 15 (sample A4). Sample B, “2017 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section”, includes those respondents from the 2017 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section of GLES (ZA6802) who agreed to be re-interviewed in the GLES Panel. Additionally, Sample C, “2021 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section”, includes those respondents from the 2021 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section of GLES who agreed to be re-interviewed in the GLES Panel. While respondents from Sample A have been interviewed up to 20 times since the beginning of the data collection in autumn 2016, Wave 10 of the GLES Panel has been the first re-interview for respondents from Sample B, and Wave 22 will be the first re-interview for respondents from Sample C.

In the following, you will find some general information about the data set and the documentation of the questionnaire of wave 232. All panelists from Sample A, Sample B, and for the first time Sample C are solely surveyed in CAWI mode.

Please note:

This documentation of the questionnaire is a preliminary version and published before data release on the website of the German Longitudinal Election Study (GLES). Therefore, it might contain errors and adjustments might be necessary due to the data collection and processing. Preliminary English translations might change due in later revisions. Please do not cite before the data are released in the [data catalogue](#) of GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences. Information on data releases can be found on the [GLES website](#) or by signing up for the [GLES newsletter](#).

Study number	ZA6838
Title	GLES-Panel 2016-2023, Wave 25
Current Version	unreleased
doi	unreleased
Citation	unreleased
Number of observations	not yet collected. In Sample A 16.776 , in Sample B 1.484 and in Sample C 4.116 individuals were invited to participate in the survey.
Number of variables	Not yet collected. The questionnaire consists of 172 items.

Basic Information

Funding Agency	GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences
Data Collector	GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences
Date of Collection	Not yet collected

Wave	Sample	Date of Collection
Wave 25	A	2023-10-11 – 2023-10-24
	B & C	2023-10-11 – 2023-11-21

Content	Intention to vote und vote choice, political involvement, political attitudes, perceptions of problems, evaluations of political actors, sociodemographics, personality, corona crisis, Ukraine war, Gas and energy supply
---------	--

Methodology

Geographic Coverage	Germany (DE)
---------------------	--------------

Universe

[Sample A1-3]

German population with Internet access eligible to vote in the 2017 federal elections.

[Sample A4]

German population with Internet access eligible to vote in the 2021 federal elections.

[Sample B]

All people with German citizenship resident in the Federal Republic of Germany, who had a minimum age of 16 years and lived in private households at the time the recruitment survey 2017 survey was being conducted.

[Sample C]

All people with German citizenship resident in the Federal Republic of Germany, who had a minimum age of 16 years and lived in private households at the time the recruitment survey 2021 survey was being conducted.

Selection

[Sample A]

Quota sampling of the frame population of the Online-Access-Panel by age, gender and education.

[Sample B]

Respondents of the 2017 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section who gave their willingness to participate in the re-interviews of the GLES Panel. For the 2017 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section respondents were selected by random sampling on the basis of local population registers with an oversampling of population in East Germany.

	[Sample C]
	Respondents of the 2021 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section who gave their willingness to participate in the re-interviews of the GLES Panel. For the 2021 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section respondents were selected by random sampling on the basis of local population registers with an oversampling of population of East Germany.
Mode of Data Collection	[Sample A]
	Computer-assisted web-based interviews (CAWI)
	[Sample B]
	Recruitment with computer-assisted personal interviews (CAPI), computer-assisted web interviews (CAWI)
	[Sample C]
	Recruitment with mixed-mode data collection: computer-assisted web-based interviews (CAWI) and paper and pencil interviews (PAPI), computer-assisted web-based interviews (CAWI)

Data access

Terms of access	[Sample A]
	Data and documents are released for academic research and teaching: Category A.
	[Sample B & C]
	Sample B & C datasets will be made available for academic research and teaching upon signing an off-site data use agreement: Category C. If you are interested, please contact us at gles@gesis.org .
	Due to data protection regulations, some variables can also only be made available after signing an off-site data use agreement or can only be used on-site at the Secure Data Center (SDC) at GESIS (Cologne, Mannheim). If you are interested, please contact us at gles@gesis.org .

Notification of publications

To get an overview of the use of our data, we kindly request users of GLES-data to inform us about publications that utilize those data (biographic description, study no. of the dataset). Publications using GLES-data are listed in the official bibliography of the GLES project. In case of limited access to your publication (e.g. conference papers), we would highly appreciate if you could send us an electronic (PDF file, gles@gesis.org) or a print copy of your publication.

Contact

GESIS – Leibniz-Institut für Sozialwissenschaften
 Postfach 122155
 68072 Mannheim
 E-Mail: gles@gesis.org

Verification**Variables:** kp25_2291; kp25_2290; kp25_2293s**Waves:** 1-25,a1, a2**Topic:** Verification**Items:** Month of birth; Year of birth; Location of birth**Mode:** CAWI**Sample:** A,B,C**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

must answer: the following three questions are displayed on one screen; plausibility check: If no or invalid answers were given for month of birth, year of birth and/or location of birth, the following request follows: "One or more answers are invalid. Please answer all questions".

Question kp25_2291:

In what month were you born?

- Please select
- January
- February
- March
- April
- May
- June
- July
- August
- September
- October
- November
- December

Coding:

- (0) Please select
- (1) January
- (2) February
- (3) March
- (4) April
- (5) May
- (6) June
- (7) July
- (8) August
- (9) September
- (10) October
- (11) November
- (12) December

(-95) not participated**Presentation:**

Text field (single row) with four numerical digits; Plausibility check: Only exactly four numerical values are possible, no letters or special characters! Only entries between 1906 and 2005 are allowed. Text for plausibility check: "Please enter your year of birth in four digits".

Question kp25_2290:

Please enter the year you were born in.

Instructions:

Use four digits, e.g. 1978.

Coding:

(-95) not participated

Presentation:

Text field (single row); Field for answer with one character; Plausibility check: Only one letter (allow umlauts) and no number or punctuation is possible; Text for plausibility check: "Please enter the first letter of your location of birth."

Question kp25_2293s:

Please enter the first letter of your location of birth.

Instructions:

If you are not sure, enter the location of birth just as it is written on the front page of your ID card.

Coding:

(-95) not participated

Variables: kp25_2291_c2; kp25_2290_c2; kp25_2293s_c2
Waves: 1-25,a2
Topic: Verification
Items: Month of birth; Year of birth; Location of birth
Mode: CAWI
Sample: A,B,C

Filter:*Sample A1/A2:*

kp1_2291!=kp25_2291 | kp1_2290!=kp25_2290 | kp1_2293s!= kp25_2293s

Sample A3:

kpa1_2291!=kp25_2291 | kpa1_2290!=kp25_2290 | kpa1_2293s!= kp25_2293s

Sample A4:

kpa2_2291!=kp25_2291 | kpa2_2290!=kp25_2290 | kpa2_2293s!= kp25_2293s

must answer: the following three questions are displayed on one screen.

Plausibility check: If no invalid answers were given for gender, month of birth, year of birth and/or location of birth, the following request follows: "One or more answers are missing. Please answer all questions." Display instruction text in red.

Instructions (left-justified and bold letters):

"Your answers do not match your answers from the first survey of the GLES-study. Please note that you can only participate in this survey if you identify yourself correctly just as you did the first time."

Presentation:

Single, closed response list

Question kp25_2291_c2:

What month were you born in?

Instructions:

Please select

- January
- February
- March
- April
- May
- June
- July
- August
- September
- October
- November
- December

Coding:

- (0) Please select
- (1) January
- (2) February
- (3) March
- (4) April
- (5) May
- (6) June
- (7) July
- (8) August
- (9) September
- (10) October
- (11) November
- (12) December

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

Presentation:

Text field (single row) with two numerical digits

Question kp25_2290_c2:

Please enter the year you were born in.

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

Presentation:

Text field (single row); Field for answer with one character; plausibility check: Only one letter and no numbers are possible; Text for plausibility check: "Please enter the first letter of your location of birth."

Question kp25_2293s_c2:

Please enter the first letter of your location of birth.

Instructions:

If you are not sure, enter the location of birth just as it is written on the front page of your ID card.

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

[NOTE: Because the content of the verification variables has to be identical with the answer from the first wave of the respondent, the displayed variables are not included in the dataset but may be acquired on request.]

Variable: kp25_4280**Waves:** 1-25**Mode:** CAWI**Topic:** Verification**Sample:** A,B,C**Item:** Reason for false verification code**Filter:***Sample A1/A2:*

(kp25_2293s_c2!=-97) & (kp1_2291!=kp25_2291_c2 | (kp1_2290!=kp25_2290_c2) |
 (kp1_2293s!=kp25_2293s_c2)

Sample A3:

(kp25_2293s_c2!=-97) & (kpa1_2291!=kp25_2291_c2 | (kpa1_2290!=kp25_2290_c2) |
 (kpa1_2293s!=kp25_2293s_c2)

Sample A4:

(kp25_2293s_c2!=-97) & (kpa2_2291!=kp25_2291_c2 | (kpa2_2290!=kp25_2290_c2) |
 (kpa2_2293s!=kp25_2293s_c2)

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

The answers concerning your person do not match the answers from the first survey of the GLES-study. There are several possible reasons. Please select the reason that you think is most likely.

- I did not participate in the first part of the GLES-study.
- I may have made a typing error.
- I am sure that I gave the same answers as I did in the first part of the GLES-study.

Forwarding: Closing screen >> survey completed**Coding:**

- (1) not participated
- (2) typing error
- (3) same answer

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

Variable: kp25_2601	Topic: Sociodemography	Item: Federal state
Waves: 1,[2],a1,9, 11-15,a2,20,25	Sample: A/B	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:**Presentation:**

must answer, single response list (vertical); plausibility check: If no answer was given then the following text will be shown: "Answering this question is very important for the rest of the survey. Please state the federal state you live in."

Question:

And in which federal state do you live?

Instructions:

If you have several places of residence, please indicate the federal state in which your principal place of residence is located.

- Baden-Württemberg
- Bavaria
- Berlin
- Brandenburg
- Bremen
- Hamburg
- Hesse
- Mecklenburg-Vorpommern
- Lower Saxony
- North Rhine-Westphalia
- Rhineland-Palatinate
- Saarland
- Saxony
- Saxony-Anhalt
- Schleswig-Holstein
- Thuringia

Coding:

- (1) Schleswig-Holstein
- (2) Hamburg
- (3) Lower Saxony
- (4) Bremen
- (5) North Rhine-Westphalia
- (6) Hesse
- (7) Rhineland-Palatinate
- (8) Baden-Württemberg
- (9) Bavaria
- (10) Saarland
- (11) Berlin
- (12) Brandenburg
- (13) Mecklenburg-Vorpommern
- (14) Saxony
- (15) Saxony-Anhalt
- (16) Thuringia

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

General questions**Variable:** kp25_010**Waves:** 1-25,a1,a2**Mode:** CAWI**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics**Samples:** A,B,C**Item:** Interest in politics, in general**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Quite generally, how interested are you in politics?

- very interested
- somewhat interested
- in between
- not very interested
- not interested at all

Coding:

- (1) very interested
- (2) somewhat interested
- (3) in between
- (4) not very interested
- (5) not at all interested

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_011a-c	Topic: General attitudes towards politics	Item: Interest in politics, election levels
Waves: 10-16,19-25		
Mode: CAWI	Samples: A,B,C	

Filter:**Presentation:**

Matrix; if no federal state was indicated in the previous waves, just show "state level" at item B

Question:

And how interested are you in politics at federal, state, and European level?

(A) Federal level

(B) State level: *display federal state from kpX_2601*

(C) European level

- very interested
- somewhat interested
- in between
- not very interested
- not interested at all

Coding:

- (1) very interested
- (2) somewhat interested
- (3) in between
- (4) not very interested
- (5) not at all interested

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_170

Waves: 1-7,9-19, 21-25,a1, a2

Mode: CAWI

Topic: Voting decision

Sample: A,B,C

Item: Intention to vote

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

When elections are held a lot of people vote, others do not manage to vote or do not participate in elections for other reasons.

Question:

If there was a federal election next Sunday, how likely is it that you will go to the polls?

Are you...

- certain to vote
- likely to vote
- might vote
- not likely to vote
- certain not to vote

Coding:

- (1) certain to vote
- (2) likely to vote
- (3) might vote
- (4) not likely to vote
- (5) certain not to vote

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_190a,b	Topic: Voting decision	Item: Vote intention: first/second vote
Waves: 1-7,9-19, 21-25, a1, a2	Samples: A,B,C	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter: kp25_170=1-3

Presentation:

Display sample ballot paper with first and second vote; down below "don't know"-category for first and second vote

Intro:

You have two votes in the federal election. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party.

Question:

How would you mark your ballot?

(A) First vote:

- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Die Linke
- Other party

- Don't know yet

(B) Second vote:

- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Die Linke
- Other party

- Don't know yet

Coding:

- (4) SPD
- (1) CDU/CSU
- (6) GRÜNE
- (5) FDP
- (322) AfD
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (801) other party

(-98) don't know

 (-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kp25_192a,b were recoded into the variables kp25_190aa/kp25_190ab and kp25_190ba/kp25_190bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp25_192a	Topic: Voting decision	Item: Vote intention: first vote, other party
Waves: 1-7,9-19,21-25, a1, a2	Samples: A,B,C	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter: kp25_190a=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Fragetext :

And which candidate would you vote for with your FIRST vote?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:

- (126) BP
- (349) DiB
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (372) dieBasis
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (331) LKR
- (206) NPD
- (209) ödp
- (215) PIRATEN
- (373) Team Todenhöfer
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (364) Volt
- (801) other party

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp25_190a were recoded into the variables kp25_190aa and kp25_190ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp25_192b	Topic: Voting decision	Item: Vote intention: second vote, other party
Waves: 1-7,9-19, 21-25, a1, a2		
Mode: CAWI	Samples: A,B,C	

Filter: kp25_190b=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Fragetext :

And which party would you vote for with your SECOND vote?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:

- (126) BP
- (349) DiB
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (372) dieBasis
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (331) LKR
- (206) NPD
- (209) ödp
- (215) PIRATEN
- (373) Team Todenhöfer
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (364) Volt
- (801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp25_190b were recoded into the variables kp25_190ba and kp25_190bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp25_840s

Waves: 1-25,a1,a2

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Most important problem

Mode: CAWI

Sample: A,B,C

Filter:

Presentation:

Text field (two rows) with optical 50 characters per row, no maximum limited number of characters; italicize "only one"

Intro:

You will now be asked about the two most important problems.

Question:

In your opinion, what is the most important problem facing Germany today?

Instructions:

Please mention *only one* problem.

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_850	Topic: Valence issues	Item: Ability to solve the most important problem
Waves: 1-25,a1,a2	Sample: A,B,C	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter: kp25_840s!=-99

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party is best able to handle this problem?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- Bündnis 90/ Die Grünen
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- other party

- all parties equally good
- no party

Coding:

- (4) SPD
- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (6) GRÜNE
- (5) FDP
- (322) AfD
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (801) other party

(809) all parties equally good

(808) no party

 (-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp25_851 were recoded into the variables kp25_850a and kp25_850b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp25_851

Waves: 1-25,a1,a2

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Ability to solve the most important problem,
other party

Mode: CAWI

Sample: A,B,C

Filter: kp25_850=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Fragetext:

And which of these parties is best able to handle this problem?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:

- (126) BP
- (349) DiB
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (372) dieBasis
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (331) LKR
- (206) NPD
- (209) ödp
- (215) PIRATEN
- (373) Team Todenhöfer
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (364) Volt
- (801) other party

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp25_850 were recoded into the variables kp25_850a and kp25_850b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp25_860s

Waves: 1-25,a1,a2

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Second most important problem

Mode: CAWI

Sample: A,B,C

Filter: kp25_840s != -99

Presentation:

Text field (two rows) with optical 50 characters per row, no maximum limited number of characters; italicize "only one"

Question:

In your opinion, what is the second most important problem facing Germany today?

Instructions:

Please mention *only one* problem.

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_870	Topic: Valence issues	Item: Ability to solve the second most important problem
Waves: 1-25,a1,a2		
Mode: CAWI	Sample: A,B,C	

Filter: kp25_860s != -97 & kp25_860s != -99

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party is best able to handle this problem?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- other party

- all parties equally good
- no party

Coding:

- (4) SPD
- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (6) GRÜNE
- (5) FDP
- (322) AfD
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (801) other party

- (809) all parties equally good
- (808) no party

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp25_871 were recoded into the variables kp25_870a and kp25_870b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp25_871	Topic: Valence issues	Item: Ability to solve the second most important problem, other party
Waves: 1-25,a1,a2	Mode: CAWI	Sample: A,B,C

Filter: kp25_870=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which of these parties is best able to handle this problem?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:

- (126) BP
- (349) DiB
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (372) dieBasis
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (331) LKR
- (206) NPD
- (209) ödp
- (215) PIRATEN
- (373) Team Todenhöfer
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (364) Volt
- (801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp25_870 were recoded into the variables kp25_870a and kp25_870b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp25_2880d,g,o,x,cv

Waves: 1,3,13-25,a2

Topic: Political positions

Item: Ego positions

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A,B,C

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Intro:

Now we come to your assessment of political issues. You may agree more or less with the following statements.

Question:

Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(D) The state should take measures to reduce differences in income levels.

(G) The state should stay out of the economy.

(O) The world is so complicated that it's impossible to know how things can carry on.

(X) Germany needs an annual upper limit ("Obergrenze") for refugees

(CV) Climate protection must be enforced in buildings, even if this means that rental prices for housing increase.

Coding:

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_1090	Topic: Political positions	Item: Socio-economic
Waves: 1-4,a1,6-8,10-15,19,20,22-25,a2 dimension, ego		
Mode: CAWI	Sample: A,B,C	

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "lower taxes, although this results in less social services" and "more social services, although this results in raising taxes"; "don't know"-category is settled out a bit

Intro: Now, on to your opinion on various political topics.

Question:

Some people prefer lower taxes, although this results in less social services. Others prefer more social services, although this results in raising taxes.

What is your opinion on this issue?

- lower taxes, although this results in less social services
-
-
-
-
-
- more social services, although this results in raising taxes

Coding:

- (1) 1 lower taxes, although this results in less social services
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 more social services, although this results in raising taxes

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_1290

Waves: 1-2,4,a1,7,8,10-20,22-25

Mode: CAWI

Topic: Political positions

Samples: A,B,C

Item: Climate change, ego

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic", "economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change"

Question:

Some say that the fight against climate change should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth. Others say that the economic growth should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change.

What is your opinion on this issue?

- fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth

-

-

-

-

- economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

Coding:

(1) 1 fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth

(2) 2

(3) 3

(4) 4

(5) 5

(6) 6

(7) 7 economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_1130	Topic: Political positions	Item: Libertarian-authoritarian
Waves: 1-4,a1,6-8,10-15,19-25,a2		
Mode: CAWI	Sample: A,B,C	

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "immigration for foreigners should be easier", "immigration for foreigners should be more difficult"

Question:

Let's turn to the issue of immigration. Should it be easier or more difficult for foreigners to immigrate?

What is your opinion on this issue?

- immigration for foreigners should be easier
-
-
-
-
- immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

Coding:

- (1) 1 immigration for foreigners should be easier
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_1210	Topic: Political positions	Item: Integration,
Waves: 1-2,4,a1,7-8,10,11,13-15,19,20,22,24,25,a2 Ego		
Mode: CAWI	Samples: A,B,C	

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture", "foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture"

Question:

There are different views on how much foreigners should assimilate in Germany. Some people think that foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture. Others think that foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture.

What is your opinion on this issue?

- foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture
-
-
-
-
-
- foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture

Coding:

- (1) foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture
- (2)
- (3)
- (4)
- (5)
- (6)
- (7) foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_020	Topic: General attitudes towards politics	Item: Satisfaction with Democracy
Waves: 1,5,8-10,12,14,15-18,20-25, a2	Sample: A,B,C	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

On the whole, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way democracy works in Germany?

- very satisfied
- fairly satisfied
- neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- not very satisfied
- not at all satisfied

Coding:

- (1) very satisfied
- (2) fairly satisfied
- (3) neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- (4) not very satisfied
- (5) not at all satisfied

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_2880p,t,u,y,al**Waves:** 1,3,13-25,a2**Topic:** Political positions**Item:** Ego positions**Modi:** CAWI**Sample:** A,B,C**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard-Matrix

Intro:

Here you can find some more statements with which some people agree, while others do not.

Question:

Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(P) Today's problems are so complex that they can no longer be solved by politics.

(T) The state should save companies with many jobs from insolvency.

(U) The power supply should be secured even by the use of nuclear power.

(Y) Germany's defence expenditure should be increased over the next few years.

(AL) The European Union should do more to protect its external borders.

Coding:

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_650f2,o1,l1,s,e2,g2,h2,k1

Waves: 1-25,a1,a2

Topic: Candidates

Item: Scalometer politicians

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A,B,C

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero; label of endpoints -5 "I do not think much of the person at all", +5 "I think a great deal of the person"; "haven't heard of"- category is settled out a bit

Question:

Screen 1: Please state what you think of some leading politicians.

Screen 2: And what do you think of the following politicians?

Screen 1:

(F2) Annalena Baerbock

(O1) Olaf Scholz

(L1) Robert Habeck

(S) Christian Lindner

Screen 2:

(E2) Saskia Esken

(G2) Dietmar Bartsch

(H2) Alice Weidel

(K1) Markus Söder

Coding:

(1) -5 I do not think much of the person at all

(2) -4

(3) -3

(4) -2

(5) -1

(6) 0

(7) +1

(8) +2

(9) +3

(10) +4

(11) +5 I think a great deal of the person

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_2880bg,bh,bp,bq,bs

Waves: 1,3,13-25,a2

Topic: Political positions

Item: Ego positions

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A,B,C

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard-Matrix

Intro:

Here you can find several statements with which some people agree, while others do not.

Question:

Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(BG) Same-sex partnerships should not be allowed to adopt children.

(BH) The use of gender-neutral language (e.g. Bürger*innen) should be encouraged by the state.

(BP) The state should spend more money on protection against natural disasters and their consequences, even if such disasters do not occur frequently.

(BQ) Unemployed people should do community service in order to continue receiving government assistance.

(BS) The state should strengthen rent regulations.

Coding:

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_5020	Topic: General attitudes towards politics	Item: Difference
Waves: 1,5,7-10,12,14-18,20-25,a2		
Modi: CAWI	Samples: A,B,C	

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix

Question:

Some people say that it makes a big difference who is in power in Berlin. Others say that it doesn't make any difference who is in power. How about you?

Coding:

- (1) 1 It doesn't make any difference who is in power.
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5 It makes a big difference who is in power.

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_430a-f, i

Waves: 1-25, a2

Topic: Party ratings in general

Item: Scalometer parties

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A,B,C

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the party at all" and +5 "I think a great deal of the party". "haven't heard of" settled out a bit

Question:

What do you think of the different parties in general?

Instructions:

Please use a scale from -5 to +5.

- (C) SPD
- (A) CDU
- (B) CSU
- (E) Bündnis 90/ Die Grünen
- (D) FDP
- (I) AfD
- (F) Die Linke

Coding:

- (1) -5 I do not think much of the party at all
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 I think a great deal of the party

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_5021

Waves: 1,5,7-10,12,14-18,20-25,a2

Topic: General attitudes towards politics

Item: Difference parties

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A,B,C

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Question:

Thinking about the current political discussions, how large would you say the differences between the parties are?

Coding:

(1) 1 no differences at all

(2) 2

(3) 3

(4) 4

(5) 5 major differences

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_2880cq,aa,cc,co,ch,ao,ar,at,cu,cw

Waves: 1,3,13-25,a2

Topic: Political positions

Item: Ego positions

Modi: CAWI

Sample: A,B,C

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard-Matrix

Intro:

Here you can find some more statements with which some people agree, while others do not.

Question Screen 1:

Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(CQ) Germany should continue to support Ukraine, even if it means high energy prices and restrictions for everyone.

(AA) The European Union should reduce funding for member states that refuse to take in refugees.

(CC) Naturalization of migrants should be made easier in Germany.

(CO) The statutory retirement age should be raised to over 67

(CH) The legal possibilities for citizens to fight against the expansion of renewable energies should be limited.

Question Screen 2:

And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

(AO) The European Union should do more to harmonise living conditions between EU countries.

(AR) Germany is so small that it cannot make an effective contribution to protecting the global climate.

(AT) The state should take more measures to improve living conditions in rural areas.

(CU) Every person should be able to determine his or her own gender and change it at the registry office.

(CX) Jewish people have too much influence in the world.

Coding:

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_060b,d,e,i,j,l	Topic: General attitudes towards politics	Item: Extremism/Authoritarianism
Waves: 2,a1,9,13-15,17,20-25	Samples: A,B,C	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix

Question on screen 1:

Here you can find several statements with which some people agree, while others do not. How about you?

- (E) Under certain circumstances, a dictatorship is the better form of government.
- (L) It would be better if decisions were taken by unelected, independent experts rather than by politicians or the citizens.
- (I) It should be made clear to troublemakers that they are not wanted in society.

Question on screen 2:

And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

- (J) We need strong leaders so we can live safely in society.
- (B) The main business enterprises must be nationalized.
- (D) The general welfare ranks much higher than the interest of groups and associations.

Coding:

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree
- (4) agree
- (5) strongly agree

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-99) no answer

The item (L) was taken from the GESIS Panel.

Variable: kp25_1500

Waves: 1-4,a1,6,7,10-16,19,22-25

Topic: Political positions

Item: Left-right-assessment

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A,B,C

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix with numerical label from 1 to 11; label of endpoints from "1 left" to "11 right"

Intro:

In politics people often talk of "left" and "right".

Question:

Where would you place yourself?

- 1 left
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11 right

- don't know

Coding:

- (1) 1 left
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7
- (8) 8
- (9) 9
- (10) 10
- (11) 11 right

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_2880bb,bc,be,bi,bw

Waves: 1,3,13-25,a2

Topic: Political positions

Item: Ego positions

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard-Matrix

Intro:

Here you can find several statements with which some people agree, while others do not.

Question:

Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(BB) In emergency situations, it is justified for the state to restrict civil liberties.

(BC) Health protection must take priority over promoting economic growth.

(BE) To make sure that this survey is being filled out by a human, please click here on 'disagree'.

(BI) On all motorways, a speed limit of 130 km/h should apply.

(BW) When combating climate change, more emphasis should be placed on banning climate-damaging behaviours than on incentives for climate-friendly behaviour.

Coding:

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_2200a-e**Waves:** 3,a1,9,11,16,21,22,25**Mode:** CAWI/PAPI**Topic:** Predispositions**Samples:** A, B, C**Item:** Attachment battery**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix

Intro:

People feel attached to Germany, Europe, their state and their community to a different degree.

Question:

How about you? How strongly do you feel attached to...?

- (A) the community you live in?
- (B) the state you live in?
- (C) Germany?
- (D) the European Union?
- (E) Europe?

Coding:

- (1) not attached at all
- (2) less attached
- (3) moderately
- (4) rather attached
- (5) strongly attached

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_1250	Waves: 1,a1,6-8,10,11,13-15,18-20,22,23,25,a2	Topics: Political positions	Item: European integration, ego
Mode: CAWI	Samples: A,B,C		

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "European unification should be pushed further", "European unification has already gone too far"; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit

Intro:

Let's turn to the issue of European unification.

Question:

Should the European unification be pushed further in order to establish a joint government soon or has the European unification already gone too far?

What is your opinion on this issue?

- European unification should be pushed further
-
-
-
-
-
- European unification has already gone too far

Coding:

- (1) European unification should be pushed further
- (2)
- (3)
- (4)
- (5)
- (6)
- (7) European unification has already gone too far

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_1260

Waves: 9,17,21-23,25

Mode: CAWI

Topic: Political positions

Samples: A,B,C

Item: Globalisation, ego

Filter:

Presentation:

Semantic differential; only labels at the endpoints: "loser", "winner".

Question:

Do you see yourself as a loser or a winner of globalisation?

- loser

-

-

-

-

-

- winner

- don't know

Coding:

(1) loser

(2)

(3)

(4)

(5)

(6)

(7) winner

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_1590

Waves: 15-17,19,20,22,25

Topic: Political positions

Item: Position issue: Gender equality, ego

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A, B, C

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; 7-point scale without numerical labelling

Labels at the endpoints: "state equality measures still do not go far enough", "state equality measures already go much too far"

Question:

Now we would like to know what you think about state measures for the equality of women in society. Some think that state equality measures by no means go far enough, others think that they already go much too far today.

What is your opinion on state measures for the equality of women in society?

- State equality measures by no means go far enough.

-

-

-

-

-

- State equality measures already go much too far.

Coding:

(1) 1 State equality measures by no means go far enough.

(2) 2

(3) 3

(4) 4

(5) 5

(6) 6

(7) 7 State equality measures already go much too far.

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_2880bk,bl,bm,bn,br,by,cb,cj,cp

Waves: 1,3,13-25,a2

Topic: Political positions

Item: Ego positions

Modi: CAWI

Sample: A,B,C

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard-Matrix

Intro:

Here you can find some more statements with which some people agree, while others do not.

Question Screen 1:

Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(BK) Germany should rely less on cooperation with Russia and more on confrontation.

(BL) Germany should rely less on cooperation with China and more on confrontation.

(BM) Taxes on fossil fuels such as oil, gas and coal should be increased.

(BN) During a pandemic, there should be a general obligation to vaccinate.

(BR) The 'debt brake' should be relaxed.

Question Screen 2:

And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

(BY) The voting age in federal elections should be lowered from 18 to 16 years old.

(CB) EU member states should engage in joint borrowing, repay them and be liable for them together.

(CJ) No weapons of war should be supplied by Germany to wars and crisis zones.

(CP) In order not to endanger the security of the energy supply in Germany, every individual must limit his or her energy consumption.

(CY) Given Israel's policies I can well understand that people are against the Jews.

Coding:

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_730

Waves: 1-8,10-25,a1,a2

Modi: CAWI

Topic: Party ratings in general **Item:** Scalometer government

Samples: A,B,C

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

Question:

Are you more satisfied or less satisfied with the performance of the government of SPD, Bündnis 90/Die Grünen and FDP?

Coding:

(1) -5 not at all satisfied

(2) -4

(3) -3

(4) -2

(5) -1

(6) 0

(7) +1

(8) +2

(9) +3

(10) +4

(11) +5 completely satisfied

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_731d-f	Topic: Party ratings in general	Item: Scalometer governing parties
Waves: 1-8,10-25,a1,a2		
Mode: CAWI	Samples: A,B,C	

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

Question:

And considering each of the governing parties separately, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with their performance? How satisfied are you with the performance of the...?

(D) SPD

(F) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen

(E) FDP

Coding:

(1) -5 not at all satisfied

(2) -4

(3) -3

(4) -2

(5) -1

(6) 0

(7) +1

(8) +2

(9) +3

(10) +4

(11) +5 completely satisfied

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_4050

Waves: 22-25

Modi: CAWI

Topic: Ukraine war

Item: Ukraine war: government

Sample: A,B,C

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied".

Question:

How satisfied are you with the work of the federal government in connection with the war in Ukraine?

Coding:

(1) -5 not at all satisfied

(2) -4

(3) -3

(4) -2

(5) -1

(6) 0

(7) +1

(8) +2

(9) +3

(10) +4

(11) +5 completely satisfied

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_4051

Wave: 23-25

Modi: CAWI

Topic: Political positions **Item:** Gas and energy supply: Government

Sample: A,B,C

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; with numerical labeling of the scale from -5 to +5 including zero point; also labeling of the endpoints: -5 "completely dissatisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied".

Question:

And how satisfied are you with the work of the federal government related to gas and energy supply?

Coding:

(1) -5 not at all satisfied

(2) -4

(3) -3

(4) -2

(5) -1

(6) 0

(7) +1

(8) +2

(9) +3

(10) +4

(11) +5 completely satisfied

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_2880bz,ca,cd,cf

Waves: 1,3,13-25,a2

Topic: Political positions

Item: Ego positions

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A,B,C

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard-Matrix

Intro:

Here you can find several statements with which some people agree, while others do not.

Question:

Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(BZ) Immigration to Germany should be made easier for qualified workers.

(CA) Immigration to Germany should be made easier for refugees and asylum seekers.

(CD) Germany should immediately stop importing coal, oil and gas from Russia.

(CF) Germany should spend at least two percent of its economic output on defense each year.

Coding:

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_780

Waves: 1,3,5,6,8,10-25,a2

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Personal economic situation, current

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A,B,C

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical), italicize "own".

Intro:

Now, let us shift attention to your economic situation.

Question:

How would you evaluate your *own* current economic situation?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:

- (1) very good
- (2) good
- (3) neither good nor bad
- (4) bad
- (5) very bad

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_770	Topic: Valence issues	Item: Responsibility for personal economic Situation
Waves: 5,6,8,10-25,a2	Samples: A,B,C	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter: kp25_780=1-5

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

To what extent do you think that the federal government's policies are responsible for your economic situation?

- a great deal
- a fair amount
- moderately
- not very much
- not at all

- don't know

Coding:

- (1) a great deal
- (2) a fair amount
- (3) moderately
- (4) not very much
- (5) not at all

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_790

Waves: a2,14-25

Mode: CAWI

Topic: Valence issues

Samples: A,B,C

Item: Personal economic situation, future

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical), italicize "own".

Question:

What do you think your own economic situation will be a year from now?

- Improved considerably
- Improved somewhat
- Remained the same
- Deteriorated somewhat
- Deteriorated considerably

Coding:

- (1) Improved considerably
- (2) Improved somewhat
- (3) Remained the same
- (4) Deteriorated somewhat
- (5) Deteriorated considerably

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_820

Waves: 1,3,5,6,8,10-25,a2

Topic: Valenzissues

Item: General economic situation, current

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A,B,C

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How would you evaluate the current general economic situation in Germany?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:

- (1) very good
- (2) good
- (3) neither good nor bad
- (4) bad
- (5) very bad

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_810

Waves: 5,6,8,10-25,a2

Mode: CAWI

Topic: Valence issues

Samples: A,B,C

Item: Responsibility for general economic situation

Filter: kp25_820=1-5

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

To what extent do you think that the government's policies are responsible for the general economic situation in Germany?

- a great deal
- a fair amount
- moderately
- not very much
- not at all
- don't know

Coding:

- (1) a great deal
- (2) a fair amount
- (3) moderately
- (4) not very much
- (5) not at all

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_830

Waves: a2, 14-25

Mode: CAWI

Topic: Valence issues

Samples: A,B,C

Item: General economic situation, future

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

What do you think the general economic situation in Germany will be a year from now?

- Improved considerably
- Improved somewhat
- Remained the same
- Deteriorated somewhat
- Deteriorated considerably

Coding:

- (1) Improved considerably
- (2) Improved somewhat
- (3) Remained the same
- (4) Deteriorated somewhat
- (5) Deteriorated considerably

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_3103a-h

Waves: 5,8,9,13-15,17,20-23,25,a2

Topic: General attitudes towards politics

Item: Populism

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A,B,C

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Intro:

Here you can find some more statements on politics and society with which some people agree, while others do not.

Question:

Screen 1: Please state whether you agree or disagree with each statement.

Screen 2: How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Screen 1:

(A) Politicians talk too much and take too little action.

(B) Ordinary people are of good and honest character.

(C) The people should have the final say on the most important political issues by voting on them directly in referendums.

(D) Ordinary people all pull together.

Screen 2:

(E) Differences between the elite and the people are larger than the differences among the people.

(F) The people, and not politicians, should make our most important policy decisions.

(G) The politicians in Parliament need to follow the will of the people.

(H) Ordinary people share the same values and interests.

Coding:

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_050a,e,h,k,l;

Waves: 1,5,8-25,a2

Topic: General attitudes towards politics

Item: Efficacy and duty to vote

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A,B,C

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Intro:

Here you can find some more statements on politics and society with which some people agree, while others do not.

Question:

Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(A) Politicians care about what ordinary people think.

(E) Politicians try to get in close contact with the population.

(H) I have the confidence to take active part in a discussion about political issues.

(K) I am perfectly able to understand and assess important political questions.

(L) In a democracy, it is the duty of all citizens to vote regularly in elections.

Coding:

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_650x1,k2,l2,y,m2,p1

Waves: 1-25,a1,a2

Topic: Candidates

Item: Scalometer politicians

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A,B,C

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the person at all", +5 "I think a great deal of the person"; "haven't heard of"- category is settled out a bit

Question:

Here, you see some leading politicians once again. Please state what you think of these politicians.

- (X1) Friedrich Merz
- (K2) Lars Klingbeil
- (L2) Karl Lauterbach
- (Y) Sahra Wagenknecht
- (M2) Boris Pistorius
- (P1) Hubert Aiwanger

Coding:

- (1) -5 I do not think much of the person at all
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 I think a great deal of the person

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_160 a,c,b,j,k,o,p1,p2,q	Topic: General attitudes towards politics	Item: Confidence in institutions
Waves: 2,8-10,12,14-16,a1,20,21,23-25	Sample: A,B,C	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix

Intro:

Let's talk about the following public institutions.

Question:

Please state if you trust these institutions or not.

How about ...

- (A) the Bundestag?
- (C) the Government
- (B) the Federal Constitutional Court?
- (J) the German armed forces?
- (K) the trade unions?
- (O) the banks?
- (P1) public service broadcasting
- (P2) social media
- (Q) the police?

Coding:

- (1) I do not trust at all
- (2) I rather do not trust
- (3) neither trust nor distrust
- (4) I rather trust
- (5) I fully trust

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_030a-d**Waves:** 16,23,25**Mode:** CAWI**Topic:** Political Positions**Sample:** A,B,C**Item:** Conspiracy Thinking**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard-Matrix

Question:

Here you find several statements that some people agree with, while others do not. How about you?

(A) Most people have no idea how much our lives are determined by plans made in secret.

(B) There are certain political circles that pursue secret plans and have a lot of influence.

(C) Most people do not realise the extent to which our lives are determined by conspiracies devised in secret.

(D) There are secret organisations that have great influence on political decisions.

Coding:

(1) 1 Disagree strongly

(2) 2 Disagree somewhat

(3) 3 Disagree slightly

(4) 4 Neither agree nor disagree

(5) 5 Agree slightly

(6) 6 Agree somewhat

(7) 7 Agree strongly

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_1572	Topic: Personality	Item: Willingness to take risks
Waves: 1,13-15,25,a1,a2	Sample: A,B,C	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "risk averse", "very willing to take risks"

Question:

How do you see yourself: Are you generally a person who is fully prepared to take risks or do you try to avoid taking risks?

Coding:

- (1) risk averse
- (2)
- (3)
- (4)
- (5)
- (6)
- (7)
- (8)
- (9)
- (10)
- (11) very willing to take risks

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_2880j,cl,cm,cn,v,ag,ah,ai,aj

Waves: 1,3,13-25,a2

Topic: Political positions

Item: Ego positions

Modi: CAWI

Sample: A,B,C

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard-Matrix

Question Screen 1:

Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(J) Rich citizens should pay more taxes in the future than they do now.

(CL) Germany should better hold back on supporting Ukraine, that we are not also attacked.

(CM) In times of high inflation rates, the state should provide financial support to needy citizens.

(CN) The state should set price ceilings for energy and staple foods.

Question Screen 2:

And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

(V) All things considered, globalisation is a good thing.

(AG) The global integration of markets should be pushed forward further.

(AH) Germany should restrict the import of goods from other countries.

(AI) Foreign companies should be allowed to invest in Germany.

(AJ) The minimum wage in Germany should be raised significantly.

Coding:

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_910a,c,e-k

Waves: 3,5-10,16-25

Topic: Strategic Voting

Item: Scalometer coalitions

Modi: CAWI

Sample: A,B,C

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; Scale: -5 to +5 with numerical label; with zero point; Label: -5 "not desirable at all", +5 "very desirable"

Question Screen 1:

Regardless of which coalition governs and how likely the following coalitions are at the moment, how desirable are these coalition governments at federal level for you personally?

- (A) Coalition of SPD and CDU/CSU
- (C) Coalition of SPD and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- (E) Coalition of CDU/CSU and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- (F) Coalition of SPD, Bündnis 90/Die Grünen and FDP
- (G) Coalition of CDU/CSU, Bündnis 90/Die Grünen and FDP

Question Screen 2:

- (H) Coalition of SPD, Bündnis 90/Die Grünen and Die Linke
- (I) Coalition of SPD, CDU/CSU and FDP
- (J) Coalition of CDU/CSU, FDP and AfD
- (K) Coalition of CDU/CSU and AfD

Coding:

- (1) -5 not desirable at all
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 very desirable

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_2250

Waves: 2,6,9,12,14,15,21,23-25,a1

Topic: Predispositions

Item: Fairness social order

Mode: CAWI

Sample: A,B,C

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Let's talk about the state of society in Germany as a whole. How just or unjust would you say things are generally going?

- very just
- just
- neither just nor unjust
- unjust
- very unjust

Coding:

- (1) very just
- (2) just
- (3) neither just nor unjust
- (4) unjust
- (5) very unjust

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_2270

Waves: 2,6,9,12,14,15,21,23-25,a1

Topic: Predispositions

Item: Fairness, ego

Mode: CAWI

Sample: A,B,C

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

In comparison to how other people live here in Germany, do you think that you get a fair share or not?

- a lot less than the fair share
- a bit less than the fair share
- the fair share
- a bit more than the fair share
- a lot more than the fair share

Coding:

- (1) a lot more than the fair share
- (2) a bit more than the fair share
- (3) the fair share
- (4) a bit less than the fair share
- (5) a lot less than the fair share

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_080a-e**Waves:** 17,23-25**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics**Item:** Left behind, subjective**Mode:** CAWI**Sample:** A,B,C**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix

Intro: And one last time, here are some statements that some people agree with and some people disagree with.**Question:**

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

(A) The economic situation of people like me receives too little attention in society.

(B) People like me get too little recognition from society for the work that they do.

(C) Society does not do enough to ensure that people like me get access to basic facilities and services (such as post offices, doctors, banks, public transport, schools, Internet).

(D) Society pays too little attention to the values of people like me.

(E) People like me are no longer allowed to express their opinions freely in public.

Coding:

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_2090	Topic: Predispositions	Item: Party identification
Waves: 1-25,a1,a2	Samples: A,B,C	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

In Germany, many people lean towards a particular party for a long time, although they may occasionally vote for a different party.

Question:

How about you, do you in general lean towards a particular party? If so, which one?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- other party

- no party

Coding:

- (4) SPD
- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (6) GRÜNE
- (5) FDP
- (322) AfD
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (801) other party

- (808) no party

 (-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp25_2091 were recoded into the variables kp25_2090a and kp25_2090b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp25_2091

Waves: 1-25,a1,a2

Topic: Predispositions

Item: Party identification, other party

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A,B,C

Filter: kp25_2090=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which one of these parties do you feel close to?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformier)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:

(126) BP

(349) DiB

(151) Die PARTEI

(372) dieBasis

(171) FAMILIE

(180) FREIE WAEHLER

(331) LKR

(206) NPD

(209) ödp

(215) PIRATEN

(373) Team Todenhöfer

(237) Tierschutzpartei

(364) Volt

(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp25_2090 were recoded into the variables kp25_2090a and kp25_2090b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp25_2100

Waves: 1-25, a1, a2

Topic: Predisposition

Item: Party identification, strength

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A,B,C

Filter: kp25_2090>0 & <=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean towards this party?

- very strongly
- fairly strongly
- moderately
- fairly weakly
- very weakly

Coding:

- (1) very strongly
- (2) fairly strongly
- (3) moderately
- (4) fairly weakly
- (5) very weakly

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_2095

Waves: 1-25,a1,a2

Topic: Predispositions

Item: Multiple party identification

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A,B,C

Filter: If kp25_2090=1 (CDU/CSU), then do not show answer choice 2 (CDU) or answer choice 3 (CSU) in kp25_2150. If kp25_2090=2 | 3, then do not show answer choice 1 in kp25_2150.

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Some people lean towards more than one party, while others don't. How about you? Do you lean towards another political party?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- other party

- no other party

Coding:

(4) SPD

(1) CDU/CSU

(2) CDU

(3) CSU

(6) GRÜNE

(5) FDP

(322) AfD

(7) DIE LINKE

(801) other party

(808) no other party

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp25_2096 were recoded into the variables kp25_2095a and kp25_2095b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp25_2096	Topic: Predispositions	Item: Multiple party identification, other party
Waves: 1-25,a1,a2		
Mode: CAWI	Samples: A,B,C	

Filter: kp25_2095=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which one of these parties do you feel close to?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:

- (126) BP
- (349) DiB
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (372) dieBasis
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (331) LKR
- (206) NPD
- (209) ödp
- (215) PIRATEN
- (373) Team Todenhöfer
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (364) Volt
- (801) other party

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kp25_2095 were recoded into the variables kp25_2095a and kp25_2095b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp25_2101**Waves:** 1-25,a1,a2
strength**Topic:** Predispositions**Item:** Multiple party identification,**Mode:** CAWI**Samples:** A,B,C**Filter:** kp25_2095=1-801**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean towards this party?

- very strongly
- fairly strongly
- moderately
- fairly weakly
- very weakly

Coding:

- (1) very strongly
- (2) fairly strongly
- (3) moderately
- (4) fairly weakly
- (5) very weakly

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

State election in Hessen**Variable:** kp25_3290**Wave:** 10,25**Topic:** State election Hessen**Item:** Turnout, HE**Mode:** CAWI**Sample:** A,B,C**Filter:** kp10_2601=96**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

On 8 October, state election took place in Hessen.

Question:

In the state election, a lot of people did not manage to vote or did not participate in the election for other reasons. How about you?

- I did vote.
- I did not vote.
- I wasn't eligible to vote.

Coding:

(1) did vote

(2) did not vote

(-86) not eligible to vote

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_3300a,b**Wave:** 10,25**Topic:** State election Hessen**Item:** Voting decision, HE**Mode:** CAWI**Sample:** A,B,C**Filter:** kp25_3290=1**Presentation:**

Display sample ballot paper for the state election in Hessen with first and second vote as matrix

Intro:

You had two votes in the state election in Hessen. The first vote was for a candidate in your voting district, the second vote was for a candidate in your local constituency.

Question:

How did you mark your ballot in this state election?

(A) First vote:

- CDU
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- SPD
- AfD
- FDP
- Die Linke
- Freie Wähler
- Other party

- Did not cast a first vote

(B) Second vote:

- CDU
 - SPD
 - FDP
 - Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
 - Die Linke
 - AfD
 - Freie Wähler
 - Other party
- Did not cast a second vote

Coding:

- (2) CDU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRÜNE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD
- (180) Freie Wähler
- (801) other party

(-84) didn't cast a first/second vote

- (-93) not asked, terminated
- (-95) not participated
- (-97) not applicable
- (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp25_3300a,b were recoded into the variables kp25_3300aa/kp25_3300ab and kp25_3300ba/kp25_3300bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp25_3302a	Topic: State election Hessen	Item: Voting decision, HE, first vote, other party
Wave: 10,25	Sample: A,B,C	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter: kp25_3300a=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which candidate did you vote for with your FIRST vote in the state election in Hessen?

- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- Partei für schulmedizinische Verjüngungsforschung
- V-Partei³ (V-Partei³ für Veränderung, Vegetarier und Veganer)
- Die Humanisten (Partei der Humanisten)
- ABG (Aktion Bürger für Gerechtigkeit)
- APPD (Anarchistische Pogo-Partei Deutschland)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- DKP (Deutsche Kommunistische Partei)
- Die Neue Mitte
- Volt (volt Deutschland)
- Wählerliste Klimaliste Hessen
- other party

Coding:

- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (215) PIRATEN
- (209) oedp
- (342) Gesundheitsforschung
- (344) V-Partei³
- (350) Die Humanisten
- (390) ABG
- (111) APPD
- (372) dieBasis
- (156) DKP
- (326) Die Neue Mitte
- (364) Volt
- (391) KLIMALISTE WAEHLERLISTE
- (801) other party

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp25_3300a were recoded into the variables kp25_3300aa and kp25_3300ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp25_3302b	Topic: State election Hessen	Item: Voting decision, HE, second vote,
Wave: 10,25 other party	Sample: A,B,C	
Mode: CAWI		
Filter: kp25_3300b=801		
Presentation: Single response list (vertical)		
Question: And which party did you vote for with your SECOND vote in the state election in Hessen?		

- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- Partei für schulmedizinische Verjüngungsforschung
- V-Partei³ (V-Partei³ für Veränderung, Vegetarier und Veganer)
- Die Humanisten (Partei der Humanisten)
- ABG (Aktion Bürger für Gerechtigkeit)
- APPD (Anarchistische Pogo-Partei Deutschland)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- DKP (Deutsche Kommunistische Partei)
- Die Neue Mitte
- Volt (volt Deutschland)
- Wählerliste Klimaliste Hessen
- other party

Coding:

- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (215) PIRATEN
- (209) oedp
- (342) Gesundheitsforschung
- (344) V-Partei³
- (350) Die Humanisten
- (390) ABG
- (111) APPD
- (372) dieBasis
- (156) DKP
- (326) Die Neue Mitte
- (364) Volt
- (391) KLIMALISTE WAEHLERLISTE
- (801) other party

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp25_3300b were recoded into the variables kp25_3300ba and kp25_3300bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp25_3145s

Waves: 25

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Reasons for voting decision, open, HE

Mode: CAWI

Sample: A,B,C

Filter: kp25_3290=1 & kp25_3300b!=-99 | -84

Presentation:

Text field four rows with visually 50 characters per line; no maximum character limit; italicize "most important"

Question:

Why did you give your second vote to this party?

Instructions:

Please state the *most important* reason.

Coding:

[Open answers have been coded by the coding pattern "Gründe für Wahlentscheidung"]

 (-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_3146, kp25_3146s	Topic: Voting decision	Item: Reasons for decision not to vote, open, HE
Waves: 25	Sample: A,B,C	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter: kp25_180=2

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical); italicize "most important"

Question:

Please state the most important reason for why you did not vote.

- I didn't have time.
- I wasn't at home on election day.
- I couldn't participate for health reasons.
- I am not interested in politics.
- I didn't know who I should vote for.
- Voting does not change anything.
- I am dissatisfied with politics and/or politicians.
- One single vote has too little influence.
- other reason: [text field]

Coding:

- (1) no time
- (2) absent on election day
- (3) for health reasons
- (4) not interested in politics
- (5) didn't know who I should vote for
- (6) voting does not change anything
- (7) dissatisfaction with politics/politicians
- (8) single vote has too little influence
- (9) other reason

 (-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

[Note: Open-ended mentions are available in variable kpX_252s]

State election in Bavaria

Variable: kp25_3180	Topic: State election Bavaria	Item: Turnout, BV
Wave: 10,25	Sample: A,B,C	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter: kp25_2601=9

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

On 8 October, state election took place in Bavaria.

Question:

In the state election, a lot of people did not manage to vote or did not participate in the election for other reasons. How about you?

- I did vote.
- I did not vote.

- I wasn't eligible to vote.

Coding:

- (1) did vote
- (2) did not vote

(-86) not eligible to vote

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_3190a,b	Topic: State election Bavaria	Item: Voting decision, BV
Wave: 10,25	Sample: A/B	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter: kp25_3180=1

Presentation:

Display sample ballot paper for the state election in Bavaria with first and second vote as matrix

Intro:

You had two votes in the state election in Bavaria. The first vote was for a candidate in your voting district, the second vote was for a candidate in your local constituency.

Question:

How did you mark your ballot in this state election?

(A) First vote:

- Stimmkreiskandidat/in der CSU
- Stimmkreiskandidat/in der SPD
- Stimmkreiskandidat/in der FDP
- Stimmkreiskandidat/in von Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Stimmkreiskandidat/in von Die Linke
- Stimmkreiskandidat/in der AfD
- Stimmkreiskandidat/in der Freien Wähler
- Stimmkreiskandidat/in/in einer anderen Partei

- Did not cast a first vote

(B) Second vote:

- Wahlkreiskandidat/in der CSU
- Wahlkreiskandidat/in der SPD
- Wahlkreiskandidat/in der FDP
- Wahlkreiskandidat/in von Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Wahlkreiskandidat/in von Die Linke
- Wahlkreiskandidat/in der AfD
- Wahlkreiskandidat/in der Freien Wähler
- Wahlkreiskandidat/in einer anderen Partei

- Did not cast a second vote

Coding:

- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRÜNE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD
- (180) FREIE WÄHLER
- (801) other party

(-84) didn't cast a first/second vote

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp25_3190a,b were recoded into the variables kp25_3190aa/kp25_3190ab and kp25_3190ba/kp25_3190bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp25_3192a	Topic: State election Bavaria	Item: Voting decision, BV, first vote, other party
Wave: 10,25	Sample: A,B,C	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter: kp25_3190a=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which candidate did you vote for with your FIRST vote in the state election in Bavaria?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- V-Partei³ (V-Partei³ für Veränderung, Vegetarier und Veganer)
- Die Humanisten (Partei der Humanisten)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)- other party

Coding:

(126) BP

(209) ödp

(151) Die PARTEI

(237) Tierschutzpartei

(344) V-Partei³

(350) Die Humanisten

(372) dieBasis

(364) Volt

(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp25_3192a were recoded into the variables kp25_3190aa and kp25_3190ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp25_3192b	Topic: State election Bavaria	Item: Voting decision, BV, second vote, other party
Wave: 10,25		
Mode: CAWI	Sample: A,B,C	

Filter: kp25_3190b=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party did you vote for with your SECOND vote in the state election in Bavaria?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- V-Partei³ (V-Partei³für Veränderung, Vegetarier und Veganer)
- Die Humanisten (Partei der Humanisten)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:

- (126) BP
- (209) ödp
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (344) V-Partei³
- (350) Die Humanisten
- (372) dieBasis
- (364) Volt
- (801) other party

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp25_3192b were recoded into the variables kp25_3190bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp25_3143s	Topic: Voting decision	Item: Reasons for voting decision, open, BY
Waves: 25		
Mode: CAWI	Sample: A,B,C	

Filter: kp25_3180=1 & kp25_3190b!=-99 | -84

Presentation:

Text field four rows with visually 50 characters per line; no maximum character limit; italicize "most important"

Question:

Why did you give your second vote to this party?

Instructions:

Please state the *most important* reason.

Coding:

[Open answers have been coded by the coding pattern "Gründe für Wahlentscheidung"]

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp25_3144, kp25_3144s

Waves: 25

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Reasons for decision not to vote, open, BY

Mode: CAWI

Sample: A,B,C

Filter: kp25_3180=2

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical); italicize "most important"

Question:

Please state the most important reason for why you did not vote.

- I didn't have time.
- I wasn't at home on election day.
- I couldn't participate for health reasons.
- I am not interested in politics.
- I didn't know who I should vote for.
- Voting does not change anything.
- I am dissatisfied with politics and/or politicians.
- One single vote has too little influence.
- other reason: [text field]

Coding:

- (1) no time
- (2) absent on election day
- (3) for health reasons
- (4) not interested in politics
- (5) didn't know who I should vote for
- (6) voting does not change anything
- (7) dissatisfaction with politics/politicians
- (8) single vote has too little influence
- (9) other reason

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[Note: Open-ended mentions are available in variable kpX_252s]

Variable: kp25_2602**Waves:** 1,11,16,25**Topic:** Sociodemography**Item:** Postal code**Mode:** CAWI**Sample:** A,B,C**Filter:****Presentation:**

Text field (single row); maximum number of digits = 5; plausibility check, whether 5 numbers and no letters were entered with the instruction "Please enter your postal code."; When no answer was given, show the following text: "We assure that we will treat your information confidentially. We need your complete postal code in order to identify the candidate in your constituency."; Within the plausibility check there are to buttons "correct" or "forward".

Intro:

Candidates for the Bundestag and political issues differ between different regions in Germany. Therefore, we would like to update the information on your constituency. For this assignment, we need the postcode of your principal residence. Your information is, of course, voluntary.

Question:

Please enter **the postcode of your place of residence.**

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Panelpflege

Variable: kp22_33a

Waves: 10-14,20,22

Mode: CAWI

Topic: Panel management

Samples: B, C

Item: Entry of e-mail address

Filter: participation variable: e-mail address exists

Presentation: Single response list with open text field. Plausibility check E-mail address must contain "@" sign, in addition, "@" must be followed by "." after a not defined numbers of signs. Following Ignorable plausible text: "The e-mail address you entered is incorrect. Please check your entry. "Ignoreable DAC: "Your entry is very important to us. Please answer this question."

Question:

We have sent you the link to this survey to the e-mail address you provided last time. You can change this e-mail address here or enter it again to confirm it.

Instruction:

If you have not yet received an e-mail from us, please re-enter your email address here to ensure that we have it.

Of course your e-mail address will be stored separately from your previous entries in the survey.

- My e-mail address is: _____

- I do not wish to re-enter or change my e-mail address.

Variable: kp22_33b

Waves: 10-14,20,22

Mode: CAWI

Topic: Panel management

Samples: B, C

Item: Entry of e-mail address

Filter: participation variable: e-mail address exists

Presentation: Single response list with open text field. Plausibility check E-mail address must contain "@" sign, in addition, "@" must be followed by "." after a not defined numbers of signs. Following Ignorable plausible text: "The e-mail address you entered is incorrect. Please check your entry. "Ignoreable DAC: "Your entry is very important to us. Please answer this question."

Question:

To make it even easier for you to participate in the German Election Study in the future, we would like to ask you to provide your e-mail address. You will then receive an e-mail notification with a link that will take you directly to our survey.

Information text:

Of course your e-mail address will be stored separately from your previous entries in the survey.

- My e-mail address is: _____

- I do not have an e-mail address.

- I don't want to enter an e-mail address.

Quality of Data**Variable:** kp25_4240**Waves:** 1-25,a1,a2**Topic:** Quality of Data**Item:** Rating of survey**Mode:** CAWI**Samples:** A,B,C**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How would you rate our survey overall?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:

- (1) very good
- (2) good
- (3) neither good nor bad
- (4) bad
- (5) very bad

(-93) Not asked, terminated

(-95) Not participated

(-99) No answer

Variable: kp25_4270s

Waves: 1-25, a1,a2

Mode: CAWI

Topic: Quality of Data

Samples: A,B,C

Item: Comment, open

Filter:

Presentation:

Text field (four rows) with optical 50 characters per row, no maximum limit of characters

Intro:

Finally, we would like to give you the possibility to comment on our today's survey.

Question:

Do you have comments or suggestions concerning the survey or particular questions?

Information text [Sample B,C]:

Please do not provide your name, contact information, or other identifying information in this field to preserve the anonymity of your comments. For privacy reasons, we cannot respond to your message personally. If you would like to change your contact information or have a personal message for us regarding your participation, please email us at wahlstudie@gesis.org. We look forward to hearing from you and answering any questions you may have.

Information text [Sample A]:

Please do not provide your name, contact information, or other identifying information in this field to preserve the anonymity of your comments. For privacy reasons, we cannot respond to your message personally. If you would like to change your contact information or have a personal message for us regarding your participation, please email us at gles-studie@gesis.org. We look forward to hearing from you and answering any questions you may have.

Coding:

 (-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer