

gesis

Leibniz-Institut
für Sozialwissenschaften

German Longitudinal
Election Study



*Durchgeführt von der
Deutschen Gesellschaft für Wahlforschung und GESIS*

GLEES Panel

Wave 28

(December 2024)

ZA6838

*Documentation of Questionnaire
(Preliminary Version)*

The German Longitudinal Election Study (GLES) is the central scientific survey program in Germany for the continuous collection and provision of high-quality data for national and international election research. The methodologically diverse surveys of GLES make it possible to investigate the political attitudes and behaviors of voters and candidates. GLES has been carried out in close cooperation between the German Society for Electoral Studies (DGfW) and GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences. More information is available here: <https://www.gesis.org/gles>.

The GLES Panel allows users to study intra-individual change in political attitudes and behaviors during the election campaign, as well as investigates long-term change between federal elections.

This pre-publication of the question program relates to the 28th wave of the GLES Panel.

Please note:

This documentation of the questionnaire is a preliminary version and published before data release on the website of the German Longitudinal Election Study (GLES). Therefore, it might contain errors and adjustments might be necessary due to the data collection and processing. Preliminary English translations might change due in later revisions. Information on data releases can be found on the [GLES website](#) or by signing up for the [GLES newsletter](#).

Bibliographic Information regarding the Dataset

Study Number	ZA6838
Title	GLES Panel 2024, Wave 28
Current Version	unreleased
DOI	unreleased
Citation	unreleased
Number of Observations	not yet collected
Number of Variables	not yet collected

Basic Information

Funding Agency	GESIS – Leibniz Institute of the Social Sciences
Data Collector	GESIS – Leibniz Institute of the Social Sciences
Date of Collection	not yet collected

Wave	Sample	Date of Collection
Wave 28	A	2024-12-11 – 2024-12-22

Content	Intention to vote and vote choice, political involvement, political attitudes, perceptions of problems, evaluations of political actors, sociodemographics, personality
---------	---

Methodology

Geographic Coverage	Germany (DE)
Universe	[Sample A1-3] German population with internet access eligible to vote in the 2017 federal elections. [Sample A4] German population with internet access eligible to vote in the 2021 federal elections. [Sample A5] German population with internet access eligible to vote in the 2025 federal elections.
Mode of Data Collection	[Sample A] Self-administered questionnaire: web-based (CAWI)

Data Access

Terms of Access	[Sample A] Data and documents are released for academic research and teaching: Category A.
Anonymised Data	Due to data protection regulations, some variables can also only be made available after signing an off-site data use agreement or can only be used on-site at the Secure DataCenter (SDC) at GESIS (Cologne, Mannheim). If you are interested, please contact us at gles@gesis.org .

Notification of publications

To get an overview of the use of our data, we kindly request users of GLES-data to inform us about publications that utilize those data (biographic description, study no. of the dataset). Publications using GLES-data are listed in the official bibliography of the GLES project. In case of limited access to your publication (e.g. conference papers), we would highly appreciate if you could send us an electronic (PDF file, gles@gesis.org) or a print copy of your publication.

Contact

GESIS – Leibniz-Institut für Sozialwissenschaften

Postfach 122155

68072 Mannheim

E-Mail: gles@gesis.org

Variables: kp28_2291; kp28_2290; kp28_2293s

Topic: Verification

Items: Month of birth; Year of birth; Location of birth

Filter:

Presentation:

must answer: the following three questions are displayed on one screen; plausibility check: If no or invalid answers were given for month of birth, year of birth and/or location of birth, the following request follows: "One or more answers are invalid. Please answer all three questions to take part in our repeat survey. This is important for us to ensure that the same person takes part each time."

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

In order to find out how the views of our respondents change, it is important for us that the same person takes part each time. Please answer the following three questions about yourself.

Question kp28_2291:

In what month were you born?

- January
- February
- March
- April
- May
- June
- July
- August
- September
- October
- November
- December

Coding:

- (1) January
- (2) February
- (3) March
- (4) April
- (5) May
- (6) June
- (7) July
- (8) August
- (9) September
- (10) October
- (11) November
- (12) December

Presentation:

Text field (single row) with four numerical digits; Plausibility check: Only exactly four numerical values are possible, no letters or special characters! Only entries between 1906 and 2007 are allowed. Text for plausibility check: "Please enter your year of birth in four digits".

Question kp28_2290:

Please enter the year you were born in.

Instructions:

Use four digits, e.g. 1978.

Coding:**Presentation:**

Text field (single row); Field for answer with one character; Plausibility check: Only one letter (allow umlauts) and no number or punctuation is possible; Text for plausibility check: "Please enter the first letter of your location of birth."

Question kp25_2293s:

Please enter the first letter of your location of birth.

Instructions:

If you are not sure, enter the location of birth just as it is written on the front page of your ID card.

–

Coding:

Variables: kp28_2291_c2; kp28_2290_c2; kp28_2293s_c2

Topic: Verification

Items: Month of birth, Year of birth, Location of birth

Filter:

Sample A1/A2:

kp1_2291!=kp28_2291 | kp1_2290!=kp28_2290 | kp1_2293s!= kp28_2293s

Sample A3:

kpa1_2291!=kp28_2291 | kpa1_2290!=kp28_2290 | kpa1_2293s!= kp28_2293s

Sample A4:

kpa2_2291!=kp28_2291 | kpa2_2290!=kp28_2290 | kpa2_2293s!= kp28_2293s

Sample A5:

kpa5_2291!=kp28_2291 | kpa5_2290!=kp28_2290 | kpa5_2293s!= kp28_2293s

Presentation:

must answer: the following three questions are displayed on one screen; plausibility check: If no or invalid answers were given for month of birth, year of birth and/or location of birth, the following request follows: "One or more answers are missing or invalid. Please answer all three questions to take part in our repeat survey. This is important for us to ensure that the same person takes part each time." Display instruction text in red.

Instructions (left-justified and bold letters):

"Your answers do not match your answers from the first survey of the GLES-study. Please NOTE that you can only participate in this survey if you identify yourself correctly just as you did the first time."

Presentation:

Single, closed response list

Question kp28_2291_c2:

What month were you born in?

- January
- February
- March
- April
- May
- June
- July
- August
- September
- October
- November
- December

Coding:

- (1) January
- (2) February
- (3) March
- (4) April
- (5) May
- (6) June
- (7) July
- (8) August
- (9) September
- (10) October
- (11) November
- (12) December

Presentation:

Text field (single row) with four numerical digits; Plausibility check: Only exactly four numerical values are possible, no letters or special characters! Only entries between 1906 and 2007 are allowed. Text for plausibility check: "Please enter your year of birth in four digits".

Question kp28_2290_c2:

Please enter the year you were born in.

Instructions:

Use four digits, e.g. 1978.

Coding:**Presentation:**

Text field (single row); Field for answer with one character; plausibility check: Only one letter and no numbers are possible; Text for plausibility check: "Please enter the first letter of your location of birth."

Question kp28_2293s_c2:

Please enter the first letter of your location of birth.

Instructions:

If you are not sure, enter the location of birth just as it is written on the front of your ID card.

—

Coding:

[NOTE: Because the content of the verification variables has to be identical with the answer from the first wave of the respondent, the displayed variables are not included in the dataset but may be acquired on request.]

Variable: kp28_4280**Topic:** Verification**Item:** Reason for wrong verification code**Filter:***Sample A1/A2:* $(kp28_2293s_c2!=-97) \& (kp1_2291!=kp28_2291_c2 \mid (kp1_2290!=kp28_2290_c2) \mid (kp1_2293s!=kp28_2293s_c2))$ *Sample A3:* $(kp28_2293s_c2!=-97) \& (kpa1_2291!=kp28_2291_c2 \mid (kpa1_2290!=kp28_2290_c2) \mid (kpa1_2293s!=kp28_2293s_c2))$ *Sample A4:* $(kp28_2293s_c2!=-97) \& (kpa2_2291!=kp28_2291_c2 \mid (kpa2_2290!=kp28_2290_c2) \mid (kpa2_2293s!=kp28_2293s_c2))$ *Sample A5:* $(kp28_2293s_c2!=-97) \& (kpa5_2291!=kp28_2291_c2 \mid (kpa5_2290!=kp28_2290_c2) \mid (kpa5_2293s!=kp28_2293s_c2))$ **Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Unfortunately, your personal details do not match the details from the first survey of the GLES study. There may be various reasons for this. Please click on the reason that you think is most likely to apply.

- I did not take part in the first part of the GLES study.
- I may have made a typing error.
- I am sure that I gave the same information today as in the first part of the GLES study.

Forwarding: Closing screen >> survey completed**Coding:**

- (1) not participated
- (2) typing error
- (3) same answer

Variable: kp28_010

Topic: General attitudes towards politics

Item: Interest in politics, in general

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Quite generally, how interested are you in politics?

- very interested
- somewhat interested
- in between
- not very interested
- not interested at all

Coding:

- (1) very interested
- (2) somewhat interested
- (3) in between
- (4) not very interested
- (5) not at all interested

Variable: kp28_020**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics**Item:** Satisfaction with Democracy**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

On the whole, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way democracy works in Germany?

- very satisfied
- fairly satisfied
- neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- not very satisfied
- not at all satisfied

Coding:

- (1) very satisfied
- (2) fairly satisfied
- (3) neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- (4) not very satisfied
- (5) not at all satisfied

Variable: kp28_170

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Intention to vote

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

When elections are held a lot of people vote, others do not manage to vote or do not participate in elections for other reasons.

Question:

How likely are you to vote in the next general election in 2025?

Are you...

- certain to vote
- likely to vote
- might vote
- not likely to vote
- certain not to vote

Coding:

- (1) certain to vote
- (2) likely to vote
- (3) might vote
- (4) not likely to vote
- (5) certain not to vote

Variable: kp28_190a, b
Topic: Voting decision
Item: Vote intention

Filter:

kp28_170=1-3

Presentation:

Display sample ballot paper with first and second vote; down below "don't know"-category for first and second vote.

Intro:

You have two votes in the federal election. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party.

Question:

How will you mark your ballot?

(A) First vote:

- Candidate of the SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- Candidate of the CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- Candidate of the Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Candidate of the FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Candidate of the AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Candidate of the Die Linke
- Candidate of the BSW (Bündnis Sahra Wagenknecht)
- Candidate of other party

- don't know

(B) Second vote:

- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Die Linke
- BSW (Bündnis Sahra Wagenknecht)
- other party

- don't know

Coding:

(4) SPD

(1) CDU/CSU

(6) GRUENE

(5) FDP

(322) AfD

(7) DIE LINKE

(392) BSW

(801) other party

(-98) don't know

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kp28_192a, b were recoded into the variables kp28_190aa/kp28_190ab and kp28_190ba/kp28_190bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).

Variable: kp28_192a	Item: Vote intention: first vote, other party
Topic: Voting decision	

Filter:

kp28_190a=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which candidate would you vote for with your FIRST vote?

Candidate of...

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- Wir Bürger (Wir Bürger. Liberal. Konservativ.)
- HEIMAT (Die Heimat)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:

(126) BP

(349) DiB

(151) Die PARTEI

(372) dieBasis

(171) FAMILIE

(180) FREIE WAEHLER

(331) Wir Bürger

(206) HEIMAT

(209) oedp

(215) PIRATEN

(373) Team Todenhöfer

(237) Tierschutzpartei

(364) Volt

(801) other party

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp28_190a were recoded into the variables kp28_190aa and kp28_190ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp28_192b

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Vote intention: second vote, other party

Filter:

kp28_190b=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party would you vote for with your SECOND vote?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- Wir Bürger (Wir Bürger. Liberal. Konservativ.)
- HEIMAT (Die Heimat)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:

(126) BP

(349) DiB

(151) Die PARTEI

(372) dieBasis

(171) FAMILIE

(180) FREIE WAEHLER

(331) Wir Bürger

(206) HEIMAT

(209) oedp

(215) PIRATEN

(373) Team Todenhöfer

(237) Tierschutzpartei

(364) Volt

(801) other party

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp28_190b were recoded into the variables kp28_190ba and kp28_190bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp28_221a, c-g, i, m

Topic: Voting decision **Item:** Consideration Set

Filter:

(kp28_190b>0 & <801) | (kp28_192b>0 & <801) >> Receive intro and question

(kp28_190b=801) & (kp28_192b=-99 | kp28_192b=801) >> Do not receive any intro but ONLY question

The party that was chosen for the second vote in kp28_190b, must not appear in the answering options.

Presentation:

Standard matrix, column labels as coding labels

Intro:

You said that you want to cast your second vote for (insert party from 190b/192b).

Question:

Are there any other parties you would consider for your second vote?

- (C) SPD
- (A) CDU/CSU
- (E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- (D) FDP
- (I) AfD
- (F) Die Linke
- (M) BSW
- (G) other party

Coding:

- (1) would consider
- (2) would rather consider
- (3) would rather not consider
- (4) would not consider

Variable: kp28_211a, c-g, i, m**Topic:** Voting decision **Item:** Consideration Set, hypothetical**Filter:**

kp28_170=4-5,-99 >> Question 1

kp28_190b= -98 | -99 >> Question 2

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Question 1:

Let's assume you would take part in the election. Which party would you consider for your second vote?

Question 2:

Which parties would you consider for your second vote?

- (C) SPD
- (A) CDU/CSU
- (E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- (D) FDP
- (I) AfD
- (F) Die Linke
- (M) BSW
- (G) other party

Coding:

- (1) would consider
- (2) would probably consider
- (3) would probably not consider
- (4) would not consider

Variable: kp28_380

Topic: Involvement in election campaign

Item: Interest in the outcome of the Election

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How important is the outcome of the upcoming federal election to you personally?

- very important
- somewhat important
- in between
- not very important
- not important at all

Coding:

- (1) very important
- (2) somewhat important
- (3) in between
- (4) not very important
- (5) not important at all

Variable: kp28_390**Topic:** Involvement in election campaign**Item:** Interest in election campaign**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How interested are you in particular in this federal election campaign?

- very interested
- somewhat interested
- in between
- not very interested
- not at all interested

Coding:

- (1) very interested
- (2) somewhat interested
- (3) in between
- (4) not very interested
- (5) not at all interested

Variable: kp28_342a, b, c

Topic: Voting decision **Item:** fair election

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Intro:

Here are opinions on the election campaign and the 2025 federal election that some people agree with and others don't.

Question:

Please state whether you agree or disagree with each statement.

- (A) In the Bundestag election campaign, all parties will have a fair chance to present their positions to the electorate.
- (B) The Bundestag election will be conducted correctly and fairly by the competent authorities.
- (C) Voting by postal ballot is a secure procedure.

Coding:

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree
- (4) agree
- (5) strongly agree

Variable: kp28_840s

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Most important problem

Filter:

Presentation:

Text field (single row) with 100% of the page width, no maximum limited number of characters; italicize "only one".

Intro:

You will now be asked about the two most important problems.

Question:

In your opinion, what is the most important problem facing Germany today?

Instructions:

Please mention *only one* problem. The second most important problem is then asked about.

Coding:

[Open answers have been coded by coding pattern „Agendafragen“]

Variable: kp28_850

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Ability to solve the most important problem

Filter:

kp28_840s!=-99

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party is best able to handle this problem?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- BSW
- other party

- all parties equally good
- no party

Coding:

(4) SPD

(1) CDU/CSU

(2) CDU

(3) CSU

(6) GRUENE

(5) FDP

(322) AfD

(7) DIE LINKE

(392) BSW

(801) other party

(809) all parties equally good

(808) no party

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp28_851 were recoded into the variables kp28_850a and kp28_850b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp28_851

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Ability to solve the most important problem, other party

Filter:

kp28_850=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which of these parties is best able to handle this problem?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- Wir Bürger (Wir Bürger. Liberal. Konservativ.)
- HEIMAT (Die Heimat)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- Other party

Coding:

(126) BP

(349) DiB

(151) Die PARTEI

(372) dieBasis

(171) FAMILIE

(180) FREIE WAEHLER

(331) Wir Bürger

(206) HEIMAT

(209) oedp

(215) PIRATEN

(373) Team Todenhöfer

(237) Tierschutzpartei

(364) Volt

(801) other party

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp28_850 were recoded into the variables kp28_850a and kp28_850b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp28_860s

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Second most important problem

Filter:

kp28_840s != -99

Presentation:

Text field (single row) with 100% of the page width, no maximum limited number of characters; italicize "only one".

Question:

In your opinion, what is the second most important problem facing Germany today?

Instructions:

Please mention *only one* problem.

Coding:

[Open answers have been coded by coding pattern „Agendafragen“]

Variable: kp28_870**Topic:** Valence issues**Item:** Ability to solve the second most important problem**Filter:**

kp28_860s != -97 & kp28_860s != -99

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party is best able to handle this problem?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- BSW
- other party

- all parties equally good
- no party

Coding:

- (4) SPD
- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (6) GRUENE
- (5) FDP
- (322) AfD
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (392) BSW
- (801) other party

(809) all parties equally good

(808) no party

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp28_871 were recoded into the variables kp28_870a and kp28_870b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp28_871

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Ability to solve the second most important problem, other party

Filter:

kp28_870=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which of these parties is best able to handle this problem?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- Wir Bürger (Wir Bürger. Liberal. Konservativ.)
- HEIMAT (Die Heimat)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:

(126) BP

(349) DiB

(151) Die PARTEI

(372) dieBasis

(171) FAMILIE

(180) FREIE WAEHLER

(331) Wir Bürger

(206) HEIMAT

(209) oedp

(215) PIRATEN

(373) Team Todenhöfer

(237) Tierschutzpartei

(364) Volt

(801) other party

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp28_870 were recoded into the variables kp28_870a and kp28_870b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp28_1490a-f, i, m**Topic:** Political positions**Item:** Left-right assessment, parties**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix with numerical labels from 1 to 11; additionally, label of the endpoints "1 left" and "11 right"; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit

Intro:

In politics people often talk of "left" and "right".

Question:

Where would you place the following parties on this scale?

(C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)

(A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)

(B) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)

(E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen

(D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)

(I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

(F) Die Linke

(M) BSW (Bündnis Sahra Wagenknecht)

Coding:

(1) 1 left

(2) 2

(3) 3

(4) 4

(5) 5

(6) 6

(7) 7

(8) 8

(9) 9

(10) 10

(11) 11 right

(-98) don't know

Variable: kp28_1500

Topic: Political positions

Item: Left-right self-assessment

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix with numerical labels from 1 to 11; label of endpoints from "1 left" to "11 right"

Question:

And where would you place yourself?

- 1 left
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11 right

- don't know

Coding:

(1) 1 left

(2) 2

(3) 3

(4) 4

(5) 5

(6) 6

(7) 7

(8) 8

(9) 9

(10) 10

(11) 11 right

(-98) don't know

Variable: kp28_1090

Topic: Political positions **Item:** Socio-economic dimension, ego

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "lower taxes, although this results in less social services" and "more social services, although this results in raising taxes"

Intro:

Now it's all about your opinion on various political issues.

Question:

Some people prefer lower taxes, although this results in less social services. Others prefer more social services, although this results in raising taxes.

What is your personal view on this issue?

- lower taxes, although this results in less social services
-
-
-
-
-
- more social services, although this results in raising taxes

Coding:

- (1) 1 lower taxes, although this results in less social services
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 more social services, although this results in raising taxes

Variable: kp28_1130

Topic: Political positions **Item:** Immigration foreigners,, ego

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "immigration for foreigners should be easier", "immigration for foreigners should be more difficult"

Question:

Let's turn to the issue of immigration. Should it be easier or more difficult for foreigners to immigrate?

What is your opinion on this issue?

- immigration for foreigners should be easier
-
-
-
-
-
- immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

Coding:

- (1) 1 immigration for foreigners should be easier
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

Variable: kp28_1290

Topic: Political positions **Item:** Climate change, ego

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth", "economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change"

Question:

Some say that the fight against climate change should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth. Others say that the economic growth should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change.

What is your personal view on this issue?

- fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth
-
-
-
-
- economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

Coding:

- (1) 1 fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

Variable: kp28_1200

Topic: Political positions

Item: Sanctions against Russia, ego

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale with 7 points without numerical labels. Labels of the end points: “Germany should ease sanctions against Russia”, “Germany should tighten sanctions against Russia”.

Question:

Let’s turn to the issue of sanctions against Russia. Some believe that Germany should ease the sanctions against Russia. Others believe that Germany should tighten sanctions against Russia.

And what is your opinion on this issue?

- Germany should ease the sanctions against Russia
-
-
-
-
- Germany should tighten sanctions against Russia

Coding:

- (1) 1 Germany should ease the sanctions against Russia
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 Germany should tighten sanctions against Russia

Variable: kp28_1203**Topic:** Political positions**Item:** Arms supplies to Ukraine, Ego**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale with 7 points without numerical labels. Labels of the end points: "In favour of supplying arms to Ukraine", "Rejection of arms deliveries to Ukraine".

Question:

Some are in favour of supplying arms to Ukraine. Others are against arms deliveries to Ukraine.

What is your opinion on this topic?

- In favour of supplying arms to Ukraine
-
-
-
-
-
- Rejection of arms deliveries to Ukraine

Coding:

- (1) 1 In favour of supplying arms to Ukraine
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 Rejection of arms deliveries to Ukraine

Variables: kp28_1100; kp28_1140; kp28_1300; kp28_1202, kp28_1205

Topic: Political positions **Item:** Political positions, importance

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix, scale labeled from left “very important” to right “not at all important”

Question:

And how important are the following topics to you personally?

(1100) social services and taxes

(1140) immigration of foreigners

(1300) economic growth and fight against climate change

(1202) sanctions against Russia

(1205) arms supplies to Ukraine

Coding:

(1) very important

(2) important

(3) average

(4) not that important

(5) not important at all

Variable: kp28_1250**Topic:** Political positions**Item:** European integration, ego**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "European unification should be pushed further", "European unification has already gone too far".

Intro:

Now it's all about European unification.

Question:

Should the European unification be pushed further in order to establish a joint government soon or has the European unification already gone too far?

What is your personal view on this issue?

- European unification should be pushed further
-
-
-
-
-
- European unification has already gone too far

Coding:

- (1) European unification should be pushed further
- (2)
- (3)
- (4)
- (5)
- (6)
- (7) European unification has already gone too far

Variable: kp28_1260

Topic: Political positions **Item:** Globalization, ego

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale with 7 points without numerical labels. Labels of the end points: “loser”, “winner”; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit.

Question:

Do you see yourself as a loser or a winner of globalisation?

- loser
-
-
-
-
-
- winner

- don't know

Coding:

(1) loser

(2)

(3)

(4)

(5)

(6)

(7) winner

(-98) don't know

Variable: kp28_2880dc, dd, g, j, u, d, t, aj, co, de

Topic: Political Positions **Item:** Ego positions

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Intro:

Now it's time for your assessment of political issues. You can more or less agree with the following statements.

Question screen 1:

For each statement, please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with it.

- (DC) All in all, Germany is moving in the right direction.
- (DD) I find it difficult to be confident about the future of our country.
- (G) The state should stay out of the economy.
- (J) Rich citizens should pay more taxes in the future than they do now.
- (U) The power supply should be secured even by the use of nuclear power.

Question screen 2:

What about these statements?

- (D) The state should take measures to reduce differences in income levels.
- (T) The state should save companies with many jobs from insolvency.
- (AJ) The minimum wage in Germany should be raised significantly.
- (CO) The statutory retirement age should be raised to over 67.
- (DE) The solidary citizen's income (Bürgergeld) should be reduced.

Coding:

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree
- (4) agree
- (5) strongly agree

Variable: kp28_5021

Topic: General attitudes towards politics

Item: Difference parties

Filter:

Presentation:

Semantic differential, without numerical labelling, labelling of the end points “no differences at all” and “major differences”.

Question:

Thinking about the current political discussions, how large would you say the differences between the parties are?

Coding:

- (1) 1 no differences at all
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5 major differences

Variable: kp28_5020**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics**Item:** Difference government**Filter:****Presentation:**

Semantic differential, without numerical labelling, labelling of the end points “It doesn’t make any difference who is in power” and “It makes a big difference who is in power”.

Question:

Some people say that it makes a big difference who is in power in Berlin. Others say that it doesn't make any difference who is in power. How about you?

Coding:

- (1) 1 It doesn't make any difference who is in power.
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5 It makes a big difference who is in power.

Variable: kp28_2880y, x, be, bk, br

Topic: Political positions **Item:** Ego positions

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Intro:

Once again, you will see some statements here that some people agree with, but others disagree with.

Question:

For each statement, please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with it.

(Y) Germany's defence expenditure should be increased over the next few years.

(X) Germany needs an annual upper limit ("Obergrenze") for refugees.

(BE) To make sure that this survey is being filled out by a human, please click here on 'disagree'

(BK) In its dealings with Russia, Germany should rely less on cooperation and more on confrontation

(BR) The 'debt brake' should be relaxed.

Coding:

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

Variable: kp28_1483a-h**Topic:** Predispositions **Item:** Foreign policy orientations**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix; column labels according to coding labels

Intro:

Now some statements about Germany's foreign and security policy.

Question screen 1:

Please state whether you agree or disagree with the following statements.

- (A) The use of military force is never justified.
- (B) Germany shouldn't deal with global issues but should focus on its domestic problems.
- (C) On foreign policy issues, Germany should act in harmony with the USA.
- (D) In international crises, Germany and its allies should agree on a common position.

Question screen 2:

Please state whether you agree or disagree with the following statements.

- (E) Germany should play a more active role in global politics.
- (F) War is sometimes necessary to protect a country's interests.
- (G) Germany should take care of its security primarily on its own.
- (H) Germany should pursue its interests vis-à-vis the USA with more self-confidence.

Coding:

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree
- (4) agree
- (5) strongly agree

Variable: kp28_430a-f, l, m**Topic:** Party ratings in general**Item:** Scalometer parties**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the party at all" and +5 "I think a great deal of the party". "haven't heard of" settled out a bit

Question:

What do you think of the different parties in general?

Instructions:

Please use a scale from -5 to +5.

- (C) SPD
- (A) CDU
- (B) CSU
- (E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- (D) FDP
- (I) AfD
- (F) Die Linke
- (M) BSW

Coding:

- (1) -5 I do not think much of the party at all
 - (2) -4
 - (3) -3
 - (4) -2
 - (5) -1
 - (6) 0
 - (7) +1
 - (8) +2
 - (9) +3
 - (10) +4
 - (11) +5 I think a great deal of the party
- (-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

Variable: kp28_730**Topic:** Party ratings in general**Item:** Scalometer government**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

Question:

Are you more satisfied or less satisfied with the performance of the government of SPD and Bündnis 90 / Die Grünen?

- -5 not at all satisfied
- -4
- -3
- -2
- -1
- 0
- +1
- +2
- +3
- +4
- +5 completely satisfied

Coding:

- (1) -5 not at all satisfied
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 completely satisfied

Variable: kp28_731d-f**Topic:** Party ratings in general**Item:** Scalometer governing parties**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

Question:

And considering each of the governing parties separately, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with their performance? How satisfied are you with the performance of the...?

(D) SPD

(F) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen

Coding:

(1) -5 not at all satisfied

(2) -4

(3) -3

(4) -2

(5) -1

(6) 0

(7) +1

(8) +2

(9) +3

(10) +4

(11) +5 completely satisfied

Variable: kp28_4050**Topic:** Ukraine war**Item:** Ukraine war: government**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied".

Question:

How satisfied are you with the work of the federal government in connection with the war in Ukraine?

Coding:

- (1) -5 not at all satisfied
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 completely satisfied

Variable: kp28_733

Topic: Party ratings in general

Item: Government breach: assessment

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale: -3 to +3 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -3 "very negative" and +3 "very positive".

Question:

How do you assess the break-up of the governing coalition between the SPD, Bündnis 90/Die Grünen and the FDP?

Coding:

- (1) -3 very negative
- (2) -2
- (3) -1
- (4) 0
- (5) +1
- (6) +2
- (7) +3 very positive

Variable: kp28_732a-f**Topic:** Party ratings in general**Item:** Government breach: responsibility**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix

Question:

To what extent are the following parties and politicians responsible for the break-up of the governing alliance between the SPD, Bündnis 90/Die Grünen and the FDP?

- (A) SPD
- (B) Olaf Scholz
- (C) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- (D) Robert Habeck
- (E) FDP
- (F) Christian Lindner

Coding:

- (1) no responsibility
- (2) little responsibility
- (3) moderate responsibility
- (4) a lot of responsibility
- (5) a great deal of responsibility

Variable: kp28_650x1, s, o1, y, k1, l1, e2, f2, h2, m2, g2

Topic: Candidates

Item: Scalometer politicians

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the person at all", +5 "I think a great deal of the person"; "haven't heard of" - category is settled out a bit

Question screen 1:

Please state what you think of some leading politicians.

- (X1) Friedrich Merz
- (S) Christian Lindner
- (O1) Olaf Scholz
- (Y) Sahra Wagenknecht
- (K1) Markus Söder
- (L1) Robert Habeck

Question screen 2:

And what do you think of the following politicians?

- (E2) Saskia Esken
- (F2) Annalena Baerbock
- (H2) Alice Weidel
- (M2) Boris Pistorius
- (G2) Dietmar Bartsch

Coding:

- (1) -5 I do not think much of the person at all
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 I think a great deal of the person

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

Variable: kp28_661a2-d2**Topic:** Candidates**Item:** Attributes of chancellor candidates, Merz**Filter:**

kp28_650b1!=-71

Presentation:

Standard matrix, „ don't know"-category is settled out a bit.

Question:

To what extent do the following statements apply or not apply to Friedrich Merz?

(A2) He is assertive.

(B2) He is trustworthy.

(C2) He is a likeable person.

(D2) He has reasonable ideas about how to boost the economy.

- does not apply at all
- rather does apply
- neither applies nor does not apply
- rather applies
- applies completely

- don't know

Coding:

- (1) does not apply at all
- (2) rather does not apply
- (3) neither applies nor does not apply
- (4) rather applies
- (5) applies completely

(-98) don't know

Variable: kp28_661e1-h1

Topic: Candidates

Item: Attributes of chancellor candidates, Scholz

Filter:

kp28_650o1!=-71

Presentation:

Standard matrix, „ don't know"-category is settled out a bit.

Question:

To what extent do the following statements apply or not apply to Olaf Scholz?

(E1) He is assertive.

(F1) He is trustworthy.

(G1) He is a likeable person.

(H1) He has reasonable ideas about how to boost the economy.

- does not apply at all
- rather does apply
- neither applies nor does not apply
- rather applies
- applies completely

- don't know

Coding:

(1) does not apply at all

(2) rather does not apply

(3) neither applies nor does not apply

(4) rather applies

(5) applies completely

(-98) don't know

Variable: kp28_661i2-l2**Topic:** Candidates**Item:** Attributes of chancellor candidates, Habeck**Filter:**

kp28_650f2!=-71

Presentation:

Standard matrix, „ don't know"-category is settled out a bit.

Question:

To what extent do the following statements apply or not apply to Robert Habeck?

(I2) He is assertive.

(J2) He is trustworthy.

(K2) He is a likeable person.

(L2) He has reasonable ideas about how to boost the economy.

- does not apply at all
- rather does apply
- neither applies nor does not apply
- rather applies
- applies completely

- don't know

Coding:

(1) does not apply at all

(2) rather does not apply

(3) neither applies nor does not apply

(4) rather applies

(5) applies completely

(-98) don't know

Variable: kp28_661m1-p1

Topic: Candidates

Item: Attributes of chancellor candidates, Weidel

Filter:

kp28_650h2!=-71

Presentation:

Standard matrix, „ don't know"-category is settled out a bit.

Question:

To what extent do the following statements apply or not apply to Robert Habeck?

(M1) She is assertive.

(N1) She is trustworthy.

(O1) She is a likeable person.

(P1) She has reasonable ideas about how to boost the economy.

- does not apply at all
- rather does apply
- neither applies nor does not apply
- rather applies
- applies completely

- don't know

Coding:

(1) does not apply at all

(2) rather does not apply

(3) neither applies nor does not apply

(4) rather applies

(5) applies completely

(-98) don't know

Variable: kp28_671

Topic: Candidates

Item: Preferred chancellor

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Who would you prefer to see as chancellor?

- Friedrich Merz
- Olaf Scholz
- Robert Habeck
- Alice Weidel
- none of them

Coding:

- (1) Friedrich Merz
- (2) Olaf Scholz
- (3) Robert Habeck
- (5) Alice Weidel
- (4) none of them

Variable: kp28_070a, b

Topic: General attitudes towards politics

Item: Other forms of participation, retrospective

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Question:

If you think back over the last twelve months, did you do any of the following to exercise political influence and to assert your point of view?

Did you...?

- (A) Took part in a community action group.
- (B) Took part in a demonstration.

Coding:

- (1) yes
- (2) no

Variable: kp28_780**Topic:** Valence issues **Item:** Personal economic situation, current**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical), italicize "own".

Intro:

Now, let us shift attention to your economic situation.

Question:How would you evaluate your *own* current economic situation?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:

- (1) very good
- (2) good
- (3) neither good nor bad
- (4) bad
- (5) very bad

Variable: kp28_770

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Responsibility for personal economic situation

Filter:

kp28_780=1-5

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical), don't know"-category is settled out a bit.

Question:

To what extent do you think that the federal government's policies are responsible for your economic situation?

- a great deal
- a fair amount
- moderately
- not very much
- not at all

- don't know

Coding:

- (1) a great deal
- (2) a fair amount
- (3) moderately
- (4) not very much
- (5) not at all

(-98) don't know

Variable: kp28_790**Topic:** Valence issues **Item:** Personal economic situation, future**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical), italicize "own".

Question:

What do you think your own economic situation will be a year from now?

- Improved considerably
- Improved somewhat
- Remained the same
- Deteriorated somewhat
- Deteriorated considerably

Coding:

- (1) Improved considerably
- (2) Improved somewhat
- (3) Remained the same
- (4) Deteriorated somewhat
- (5) Deteriorated considerably

Variable: kp28_820

Topic: Valenzissues

Item: General economic situation, current

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How would you evaluate the current general economic situation in Germany?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:

- (1) very good
- (2) good
- (3) neither good nor bad
- (4) bad
- (5) very bad

Variable: kp28_810**Topic:** Valence issues**Item:** Responsibility for general economic situation**Filter:**

kp28_820=1-5

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical); don't know"-category is settled out a bit.

Question:

To what extent do you think that the government's policies are responsible for the general economic situation in Germany?

- a great deal
- a fair amount
- moderately
- not very much
- not at all

- don't know

Coding:

- (1) a great deal
- (2) a fair amount
- (3) moderately
- (4) not very much
- (5) not at all

(-98) don't know

Variable: kp28_830

Topic: Valence issues

Item: General economic situation, future

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

What do you think the general economic situation in Germany will be a year from now?

- Improved considerably
- Improved somewhat
- Remained the same
- Deteriorated somewhat
- Deteriorated considerably

Coding:

- (1) Improved considerably
- (2) Improved somewhat
- (3) Remained the same
- (4) Deteriorated somewhat
- (5) Deteriorated considerably

Variable: kp28_2880cf, cl, db, cx, cy**Topic:** Political positions **Item:** Ego positions**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix

Intro:

Once again, you will see some statements here that some people agree with, but others disagree with.

Question:

For each statement, please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with it.

(CF) Germany should spend at least two percent of its economic output on defense each year.

(CL) Germany should better hold back on supporting Ukraine, so that we are not also attacked.

(DB) No American medium-range ballistic missiles should be stationed in Germany.

(CX) Jewish people have too much influence in Germany.

(CY) Given Israel's policies I can well understand that people are against the Jews.

Coding:

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

Variable: kp28_050a, e, h, k, l

Topic: General attitudes towards politics

Item: Efficacy and duty to vote

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Intro:

Here are some common statements on politics and society. You can agree with the following statements to a greater or lesser extent.

Question:

Please state whether you agree or disagree with each statement.

- (A) Politicians care about what ordinary people think.
- (E) Politicians try to get in close contact with the population.
- (H) I have the confidence to take active part in a discussion about political issues.
- (K) I am perfectly able to understand and assess important political questions.
- (L) In a democracy, it is the duty of all citizens to vote regularly in elections.

Coding:

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree
- (4) agree
- (5) strongly agree

Variable: kp28_1600**Topic:** Media use**Item:** Internet use, politically current**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical), italicize "past week"

Question:On how many days during the *past week* did you use the Internet to inform yourself about politics?

- not at all
- on one day
- on 2 days
- on 3 days
- on 4 days
- on 5 days
- on 6 days
- on 7 days

Coding:

- (1) 0 days
- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

Variable: kp28_1615a, c-f, i, m, y

Topic: Media use

Item: Use of social media, party-related

Filter:

Presentation:

Matrix with checkboxes, kp28_1615y above the matrix; plausibility check text, if at least one checkbox is set to “Yes” and kp28_1615y is selected: “The combination of your information is not possible, please check your entry”.

Question:

Do you follow political parties or certain politicians on a social network (e.g., YouTube, Facebook, Instagram, TikTok, X/Twitter oder Telegram), or have you subscribed to their channels?

(Y) I don’t use any social networks such as YouTube, Facebook, Instagram, TikTok, X/Twitter oder Telegram.

(C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)

(A) CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/ Christlich-Soziale Union)

(E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen

(D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)

(I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

(F) Die Linke

(M) BSW

- yes, I follow the party
- yes, I follow a politician from this party
- no

Coding kp28_1615a, c-f, i, m:

(1) yes, I follow the party

(2) yes, I follow a politician from this party

(3) yes, I follow the party and a politician from that party

(4) no, I don’t follow

(5) no, I don’t use any social networks

Coding kp28_1615y:

(1) not mentioned

(2) mentioned

[NOTE: The answers for each party were summarised in one variable with the values under „Coding kp28_1615a, c-f, i, m“]

Variable: kp28_1610**Topic:** Media use**Item:** Use of social media, politically current**Filter:**

kp28_1615y = 1

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical), italicize "past week"

Question:On how many days during the *past week* did you see or read posts about politics on social networks such as YouTube, Facebook, Instagram, TikTok, X/Twitter oder Telegram?

- not at all
- on one day
- on 2 days
- on 3 days
- on 4 days
- on 5 days
- on 6 days
- on 7 days

Coding:

- (1) 0 days
- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

Variable: kp28_1616a-c, y

Topic: Media use

Item: Online participation, politically current

Filter:

kp28_1615y = 1

Presentation:

Standard matrix with wrapping; bottom button with the information 'I have not commented on, shared or written any political content myself'; 'last week' in the question text highlighted in italics; plausibility check text 'The combination of your information is not possible, please check your entry' if kp28_1616y=1 and an entry of more than 0 days at kp28_1616a-c.

Question:

On how many days during the *past week* did you comment on, share, or write political content on a social network such as YouTube, Facebook, Instagram, TikTok, X/Twitter, Telegram?

- (A) liked or commented on other users' political posts
- (B) forwarded or shared other users' political posts
- (C) wrote and posted political content on my own

- (Y) I didn't comment on, share or write any political content.

Coding kp28_1616a-c:

- (1) 0 days
- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

Coding kp28_1616y:

- (1) mentioned
- (2) not mentioned

Variable: kp28_1661a-h**Topic:** Media use**Item:** Use of print media, politically current**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix; lowest button with the statement "I have not read any political reports from these newspapers. Highlight "on average" in italics in the question text. Plausibility check text 'The combination of your information is not possible, please check your entry' if kp28_1661=1 and an entry of more than 0 days at kp28_1661a-g.

Question:

On how many days a week *on average* do you read political reports in the following newspapers or on their websites?

Instructions:

It is irrelevant whether you read the print or the online edition of the newspaper or read political reports on the newspaper's website.

- (A) Bild-Zeitung
- (B) Frankfurter Rundschau
- (C) Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung
- (D) Süddeutsche Zeitung
- (E) Die tageszeitung (taz)
- (F) Die Welt
- (G) other daily newspaper (local newspaper or regional newspaper, Handelsblatt,...)

- (H) I didn't read any articles on politics in the newspapers.

Coding kp28_1661a-g:

- (1) 0 days
- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

Coding kp28_1661h:

- (1) mentioned
- (2) not mentioned

Variable: kp28_910a, e, g, l, i, f, c, b, k, m

Topic: Strategic Voting

Item: Scalometer coalitions

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; Scale: -5 to +5 with numerical label; with zero point; Label: -5 "not desirable at all", +5 "very desirable"

Question screen 1:

Regardless of which coalition governs and how likely the following coalitions are at the moment, how desirable are these coalition governments at federal level for you personally?

Coalition of...

- (A) CDU/CSU and SPD
- (E) CDU/CSU and GRÜNE
- (G) CDU/CSU, GRÜNE and FDP
- (L) CDU/CSU, SPD and GRÜNE
- (I) CDU/CSU, SPD and FDP

Question screen 2:

And how desirable do you personally think this coalition government is at federal level?

Coalition of...

- (F) SPD, GRÜNE and FDP
- (C) SPD and GRÜNE
- (B) CDU/CSU and FDP
- (K) CDU/CSU and AfD
- (M) AfD and BSW

Coding:

- (1) -5 not desirable at all
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 very desirable

Variable: kp28_960a-f, i, m, x, y**Topic:** Strategic Voting**Item:** Expected coalition**Filter:****Presentation:**

Multiple answers; plausibility check text 'The combination of your information is not possible, please check your entry' if 'don't know' is selected together with another answer option.

Question:

What do you expect, which parties will actually form the government together after the general election?

Coalition of...

- (C) SPD
- (A) CDU
- (B) CSU
- (E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- (D) FDP
- (I) AfD
- (F) Die Linke
- (M) BSW
- (X) other party

- (Y) don't know

Coding:

Variable: kp28_3010d, f, k, m

Topic: Strategic Voting **Item:** Probability of smaller parties entering Parliament

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Question:

How likely do you think it is for the following parties to be represented in the Bundestag after the federal election?

(D) FDP

(F) Die Linke

(K) Freie Wähler

(M) BSW

Coding:

(1) definitely not

(2) probably not

(3) maybe

(4) probably

(5) definitely

Variable: kp28_110**Topic:** Political Knowledge**Item:** Political knowledge: First/second vote**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical); "don't know"- category is settled out a bit.

Question:

What do you think: Which vote decides how many seats each party will have in parliament?

- the first vote
- the second vote
- both are equally important

- don't know

Coding:

- (1) the first vote
- (2) the second vote
- (3) both are equally important

(-98) don't know

[NOTE: The correct answer (2 – the second vote) has been marked with an asterisk (*).]

Variable: kp28_2090**Topic:** Predispositions**Item:** Party identification**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

In Germany, many people lean towards a particular party for a long time, although they may occasionally vote for a different party.

Question:

How about you, do you in general lean towards a particular party? If so, which one?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- BSW
- other party

- no party

Coding:

(4) SPD

(1) CDU/CSU

(2) CDU

(3) CSU

(6) GRUENE

(5) FDP

(322) AfD

(7) DIE LINKE

(392) BSW

(801) other party

(808) no party

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp28_2091 were recoded into the variables kp28_2090a and kp28_2090b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp28_2091**Topic:** Predispositions**Item:** Party identification, other party**Filter:**

kp28_2090=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which one of these parties do you feel close to?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- Wir Bürger (Wir Bürger. Liberal. Konservativ.)
- HEIMAT (Die Heimat)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:

(126) BP

(349) DiB

(151) Die PARTEI

(372) dieBasis

(171) FAMILIE

(180) FREIE WAEHLER

(331)Wir Bürger

(206) HEIMAT

(209) oedp

(215) PIRATEN

(373) Team Todenhöfer

(237) Tierschutzpartei

(364) Volt

(801) other party

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp28_2090 were recoded into the variables kp28_2090a and kp28_2090b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp28_2100**Topic:** Predispositions**Item:** Party identification, strength**Filter:**

kp28_2090 = 1-800 | (kp28_2090 = 801 & kp28_2091 = 1-801)

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean towards this party?

- very strongly
- fairly strongly
- moderately
- fairly weakly
- very weakly

Coding:

- (1) very strongly
- (2) fairly strongly
- (3) moderately
- (4) fairly weakly
- (5) very weakly

Variable: kp28_2095**Topic:** Predispositions**Item:** Multiple party identification**Filter:**

kp28_2090=1-801; do not display party named at kp28_2090 anymore. If kp28_2090=1 (CDU/CSU), then show neither response option 2 (CDU) nor response option 3 (CSU). If kp28_2090=2 | 3, then do not display response option 1 and the opposite answer option 2 or 3 at kp28_2095 anymore.

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Some people lean towards more than one party, while others don't. How about you? Do you lean towards another political party?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- BSW
- other party

- no other party

Coding:

- (4) SPD
- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (6) GRUENE
- (5) FDP
- (322) AfD
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (392) BSW
- (801) other party

(808) no other party

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kp28_2096 were recoded into the variables kp28_2095a and kp28_2095b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp28_2096**Topic:** Predispositions**Item:** Multiple party identification, other party**Filter:**

kp28_2095=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which one of these parties do you feel close to?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- Wir Bürger (Wir Bürger. Liberal. Konservativ.)
- HEIMAT (Die Heimat)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:

(126) BP

(349) DiB

(151) Die PARTEI

(372) dieBasis

(171) FAMILIE

(180) FREIE WAEHLER

(331) Wir Bürger

(206) HEIMAT

(209) oedp

(215) PIRATEN

(373) Team Todenhöfer

(237) Tierschutzpartei

(364) Volt

(801) other party

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kp28_2095 were recoded into the variables kp28_2095a and kp28_2095b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp28_2101**Topic:** Predispositions**Item:** Multiple party identification, strength**Filter:**

kp28_2095 = 1-800 | (kp28_2095 = 801 & kp28_2096 = 1-801)

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean towards this party?

- very strongly
- fairly strongly
- moderately
- fairly weakly
- very weakly

Coding:

- (1) very strongly
- (2) fairly strongly
- (3) moderately
- (4) fairly weakly
- (5) very weakly

Variable: kp28_4241a-d

Topic: Quality of Data

Item: Rating questionnaire: Interesting/Diverse/Long/Difficult

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard-Matrix

Intro:

Finally, we would like to know what you thought of this survey.

Question:

How was the questionnaire?

- (A) Interesting
- (B) Diverse
- (C) Long
- (D) Difficult

Coding:

- (1) not at all
- (2) rather not
- (3) partly/partly
- (4) rather
- (5) very

Variable: kp28_4270s**Topic:** Quality of Data**Item:** Comment, open**Filter:****Presentation:**

Text field (four rows) with 100% page width, no maximum limit of characters.

Intro:

Finally, we would like to give you the possibility to comment on our today's survey. Please express your opinion, praise or criticism in a friendly, factual and respectful manner. Please note, however, that we are unable to reply to your comments for data protection reasons. If you have any questions or suggestions about our study and would like personal feedback, please email us at gles-studie@gesis.org.

Question:

Do you have comments or suggestions concerning the survey or particular questions?

Instructions:

For your own protection, we ask you NOT to enter any sensitive data such as your name, contact details or other identifying information.

Coding: