

gesis

Leibniz-Institut  
für Sozialwissenschaften

German Longitudinal  
Election Study



*Durchgeführt von der  
Deutschen Gesellschaft für Wahlforschung und GESIS*

**GLEES Panel**

**Wave 29**

**(January 2025)**

ZA6838

*Documentation of Questionnaire  
(Preliminary Version)*

The German Longitudinal Election Study (GLES) is the central scientific survey programme in Germany for the continuous collection and provision of high-quality data for national and international election research. The methodologically diverse surveys of GLES make it possible to investigate the political attitudes and behaviors of voters and candidates. GLES has been carried out in close cooperation between the German Society for Electoral Studies (DGfW) and GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences. More information is available here: <https://www.gesis.org/gles>.

The GLES Panel allows users to study intra-individual change in political attitudes and behaviors during the election campaign and to investigate long-term change between federal elections.

This pre-publication of the question programme relates to the 29th wave of the GLES Panel.

**Please note:**

This documentation of the questionnaire is a preliminary version and published before data release on the website of the German Longitudinal Election Study (GLES). Therefore, it might contain errors and adjustments might be necessary due to the data collection and processing. Preliminary English translations might change due in later revisions. Information on data releases can be found on the [GLES website](#) or by signing up for the [GLES newsletter](#).

### Bibliographic Information regarding the Dataset

Study Number	ZA6838
Title	GLÉS Panel 2025, Wave 29
Current Version	unreleased
DOI	unreleased
Citation	unreleased
Number of Observations	not yet collected
Number of Variables	not yet collected

### Basic Information

Funding Agency	GESIS – Leibniz Institute of the Social Sciences
Data Collector	GESIS – Leibniz Institute of the Social Sciences
Date of Collection	not yet collected

Wave	Sample	Date of Collection
Wave 29	A	2025-01-16 – 2025-01-30

Content	Intention to vote and vote choice, political involvement, political attitudes, perceptions of problems, evaluations of political actors, sociodemographics, personality
---------	---

### Methodology

Geographic Coverage	Germany (DE)
Universe	[Sample A1-3] German population with internet access eligible to vote in the 2017 federal elections.
	[Sample A4] German population with internet access eligible to vote in the 2021 federal elections.
	[Sample A5] German population with internet access eligible to vote in the 2025 federal elections.
Mode of Data Collection	[Sample A] Self-administered questionnaire: web-based (CAWI)

### Data Access

Terms of Access	[Sample A] Data and documents are released for academic research and teaching: Category A.
-----------------	---

**Anonymised Data**

Due to data protection regulations, some variables can also only be made available after signing an off-site data use agreement or can only be used on-site at the Secure DataCenter (SDC) at GESIS (Cologne, Mannheim). If you are interested, please contact us at [gles@gesis.org](mailto:gles@gesis.org).

### **Notification of publications**

To get an overview of the use of our data, we kindly request users of GLES-data to inform us about publications that utilize those data (biographic description, study no. of the dataset). Publications using GLES-data are listed in the official bibliography of the GLES project. In case of limited access to your publication (e.g. conference papers), we would highly appreciate if you could send us an electronic (PDF file, [gles@gesis.org](mailto:gles@gesis.org)) or a print copy of your publication.

### **Contact**

GESIS – Leibniz-Institut für Sozialwissenschaften

Postfach 122155

68072 Mannheim

E-Mail: [gles@gesis.org](mailto:gles@gesis.org)

**Variables:** kp29\_2291; kp29\_2290; kp29\_2293s

**Topic:** Verification

**Items:** Month of birth; Year of birth; Location of birth

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

must answer: the following three questions are displayed on one screen; plausibility check: If no or invalid answers were given for month of birth, year of birth and/or location of birth, the following request follows: "The answers are either not in the correct format or the combination of answers is invalid. Please check your entries and correct them in order to continue."

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Intro:**

In order to find out how the views of our respondents change, it is important for us that the same person takes part each time. Please answer the following three questions about yourself.

**Question kp29\_2291:**

In what month were you born?

- January
- February
- March
- April
- May
- June
- July
- August
- September
- October
- November
- December

**Coding:**

- (1) January
- (2) February
- (3) March
- (4) April
- (5) May
- (6) June
- (7) July
- (8) August
- (9) September
- (10) October
- (11) November
- (12) December

**Presentation:**

Text field (single row) with four numerical digits; Plausibility check: Only exactly four numerical values are possible, no letters or special characters. Only entries between 1906 and 2007 are allowed.

**Question kp29\_2290:**

Please enter the year you were born in.

**Instructions:**

Use four digits, e.g. 1978.

-----

---

**Coding:**

**Presentation:**

Text field (single row); Field for answer with one character; Plausibility check: Only one letter (allow umlauts) and no number or punctuation is possible.

**Question kp29\_2293s:**

Please enter the first letter of your location of birth.

**Instructions:**

If you are not sure, enter the location of birth just as it is written on the front of your ID card.

–

**Coding:**

**Variables:** kp29\_2291\_c2; kp29\_2290\_c2; kp29\_2293s\_c2

**Topic:** Verification

**Items:** Month of birth, Year of birth, Location of birth

**Filter:**

*Sample A1/A2:*

kp1\_2291!=kp29\_2291 | kp1\_2290!=kp29\_2290 | kp1\_2293s!= kp29\_2293s

*Sample A3:*

kpa1\_2291!=kp29\_2291 | kpa1\_2290!=kp29\_2290 | kpa1\_2293s!= kp29\_2293s

*Sample A4:*

kpa2\_2291!=kp29\_2291 | kpa2\_2290!=kp29\_2290 | kpa2\_2293s!= kp29\_2293s

*Sample A5:*

kpa5\_2291!=kp29\_2291 | kpa5\_2290!=kp29\_2290 | kpa5\_2293s!= kp29\_2293s

**Presentation:**

must answer: the following three questions are displayed on one screen; plausibility check: If no or invalid answers were given for month of birth, year of birth and/or location of birth, the following request follows: "The answers are either not in the correct format or the combination of answers is invalid. Please check your entries and correct them in order to continue."

**Presentation:**

Single, closed response list

**Instructions (left-justified and bold letters):**

"Your answers do not match your answers from the first survey of the GLES-study. Please NOTE that you can only participate in this survey if you identify yourself correctly just as you did the first time."

**Question kp29\_2291\_c2:**

What month were you born in?

- January
- February
- March
- April
- May
- June
- July
- August
- September
- October
- November
- December

**Coding:**

- (1) January
- (2) February
- (3) March
- (4) April
- (5) May
- (6) June
- (7) July
- (8) August
- (9) September
- (10) October
- (11) November
- (12) December

**Presentation:**



---

Text field (single row) with four numerical digits; Plausibility check: Only exactly four numerical values are possible, no letters or special characters. Only entries between 1906 and 2007 are allowed.

**Question kp29\_2290\_c2:**

Please enter the year you were born in.

**Instructions:**

Use four digits, e.g. 1978.

----

**Coding:****Presentation:**

Text field (single row); Field for answer with one character; plausibility check: Only one letter and no numbers are possible.

**Question kp29\_2293s\_c2:**

Please enter the first letter of your location of birth.

**Instructions:**

If you are not sure, enter the location of birth just as it is written on the front of your ID card.

—

**Coding:**

[NOTE: Because the content of the verification variables has to be identical with the answer from the first wave of the respondent, the displayed variables are not included in the dataset but may be acquired on request.]

<b>Variable:</b> kp29_4280	<b>Item:</b> Reason for wrong verification code
<b>Topic:</b> Verification	

**Filter:**

*Sample A1/A2:*

(kp29\_2293s\_c2!=-97)& (kp1\_2291!=kp29\_2291\_c2 | (kp1\_2290!=kp29\_2290\_c2) |  
(kp1\_2293s!=kp29\_2293s\_c2)

*Sample A3:*

(kp29\_2293s\_c2!=-97)& (kpa1\_2291!=kp29\_2291\_c2 | (kpa1\_2290!=kp29\_2290\_c2) |  
(kpa1\_2293s!=kp29\_2293s\_c2)

*Sample A4:*

(kp29\_2293s\_c2!=-97)& (kpa2\_2291!=kp29\_2291\_c2 | (kpa2\_2290!=kp29\_2290\_c2) |  
(kpa2\_2293s!=kp29\_2293s\_c2)

*Sample A5:*

(kp29\_2293s\_c2!=-97)& (kpa5\_2291!=kp29\_2291\_c2 | (kpa5\_2290!=kp29\_2290\_c2) |  
(kpa5\_2293s!=kp29\_2293s\_c2)

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**

Unfortunately, your personal details do not match the details from the first survey of the GLES study. There may be various reasons for this. Please click on the reason that you think is most likely to apply.

- I did not take part in the first part of the GLES study.
- I may have made a typing error.
- I am sure that I gave the same information today as in the first part of the GLES study.

**Forwarding:**

Closing screen >> survey completed

**Coding:**

- (1) not participated
- (2) typing error
- (3) same answer

**Variable:** kp29\_021**Topic:** Personality**Item:** General Life Satisfaction**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale with numerical labels. Labels of the end points “Completely dissatisfied”, “Completely satisfied”.

**Question:**

How satisfied are you currently, overall, with your life?

- 1 Completely dissatisfied
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11 Completely satisfied

**Coding:**

- (1) 1 Completely dissatisfied
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7
- (8) 8
- (9) 9
- (10) 10
- (11) 11 Completely satisfied

---

**Variable:** kp29\_020

**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics

**Item:** Satisfaction with Democracy

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**

On the whole, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way democracy works in Germany?

- very satisfied
- fairly satisfied
- neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- not very satisfied
- not at all satisfied

**Coding:**

- (1) very satisfied
- (2) fairly satisfied
- (3) neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- (4) not very satisfied
- (5) not at all satisfied

---

**Variable:** kp29\_010**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics**Item:** Interest in politics, in general**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**

How interested are you in politics in general?

- very strongly
- strongly
- moderately
- less strongly
- not at all

**Coding:**

- (1) very strongly
- (2) strongly
- (3) moderately
- (4) less strongly
- (5) not at all

---

**Variable:** kp29\_170**Topic:** Voting decision**Item:** Intention to vote**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Intro:**

When elections are held a lot of people vote, others do not manage to vote or do not participate in elections for other reasons.

**Question:**

How likely are you to vote in the next general election on 23 February 2025?

Are you...

- certain to vote
- likely to vote
- maybe going to vote
- not likely to vote
- certain not to vote
  
- I have already cast a postal vote

**Coding:**

- (1) certain to vote
- (2) likely to vote
- (3) maybe going to vote
- (4) not likely to vote
- (5) certain not to vote
  
- (6) have already cast a postal vote

**Variable:** kp29\_190a, b

**Topic:** Voting decision

**Item:** Vote intention: first/second vote

**Filter:**

kp29\_170=1-3

**Presentation:**

Display sample ballot paper with first and second vote; down below "don't know"-category for first and second vote.

**Intro:**

You have two votes in the federal election. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party.

**Question:**

How will you mark your ballot?

(A) First vote:

- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- GRÜNE (Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Die Linke
- BSW (Bündnis Sahra Wagenknecht)
- other party
  
- don't know

(B) Second vote:

- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- GRÜNE (Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Die Linke
- BSW (Bündnis Sahra Wagenknecht)
- other party
  
- don't know

**Coding:**

- (4) SPD
- (1) CDU/CSU
- (6) GRUENE
- (5) FDP
- (322) AfD
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (392) BSW
- (801) other party
  
- (-98) don't know

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kp29\_192a, b were recoded into the variables kp29\_190aa/kp29\_190ab and kp29\_190ba/kp29\_190bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).

**Variable:** kp29\_192a

**Topic:** Voting decision

**Item:** Vote intention: first vote, other party

**Filter:**

kp29\_190a=801

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**

And which candidate would you vote for with your FIRST vote?

Candidate of...

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- Wir Bürger (Wir Bürger. Liberal. Konservativ.)
- HEIMAT (Die Heimat)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other Party

**Codierung:**

(122) BD

(396) DAVA

(151) Die PARTEI

(372) dieBasis

(180) FREIE WAEHLER

(209) oedp

(376) PdF

(215) PIRATEN

(237) Tierschutzpartei

(364) Volt

(801) other Partei

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp29\_190a were recoded into the variables kp29\_190aa and kp29\_190ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation). Due to a programming error, the party list differs in part from the variable kp29\_192b.]



**Variable:** kp29\_192b**Topic:** Voting decision**Item:** Vote intention: second vote, other party**Filter:**

kp29\_190b=801

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**

And which party would you vote for with your SECOND vote?

- BÜNDNIS DEUTSCHLAND
- DAVA (Demokratische Allianz für Vielfalt und Aufbruch)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PdF (Partei des Fortschritts)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other Party

**Codierung:**

(122) BD

(396) DAVA

(151) Die PARTEI

(372) dieBasis

(180) FREIE WAEHLER

(209) oedp

(376) PdF

(215) PIRATEN

(237) Tierschutzpartei

(364) Volt

(801) other Partei

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp29\_190b were recoded into the variables kp29\_190ba and kp29\_190bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

**Variable:** kp29\_221a, c-g, i, m

**Topic:** Voting decision      **Item:** Consideration Set

**Filter:**

(kp29\_190b>0 & <801) | (kp29\_192b>0 & <801) >> Receive intro and question

(kp29\_190b=801) & (kp29\_192b=-99 | kp29\_192b=801) >> Do not receive any intro but ONLY question

**Presentation:**

Standard matrix. The party that was chosen for the second vote in kp29\_190b, must not appear in the answering options.

**Intro:**

You said that you want to cast your second vote for (insert party from 190b/192b).

**Question:**

Are there any other parties you would consider for your second vote?

- (C) SPD
- (A) CDU/CSU
- (E) GRÜNE
- (D) FDP
- (I) AfD
- (F) Die Linke
- (M) BSW
- (G) other party

**Coding:**

- (1) would consider
- (2) would rather consider
- (3) would rather not consider
- (4) would not consider

---

**Variable:** kp29\_211a, c-g, i, m**Topic:** Voting decision      **Item:** Consideration Set, hypothetical**Filter:**

kp29\_170=4-5,-99 &gt;&gt; Receive intro and question

kp29\_190b= -98 | -99 &gt;&gt; Do not receive any intro but ONLY question

**Presentation:**

Standard matrix

**Intro:**

Let's assume you would take part in the election.

**Question:**

Which parties would you consider for your second vote?

- (C) SPD
- (A) CDU/CSU
- (E) GRÜNE
- (D) FDP
- (I) AfD
- (F) Die Linke
- (M) BSW
- (G) other party

**Coding:**

- (1) would consider
- (2) would probably consider
- (3) would probably not consider
- (4) would not consider

---

**Variable:** kp29\_380

**Topic:** Involvement in election campaign

**Item:** Interest in the outcome of the Election

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**

How important is the outcome of the upcoming federal election to you personally?

- very important
- important
- in between
- not very important
- not important at all

**Coding:**

- (1) very important
- (2) important
- (3) in between
- (4) not very important
- (5) not important at all

---

**Variable:** kp29\_390**Topic:** Involvement in election campaign**Item:** Interest in election campaign**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**

How interested are you in particular in this federal election campaign?

- very interested
- somewhat interested
- in between
- not very interested
- not at all interested

**Coding:**

- (1) very interested
- (2) somewhat interested
- (3) in between
- (4) not very interested
- (5) not at all interested

**Variable:** kp29\_421ka-em, y

**Topic:** Involvement in election campaign

**Item:** Contact with parties

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Checkbox matrix; possibilities of contact in rows; parties in columns. The variable names should follow the following schemata. Kp29\_421[Item-Code][Party-Code]; Below the matrix, there is a checkbox "None of the above applies."; Please program so that the respondent cannot make inconsistent answers (such as marking a party and the checkbox "None of the above applies."); Text for plausibility check: "The answers are either not in the correct format or the combination of answers is invalid. Please check your entries and correct them in order to continue."; italicize "past week" (" week before the election").

**Intro:**

During the election campaign, there are different ways of acquiring information about the upcoming general election.

**Question:**

From which parties did you receive information during the *past week*?

**Instructions:**

Please checkmark all the parties to which the following statements apply.

I...

- (K) visited websites of a party or a candidate
- (G) saw campaign posters
- (A) attended election meetings or rallies
- (D) saw party political broadcasts on TV
- (E) listened to party political broadcasts on the radio
  
- (Y) none of the above applies

**Coding Parties:**

- (A) CDU/CSU
- (C) SPD
- (I) AfD
- (D) FDP
- (F) DIE LINKE
- (E) GRÜNE
- (M) BSW

**Coding checkboxes wave:**

- (0) not mentioned
- (1) mentioned

**Variable:** kp29\_421ca-im, z

**Topic:** Involvement in election campaign

**Item:** Contact with parties

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Checkbox matrix; possibilities of contact in rows; parties in columns. The variable names should follow the following schemata. kp29\_421 [Item-Code][Party-Code]; Below the matrix, there is a checkbox "None of the above applies."; Please program so that the respondent cannot make inconsistent answers (such as marking a party and the checkbox "None of the above applies."); Text for plausibility check: "The answers are either not in the correct format or the combination of answers is invalid. Please check your entries and correct them in order to continue."; italicize "past week" (" week before the election").

**Question:**

And which of the following information did you receive during the *past week*?

**Instructions:**

Please checkmark all the parties to which the following statements apply

I...

- (H) had conversations at an election campaign booth
- (C) received e-mails or text messages from parties.
- (F) received information material from parties, such as flyers, leaflets, pamphlets or mailings.
- (I) received information material via a social network like for example Facebook or others.
- (Z) none of the above applies

**Coding parties:**

- (A) CDU/CSU
- (C) SPD
- (I) AfD
- (D) FDP
- (F) DIE LINKE
- (E) GRÜNE
- (M) BSW

**Coding checkboxes:**

- (0) not mentioned
- (1) mentioned

**Variable:** kp29\_342a, b, c, d

**Topic:** Voting decision

**Item:** fair election

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Standard matrix

**Intro:**

Here are opinions on the election campaign and the 2025 federal election that some people agree with and others don't.

**Question:**

Please state whether you agree or disagree with each statement.

- (A) In the Bundestag election campaign, all parties will have a fair chance to present their positions to the electorate.
- (B) The Bundestag election will be conducted correctly and fairly by the competent authorities.
- (C) Voting by postal ballot is a secure procedure.
- (D) The election is safe from foreign interference.

**Coding:**

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree
- (4) agree
- (5) strongly agree



---

**Variable:** kp29\_840s**Topic:** Valence issues**Item:** Most important problem**Filter:****Presentation:**

Text field (single row) with 100% of the page width, no maximum limited number of characters; italicize "only one".

**Intro:**

You will now be asked about the two most important problems.

**Question:**

In your opinion, what is the most important problem facing Germany today?

**Instructions:**

Please mention *only one* problem. The second most important problem is then asked about.

---

**Coding:**

[Open answers have been coded by coding pattern „Agendafragen“]

**Variable:** kp29\_850

**Topic:** Valence issues

**Item:** Ability to solve the most important problem

**Filter:**

kp29\_840s!=-99

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**

And which party is best able to handle this problem?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- GRÜNE
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- BSW
- other party
  
- all parties equally good
- no party

**Coding:**

(4) SPD

(1) CDU/CSU

(2) CDU

(3) CSU

(6) GRUENE

(5) FDP

(322) AfD

(7) DIE LINKE

(392) BSW

(801) other party

(809) all parties equally good

(808) no party

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp29\_851 were recoded into the variables kp29\_850a and kp29\_850b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

**Variable:** kp29\_851**Topic:** Valence issues**Item:** Ability to solve the most important problem, other party**Filter:**

kp29\_850=801

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**

And which of these parties is best able to handle this problem?

- BÜNDNIS DEUTSCHLAND
- DAVA (Demokratische Allianz für Vielfalt und Aufbruch)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PdF (Partei des Fortschritts)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other Party

**Codierung:**

(122) BD

(396) DAVA

(151) Die PARTEI

(372) dieBasis

(180) FREIE WAEHLER

(209) oedp

(376) PdF

(215) PIRATEN

(237) Tierschutzpartei

(364) Volt

(801) other Party

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp29\_850 were recoded into the variables kp29\_850a and kp29\_850b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

---

**Variable:** kp29\_860s

**Topic:** Valence issues

**Item:** Second most important problem

**Filter:**

kp29\_840s != -99

**Presentation:**

Text field (single row) with 100% of the page width, no maximum limited number of characters; italicize "only one".

**Question:**

In your opinion, what is the second most important problem facing Germany today?

**Instructions:**

Please mention *only one* problem.

---

**Coding:**

[Open answers have been coded by coding pattern „Agendafragen“]

---

**Variable:** kp29\_870**Topic:** Valence issues**Item:** Ability to solve the second most important problem**Filter:**

kp29\_860s != -97 &amp; kp29\_860s != -99

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**

And which party is best able to handle this problem?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- GRÜNE
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- BSW
- other party
  
- all parties equally good
- no party

**Coding:**

- (4) SPD
- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (6) GRUENE
- (5) FDP
- (322) AfD
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (392) BSW
- (801) other party

(809) all parties equally good

(808) no party

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp29\_871 were recoded into the variables kp29\_870a and kp29\_870b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

**Variable:** kp29\_871

**Topic:** Valence issues

**Item:** Ability to solve the second most important problem, other party

**Filter:**

kp29\_870=801

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**

And which of these parties is best able to handle this problem?

- BÜNDNIS DEUTSCHLAND
- DAVA (Demokratische Allianz für Vielfalt und Aufbruch)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PdF (Partei des Fortschritts)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other Party

**Codierung:**

(122) BD

(396) DAVA

(151) Die PARTEI

(372) dieBasis

(180) FREIE WAEHLER

(209) oedp

(376) PdF

(215) PIRATEN

(237) Tierschutzpartei

(364) Volt

(801) other Party

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp29\_870 were recoded into the variables kp29\_870a and kp29\_870b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

---

**Variable:** kp29\_1090**Topic:** Political positions **Item:** Socio-economic dimension, ego**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "lower taxes, although this results in less social services" and "more social services, although this results in raising taxes"

**Intro:**

Now it's all about your opinion on various political issues.

**Question:**

Some people prefer lower taxes, although this results in less social services. Others prefer more social services, although this results in raising taxes.

What is your personal view on this issue?

- lower taxes, although this results in less social services
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- more social services, although this results in raising taxes

**Coding:**

- (1) 1 lower taxes, although this results in less social services
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 more social services, although this results in raising taxes

**Variable:** kp29\_1130

**Topic:** Political positions **Item:** Immigration foreigners, ego

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "immigration for foreigners should be easier", "immigration for foreigners should be more difficult"

**Question:**

Let's turn to the issue of immigration. Should it be easier or more difficult for foreigners to immigrate?

What is your opinion on this issue?

- immigration for foreigners should be easier
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

**Coding:**

- (1) 1 immigration for foreigners should be easier
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 immigration for foreigners should be more difficult



---

**Variable:** kp29\_1290

**Topic:** Political positions    **Item:** Climate change, ego

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth", "economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change"

**Question:**

Some say that the fight against climate change should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth. Others say that the economic growth should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change.

What is your personal view on this issue?

- fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth
- 
- 
- 
- 
- economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

**Coding:**

- (1) 1 fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

**Variable:** kp29\_1203

**Topic:** Political positions

**Item:** Arms supplies to Ukraine, Ego

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale with 7 points without numerical labels. Labels of the end points: “In favour of supplying arms to Ukraine“, “Rejection of arms deliveries to Ukraine“.

**Question:**

Some are in favour of supplying arms to Ukraine. Others are against arms deliveries to Ukraine.

What is your opinion on this topic?

- In favour of supplying arms to Ukraine
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- Rejection of arms deliveries to Ukraine

**Coding:**

- (1) 1 In favour of supplying arms to Ukraine
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 Rejection of arms deliveries to Ukraine

---

**Variables:** kp29\_1100; kp29\_1140; kp29\_1300; kp29\_1205

**Topic:** Political positions **Item:** Political positions, importance

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Standard matrix, scale labeled from left “very important” to right “not at all important”

**Question:**

And how important are the following topics to you personally?

(1100) social services and taxes

(1140) immigration of foreigners

(1300) economic growth and fight against climate change

(1205) arms supplies to Ukraine

**Coding:**

(1) very important

(2) important

(3) average

(4) not that important

(5) not important at all

**Variable:** kp29\_2880be, bk, bm, br, cl, cs, df, bq

**Topic:** Political Positions

**Item:** Ego positions

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Standard matrix

**Intro:**

Now it's time for your assessment of political issues. You can more or less agree with the following statements.

**Question screen 1:**

For each statement, please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with it.

(BK) In its dealings with Russia, Germany should rely less on cooperation and more on confrontation.

(BM) Taxes on fossil fuels such as oil, gas and coal should be increased.

(BE) To make sure that this survey is being filled out by a human, please click here on 'disagree'.

(BR) The 'debt brake' should be relaxed.

**Question screen 2:**

What about these statements?

(CS) The rising prices are forcing me to make considerable restrictions in my lifestyle.

(CL) Germany should better hold back on supporting Ukraine, that we are not also attacked.

(DF) The state measures for the equality of women in society already go far too far.

(BQ) Unemployed people should do community service in order to continue receiving state support.

**Coding:**

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

---

**Variable:** kp29\_080a-e**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics**Item:** Left behind, subjective**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix

**Question:**

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

- (A) The economic situation of people like me receives too little attention in society.
- (B) People like me get too little recognition from society for the work that they do.
- (C) Society does not do enough to ensure that people like me get access to basic facilities and services (such as post offices, doctors, banks, public transport, schools, Internet).
- (D) Society pays too little attention to the values of people like me.
- (E) People like me are no longer allowed to express their opinions freely in public.

**Coding:**

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree
- (4) agree
- (5) strongly agree

---

**Variable:** kp29\_2250

**Topic:** Predispositions

**Item:** Fairness social order

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**

Let's talk about the state of society in Germany as a whole. How just or unjust would you say things are generally going?

- very just
- just
- neither just nor unjust
- unjust
- very unjust

**Coding:**

- (1) very just
- (2) just
- (3) neither just nor unjust
- (4) unjust
- (5) very unjust

---

**Variable:** kp29\_2270**Topic:** Predispositions      **Item:** Fairness, ego**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**

In comparison to how other people live here in Germany, do you think that you get a fair share or not?

Do you get...

- a lot less than the fair share
- a bit less than the fair share
- the fair share
- a bit more than the fair share
- a lot more than the fair share

**Coding:**

- (1) a lot more than the fair share
- (2) a bit more than the fair share
- (3) the fair share
- (4) a bit less than the fair share
- (5) a lot less than the fair share

**Variable:** kp29\_2880cm, cn, cf, cz, bz, ca, dc, dd

**Topic:** Political Positions

**Item:** Ego positions

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Standard matrix

**Intro:**

Once again, here are some opinions on politics and society that some people agree with and others do not.

**Question screen 1:**

For each statement, please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with it.

(CM) In times of high inflation rates, the state should provide financial support to needy citizens.

(CN) The state should set price ceilings for energy and staple foods.

(CF) Germany should spend at least two percent of its economic output on defense each year.

(CZ) Germany should have compulsory military service.

**Question screen 2:**

What about these statements?

(BZ) Immigration to Germany should be made easier for qualified workers.

(CA) Immigration to Germany should be made easier for refugees and asylum seekers.

(DC) All in all, Germany is moving in the right direction.

(DD) I find it difficult to be confident about the future of our country.

**Coding:**

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree



---

**Variable:** kp29\_1484a-g**Topic:** Predispositions      **Item:** Country/Politician scalometer**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 " I do not think anything of it at all ", and +5 " I think a lot of it "; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit

**Question:**

In general, what do you think of the following countries and politicians?

**Instructions:**

Please describe this with a scale from -5 to +5.

- (A) United States of America
- (B) China
- (C) Russia
- (D) Vladimir Putin
- (E) Joe Biden
- (F) Donald Trump
- (G) Elon Musk

**Coding:**

- (1) -5 I do not think anything of it at all
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 I think a lot of it

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

**Variable:** kp29\_430a-f, i, m**Topic:** Party ratings in general**Item:** Scalometer parties**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the party at all" and +5 "I think a great deal of the party". "haven't heard of" settled out a bit

**Question:**

What do you think of the different parties in general?

**Instructions:**

Please use a scale from -5 to +5.

- (C) SPD
- (A) CDU
- (B) CSU
- (E) GRÜNE
- (D) FDP
- (I) AfD
- (F) Die Linke
- (M) BSW

**Coding:**

- (1) -5 I do not think much of the party at all
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 I think a great deal of the party

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

**Variable:** kp29\_730**Topic:** Party ratings in general**Item:** Scalometer government**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

**Question:**

Are you more satisfied or less satisfied with the performance of the government of SPD and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen?

- -5 not at all satisfied
- -4
- -3
- -2
- -1
- 0
- +1
- +2
- +3
- +4
- +5 completely satisfied

**Coding:**

- (1) -5 not at all satisfied
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 completely satisfied

---

<b>Variable:</b> kp29_731d-f	<b>Item:</b> Scalometer governing parties
<b>Topic:</b> Party ratings in general	

**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

**Question:**

And considering each of the governing parties separately, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with their performance? How satisfied are you with the performance of the...?

- (D) SPD
- (F) GRÜNE

**Coding:**

- (1) -5 not at all satisfied
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 completely satisfied

---

**Variable:** kp29\_4050**Topic:** Ukraine war**Item:** Ukraine war: government**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied".

**Question:**

How satisfied are you with the work of the federal government in connection with the war in Ukraine?

**Coding:**

- (1) -5 not at all satisfied
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 completely satisfied

---

**Variable:** kp29\_733**Topic:** Party ratings in general**Item:** Government breach: assessment**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -3 to +3 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -3 "very negative" and +3 "very positive".

**Question:**

How do you assess the break-up of the governing coalition between the SPD, Bündnis 90/Die Grünen and the FDP?

**Coding:**

- (1) -3 very negative
- (2) -2
- (3) -1
- (4) 0
- (5) +1
- (6) +2
- (7) +3 very positive

---

**Variable:** kp29\_732a, c, e**Topic:** Party ratings in general**Item:** Government breach: responsibility**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix

**Question:**

To what extent are the following parties and politicians responsible for the break-up of the governing alliance between the SPD, Bündnis 90/Die Grünen and the FDP?

- (A) SPD
- (C) GRÜNE
- (E) FDP

**Coding:**

- (1) no responsibility
- (2) little responsibility
- (3) moderate responsibility
- (4) a lot of responsibility
- (5) a great deal of responsibility

**Variable:** kp29\_650x1, s, o1, y, k1, l1, f2, h2, m2, g2, k2  
**Topic:** Candidates      **Item:** Scalometer politicians

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the person at all", +5 "I think a great deal of the person"; "haven't heard of" - category is settled out a bit

**Question screen 1:**

Please state what you think of some leading politicians.

- (X1) Friedrich Merz
- (S) Christian Lindner
- (O1) Olaf Scholz
- (Y) Sahra Wagenknecht
- (K1) Markus Söder
- (L1) Robert Habeck

**Question screen 2:**

And what do you think of the following politicians?

- (F2) Annalena Baerbock
- (H2) Alice Weidel
- (M2) Boris Pistorius
- (G2) Dietmar Bartsch
- (K2) Lars Klingbeil

**Coding:**

- (1) -5 I do not think much of the person at all
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 I think a great deal of the person

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]



---

**Variable:** kp29\_661a2-d2**Topic:** Candidates**Item:** Attributes of chancellor candidates, Merz**Filter:**

kp29\_650x1!=-71

**Presentation:**

Standard matrix, „ don't know"-category is settled out a bit.

**Question:**

To what extent do the following statements apply or not apply to Friedrich Merz?

(A2) He is assertive.

(B2) He is trustworthy.

(C2) He is a likeable person.

(D2) He has reasonable ideas about how to boost the economy.

- does not apply at all
- rather does apply
- neither applies nor does not apply
- rather applies
- applies completely
  
- don't know

**Coding:**

- (1) does not apply at all
- (2) rather does not apply
- (3) neither applies nor does not apply
- (4) rather applies
- (5) applies completely

(-98) don't know

**Variable:** kp29\_661e1-h1

**Topic:** Candidates

**Item:** Attributes of chancellor candidates, Scholz

**Filter:**

kp29\_650o1!=-71

**Presentation:**

Standard matrix, „ don't know"-category is settled out a bit.

**Question:**

To what extent do the following statements apply or not apply to Olaf Scholz?

(E1) He is assertive.

(F1) He is trustworthy.

(G1) He is a likeable person.

(H1) He has reasonable ideas about how to boost the economy.

- does not apply at all
- rather does apply
- neither applies nor does not apply
- rather applies
- applies completely

- don't know

**Coding:**

(1) does not apply at all

(2) rather does not apply

(3) neither applies nor does not apply

(4) rather applies

(5) applies completely

(-98) don't know

---

**Variable:** kp29\_661i2-l2**Topic:** Candidates**Item:** Attributes of chancellor candidates, Habeck**Filter:**

kp29\_650l1!=-71

**Presentation:**

Standard matrix, „ don't know"-category is settled out a bit.

**Question:**

To what extent do the following statements apply or not apply to Robert Habeck?

(I2) He is assertive.

(J2) He is trustworthy.

(K2) He is a likeable person.

(L2) He has reasonable ideas about how to boost the economy.

- does not apply at all
- rather does apply
- neither applies nor does not apply
- rather applies
- applies completely

- don't know

**Coding:**

(1) does not apply at all

(2) rather does not apply

(3) neither applies nor does not apply

(4) rather applies

(5) applies completely

(-98) don't know

**Variable:** kp29\_661m1-p1

**Topic:** Candidates

**Item:** Attributes of chancellor candidates, Weidel

**Filter:**

kp29\_650h2!=-71

**Presentation:**

Standard matrix, „ don't know"-category is settled out a bit.

**Question:**

To what extent do the following statements apply or not apply to Alice Weidel?

(M1) She is assertive.

(N1) She is trustworthy.

(O1) She is a likeable person.

(P1) She has reasonable ideas about how to boost the economy.

- does not apply at all
- rather does apply
- neither applies nor does not apply
- rather applies
- applies completely
  
- don't know

**Coding:**

(1) does not apply at all

(2) rather does not apply

(3) neither applies nor does not apply

(4) rather applies

(5) applies completely

(-98) don't know

---

**Variable:** kp29\_671**Topic:** Candidates**Item:** Preferred chancellor**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**

Who would you prefer to see as chancellor?

- Friedrich Merz
- Olaf Scholz
- Robert Habeck
- Alice Weidel
  
- none of them

**Coding:**

- (1) Friedrich Merz
- (2) Olaf Scholz
- (3) Robert Habeck
- (5) Alice Weidel
- (4) none of them

**Variable:** kp29\_780

**Topic:** Valence issues

**Item:** Personal economic situation, current

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical), italicize "own".

**Intro:**

Now, let us shift attention to your economic situation.

**Question:**

How would you evaluate your *own* current economic situation?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

**Coding:**

- (1) very good
- (2) good
- (3) neither good nor bad
- (4) bad
- (5) very bad

---

**Variable:** kp29\_770**Topic:** Valence issues**Item:** Responsibility for personal economic situation**Filter:**

kp29\_780=1-5

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical), don't know"-category is settled out a bit.

**Question:**

To what extent do you think that the federal government's policies are responsible for your economic situation?

- a great deal
- a fair amount
- moderately
- not very much
- not at all
  
- don't know

**Coding:**

- (1) a great deal
- (2) a fair amount
- (3) moderately
- (4) not very much
- (5) not at all

(-98) don't know

---

**Variable:** kp29\_790

**Topic:** Valence issues

**Item:** Personal economic situation, future

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical), italicize "own".

**Question:**

What do you think your own economic situation will be a year from now?

- Improved considerably
- Improved somewhat
- Remained the same
- Deteriorated somewhat
- Deteriorated considerably

**Coding:**

- (1) Improved considerably
- (2) Improved somewhat
- (3) Remained the same
- (4) Deteriorated somewhat
- (5) Deteriorated considerably



---

**Variable:** kp29\_820**Topic:** Valenzissues**Item:** General economic situation, current**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**

How would you evaluate the current general economic situation in Germany?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

**Coding:**

- (1) very good
- (2) good
- (3) neither good nor bad
- (4) bad
- (5) very bad

---

**Variable:** kp29\_810

**Topic:** Valence issues

**Item:** Responsibility for general economic situation

**Filter:**

kp29\_820=1-5

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical); don't know"-category is settled out a bit.

**Question:**

To what extent do you think that the government's policies are responsible for the general economic situation in Germany?

- a great deal
- a fair amount
- moderately
- not very much
- not at all
  
- don't know

**Coding:**

- (1) a great deal
- (2) a fair amount
- (3) moderately
- (4) not very much
- (5) not at all

(-98) don't know

---

**Variable:** kp29\_830**Topic:** Valence issues**Item:** General economic situation, future**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**

What do you think the general economic situation in Germany will be a year from now?

- Improved considerably
- Improved somewhat
- Remained the same
- Deteriorated somewhat
- Deteriorated considerably

**Coding:**

- (1) Improved considerably
- (2) Improved somewhat
- (3) Remained the same
- (4) Deteriorated somewhat
- (5) Deteriorated considerably

---

**Variable:** kp29\_050a, e, h, k, l**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics**Item:** Efficacy and duty to vote**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix

**Intro:**

Here are some common statements on politics and society.

**Question:**

Please state whether you agree or disagree with each statement.

- (A) Politicians care about what ordinary people think.
- (E) Politicians try to get in close contact with the population.
- (H) I have the confidence to take active part in a discussion about political issues.
- (K) I am perfectly able to understand and assess important political questions.
- (L) In a democracy, it is the duty of all citizens to vote regularly in elections.

**Coding:**

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree
- (4) agree
- (5) strongly agree

---

**Variable:** kp29\_050c, g, j,**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics**Item:** Principles of democracy & Extremism**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix

**Intro:**

Here are some common statements on politics and society.

**Question:**

Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(C) In general, each democratic party should have the chance to assume government responsibilities.

(G) Everybody should have the right to defend his own view even if the majority dissents on that aspect.

(J) A democracy will not work without a political opposition.

**Coding:**

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

---

**Variable:** kp29\_1600

**Topic:** Media use

**Item:** Internet use, politically current

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical), italicize "past week"

**Question:**

On how many days during the *past week* did you use the Internet to inform yourself about politics?

- not at all
- on one day
- on 2 days
- on 3 days
- on 4 days
- on 5 days
- on 6 days
- on 7 days

**Coding:**

- (1) 0 days
- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

**Variable:** kp29\_1615a, c-f, i, m, y

**Topic:** Media use

**Item:** Use of social media, party-related

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Matrix with checkboxes, kp29\_1615y above the matrix; plausibility check text, if at least one checkbox is set to “Yes” and kp29\_1615y is selected: “The answers are either not in the correct format or the combination of answers is invalid. Please check your entries and correct them to continue.”.

**Question:**

Do you follow political parties or certain politicians on a social network (e.g., YouTube, Facebook, Instagram, TikTok, X/Twitter or Telegram), or have you subscribed to their channels?

(Y) I don’t use any social networks such as YouTube, Facebook, Instagram, TikTok, X/Twitter or Telegram.

- (C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- (A) CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/ Christlich-Soziale Union)
- (E) GRÜNE (Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)
- (D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- (I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- (F) Die Linke
- (M) BSW (Bündnis Sarah Wagenknecht)

- yes, I follow the party
- yes, I follow a politician from this party
- no

**Coding kp29\_1615a, c-f, i, m:**

- (1) yes, I follow the party
- (2) yes, I follow a politician from this party
- (3) yes, I follow the party and a politician from that party
- (4) no, I don’t follow
- (5) no, I don’t use any social networks

**Coding kp29\_1615y:**

- (1) not mentioned
- (2) mentioned

[NOTE: The answers for each party were summarised in one variable with the values under „Coding kp29\_1615a, c-f, i.“]

---

**Variable:** kp29\_1610

**Topic:** Media use

**Item:** Use of social media, politically current

**Filter:**

kp29\_1615y = 1

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical), italicize "past week"

**Question:**

On how many days during the *past week* did you see or read posts about politics on social networks such as YouTube, Facebook, Instagram, TikTok, X/Twitter or Telegram?

- not at all
- on one day
- on 2 days
- on 3 days
- on 4 days
- on 5 days
- on 6 days
- on 7 days

**Coding:**

- (1) 0 days
- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days



**Variable:** kp29\_1616a-c, y

**Topic:** Media use

**Item:** Online participation, politically current

**Filter:**

kp29\_1615y = 1

**Presentation:**

Standard matrix with wrapping; bottom button with the information 'I have not commented on, shared or written any political content myself'; 'last week' in the question text highlighted in italics; plausibility check text "The answers are either not in the correct format or the combination of answers is invalid. Please check your entries and correct them to continue", if kp29\_1616y=1 and an entry of more than 0 days at kp29\_1616a-c.

**Question:**

On how many days during the *past week* did you comment on, share, or write political content on a social network such as YouTube, Facebook, Instagram, TikTok, X/Twitter, Telegram?

- (A) liked or commented on other users' political posts
- (B) forwarded or shared other users' political posts
- (C) wrote and posted political content on my own
  
- (Y) I didn't comment on, share or write any political content.

**Coding kp29\_1616a-c:**

- (1) 0 days
- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

**Coding kp29\_1616y:**

- (1) mentioned
- (2) not mentioned

**Variable:** kp29\_1681a-f

**Topic:** Media use

**Item:** Use of TV, news, current

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Standard matrix with wrapping in responsive design, depending on the screen width as a matrix or with answer options one below the other. Bottom button with the statement 'I do not watch TV news.'; highlight 'on average' in italics in the question text; please programme so that respondents cannot make any inconsistent statements (i.e. 1681f = 1 & 1681a-e != 0). Text for plausibility check: "The answers are either not in the correct format or the combination of answers is invalid. Please check your entries and correct them to continue."

**Question:**

On how many days per week, on average, do you watch one of the following news programmes or read political reports on their websites?

**Instructions:**

It is irrelevant whether you watched the news programmes on television or online or read political reports on the news programme's website.

- (A) Tagesschau/Tagesthemen (ARD)
- (B) Heute/Heute Journal (ZDF)
- (C) RTL Aktuell
- (D) Sat.1 Nachrichten
- (E) news programme of other channel (e.g. n-tv, Pro7)
  
- (F) I didn't watch any news programmes on TV or read any political reports on their websites.

**Coding kp29\_1681a-e:**

- (1) 0 days
- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

**Coding kp29\_1681f:**

- (1) mentioned
- (2) not mentioned

**Variable:** kp29\_910a, b, c, e, f, g, i, k, l, m

**Topic:** Strategic Voting    **Item:** Scalometer coalitions

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; Scale: -5 to +5 with numerical label; with zero point; Label: -5 "not desirable at all", +5 "very desirable"

**Question screen 1:**

Regardless of which coalition governs and how likely the following coalitions are at the moment, how desirable are these coalition governments at federal level for you personally?

Coalition of...

- (A) CDU/CSU and SPD
- (E) CDU/CSU and GRÜNE
- (G) CDU/CSU, GRÜNE and FDP
- (L) CDU/CSU, SPD and GRÜNE
- (I) CDU/CSU, SPD and FDP

**Question screen 2:**

And how desirable do you personally think this coalition government is at federal level?

Coalition of...

- (F) SPD, GRÜNE and FDP
- (C) SPD and GRÜNE
- (B) CDU/CSU and FDP
- (K) CDU/CSU and AfD
- (M) AfD and BSW

**Coding:**

- (1) -5 not desirable at all
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 very desirable

**Variable:** kp29\_960a-f, i, m, x, y

**Topic:** Strategic Voting    **Item:** Expected coalition

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Multiple answers; plausibility check, if 'don't know' is selected, all other selected answer options are automatically deselected.

**Question:**

What do you expect, which parties will actually form the government together after the general election?

Coalition of...

- (C) SPD
- (A) CDU
- (B) CSU
- (E) GRÜNE
- (D) FDP
- (I) AfD
- (F) Die Linke
- (M) BSW
- (X) andere Partei
  
- (Y) weiß nicht

**Coding:**

---

**Variable:** kp29\_1050

**Topic:** Strategic voting    **Item:** Perception opinion polls

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical); italicize "past week"

**Question:**

Did you read or see any reports about opinion polls on the expected outcome of the federal election in the *past week*?

- yes
- no

**Coding:**

- (1) yes
- (2) no

---

**Variable:** kp29\_1051

**Topic:** Strategic voting      **Item:** Credibility opinion polls

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**

How credible do you think are the results of opinion polls on the expected outcome of the federal election?

- very credible
- fairly credible
- partly credible/partly non-credible
- not so credible
- not credible at all

**Coding:**

- (1) very credible
- (2) fairly credible
- (3) partly credible/partly non-credible
- (4) not so credible
- (5) not credible at all

**Variable:** kp29\_3010d, f, k, m

**Topic:** Strategic Voting    **Item:** Probability of smaller parties entering Parliament

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Standard matrix

**Question:**

How likely do you think it is for the following parties to be represented in the Bundestag after the federal election?

- (D) FDP
- (F) Die Linke
- (K) Freie Wähler
- (M) BSW

**Coding:**

- (1) definitely not
- (2) probably not
- (3) maybe
- (4) probably
- (5) definitely

**Variable:** kp29\_2090

**Topic:** Predispositions      **Item:** Party identification

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Intro:**

In Germany, many people lean towards a particular party for a long time, although they may occasionally vote for a different party.

**Question:**

How about you, do you in general lean towards a particular party? If so, which one?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- GRÜNE
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- BSW
- other party
  
- no party

**Coding:**

(4) SPD

(1) CDU/CSU

(2) CDU

(3) CSU

(6) GRUENE

(5) FDP

(322) AfD

(7) DIE LINKE

(392) BSW

(801) other party

(808) no party

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp29\_2091 were recoded into the variables kp29\_2090a and kp29\_2090b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]



**Variable:** kp29\_2091**Topic:** Predispositions      **Item:** Party identification, other party**Filter:**

kp29\_2090=801

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**

And which one of these parties do you feel close to?

- BÜNDNIS DEUTSCHLAND
- DAVA (Demokratische Allianz für Vielfalt und Aufbruch)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PdF (Partei des Fortschritts)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- andere Partei

**Coding:**

(122) BD

(396) DAVA

(151) Die PARTEI

(372) dieBasis

(180) FREIE WAEHLER

(209) oedp

(376) PdF

(215) PIRATEN

(237) Tierschutzpartei

(364) Volt

(801) andere Partei

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp29\_2090 were recoded into the variables kp29\_2090a and kp29\_2090b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

---

**Variable:** kp29\_2100

**Topic:** Predispositions      **Item:** Party identification, strength

**Filter:**

kp29\_2090 = 1-800 | (kp29\_2090 = 801 & kp29\_2091 = 1-801)

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**

All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean towards this party?

- very strongly
- fairly strongly
- moderately
- fairly weakly
- very weakly

**Coding:**

- (1) very strongly
- (2) fairly strongly
- (3) moderately
- (4) fairly weakly
- (5) very weakly

**Variable:** kp29\_2095**Topic:** Predispositions      **Item:** Multiple party identification**Filter:**

kp29\_2090=1-801; do not display party named at kp29\_2090 anymore. If kp29\_2090=1 (CDU/CSU), then show neither response option 2 (CDU) nor response option 3 (CSU). If kp29\_2090=2 | 3, then do not display response option 1 and the opposite answer option 2 or 3 at kp29\_2095 anymore.

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**

Some people lean towards more than one party, while others don't. How about you? Do you lean towards another political party?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- GRÜNE
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- BSW
- other party
  
- no other party

**Coding:**

- (4) SPD
- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (6) GRUENE
- (5) FDP
- (322) AfD
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (392) BSW
- (801) other party

(808) no other party

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kp29\_2096 were recoded into the variables kp29\_2095a and kp29\_2095b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

**Variable:** kp29\_2096

**Topic:** Predispositions

**Item:** Multiple party identification, other party

**Filter:**

kp29\_2095=801

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**

And which one of these parties do you feel close to?

- BÜNDNIS DEUTSCHLAND
- DAVA (Demokratische Allianz für Vielfalt und Aufbruch)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PdF (Partei des Fortschritts)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- andere Partei

**Coding:**

(122) BD

(396) DAVA

(151) Die PARTEI

(372) dieBasis

(180) FREIE WAEHLER

(209) oedp

(376) PdF

(215) PIRATEN

(237) Tierschutzpartei

(364) Volt

(801) andere Partei

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kp29\_2095 were recoded into the variables kp29\_2095a and kp29\_2095b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

---

**Variable:** kp29\_2101**Topic:** Predispositions      **Item:** Multiple party identification, strength**Filter:**

kp29\_2095 = 1-800 | (kp29\_2095 = 801 &amp; kp29\_2096 = 1-801)

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**

All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean towards this party?

- very strongly
- fairly strongly
- moderately
- fairly weakly
- very weakly

**Coding:**

- (1) very strongly
- (2) fairly strongly
- (3) moderately
- (4) fairly weakly
- (5) very weakly

---

**Variable:** kp29\_7777a-d**Topic:** Authoritarianism**Item:** Education values**Filter:****Presentation:**

Semantic differential; scale with 5 points with numerical labelling. Labelling of the end points.

**Intro:**

Our next question relates to the basic characteristics of children.

**Question:**

Everyone has different views on what qualities children should have.

What is it like for you?

**Instructions:**

Using the scale from 1 to 5, please indicate which of the opposing characteristics you personally find more important for children today.

(A) Respect for elders - Independence

(B) Self-reliance - Obedience

(C) Good manners - Curiosity

(D) Creativity - Sense of duty

**Coding:**

(1) 1

(2) 2

(3) 3

(4) 4

(5) 5

**Variable:** kp29\_4241a-d

**Topic:** Quality of Data

**Item:** Rating questionnaire: Interesting/Diverse/Long/Difficult

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Standard-Matrix

**Intro:**

Finally, we would like to know what you thought of this survey.

**Question:**

How was the questionnaire?

- (A) Interesting
- (B) Diverse
- (C) Long
- (D) Difficult

**Coding:**

- (1) not at all
- (2) rather not
- (3) partly/partly
- (4) rather
- (5) very

---

**Variable:** kp29\_4270s**Topic:** Quality of Data**Item:** Comment, open**Filter:****Presentation:**

Text field (four rows) with 100% page width, no maximum limit of characters.

**Intro:**

Finally, we would like to give you the possibility to comment on our today's survey. Please express your opinion, praise or criticism in a friendly, factual and respectful manner. Please note, however, that we are unable to reply to your comments for data protection reasons. If you have any questions or suggestions about our study and would like personal feedback, please email us at [gles-studie@gesis.org](mailto:gles-studie@gesis.org).

**Question:**

Do you have comments or suggestions concerning the survey or particular questions?

**Instructions:**

For your own protection, we ask you not to enter any sensitive data such as your name, contact details or other identifying information.

---

**Coding:**