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Share of public sector employment by gender in EU-27

EU-27 is EU without HR. Source: Eurostat, own calculations
The public sector is
- the single most important employer of females in Europe,
- is relatively far more important for female than for male employment,
- likely to influence relative wages.

Is the public sector thus a swing-demandor for female labor?
- How does relative demand affect the gender wage gap (GWG)?
- What is the role played by public labor demand?
Literature Review

**Labor supply/demand effects on relative wages**

- Determinants of relative wages (Freeman 1980; Katz and Murphy 1992)
- Occupational segregation and wages: marketization vs. preferences
- Oligopsony and taste for discrimination (Barth and Dale-Olsen 2009; Blau and Kahn 2016)
- Cultural and institutional differences (Francois 1998; Blau and Kahn 2013; Bertrand 2011)

**Central aspects of the evolution of GWG in the last decades**

(see e.g. Blau and Kahn 2016; Olivetti and Petrongolo 2016)

1. The advancement of female endowments increased the relative importance of the **corrected GWG**.
2. The change in the **sectoral composition** was a major driver of the reduction in GWGs underlining the **importance of demand** as investigated.
Interestingly, Blau and Kahn (2003) remains the only study focusing on both findings within a panel-dataset. In their seminal contribution, they find rather inconclusive effects of labor demand and supply (maybe due to a short time dimension).

Moreover, the influence of public sector employment on the GWG has not been studied so far.

Therefore, we aim to

- build a macro-panel of GWG-estimates (EU-SILC, 2003-2013, 30 countries),
- study the effect of relative labor supply and demand on GWGs, and
- evaluate the role of public labor demand for the evolution of GWGs.
Theoretical model

Sequencing of the crisis on the European labor market

1. Drop in private sector demand for males
2. Drop in public demand for females
3. Adverse supply reactions (e.g. added worker effect)
Theoretical model

Sequencing of the crisis on the European labor market

1. Drop in private sector demand for males
2. Drop in public demand for females
3. Adverse supply reactions (e.g. added worker effect)
Theoretical model

Sequencing of the crisis on the European labor market

1. Drop in private sector demand for males
2. Drop in public demand for females
3. Adverse supply reactions (e.g. added worker effect)
Sequencing of the crisis on the European labor market

1. Drop in private sector demand for males
2. Drop in public demand for females
3. Adverse supply reactions (e.g. added worker effect)
We use cross-sections 2004-2014 for 30 European countries: **283 country-year pairs**

**Sample definition**

- Baseline: Aged 16-65 years, employees
- Restricted: Aged 26-55 years, employees
- Extended: Aged 16-65 years, employees & self-employed

**Income reference period (IRP) and survey date**

- all but UK (IE) have 'fixed' IRPs
- survey date is not harmonized across countries (e.g. IT vs. CZ)
- data is assumed to refer to previous year (exc. UK): 2004-2014 → 2003-2013
Decomposition

\[ \Delta \tilde{w}_{it} = \left( \gamma_{it,m} - \gamma_{it}^* \right) \tilde{X}_{it,m} + \left( \gamma_{it}^* - \hat{\gamma}_{it,f} \right) \tilde{X}_{it,f} + \gamma_{it}^* \left( \tilde{X}_{it,m} - \tilde{X}_{it,f} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Dependent variable: \( w_{it} \) as the mean log-hourly wage

Explanatory variables: two (nearly) homogeneous sets

- PARTTIME EDUC_USEC EDUC_TERT WORKEXP WORKEXP_SQR
- PARTTIME EDUC_USEC EDUC_TERT AGE AGESQR
- Extended set: IMMIGR TEMPJOB BIGFIRM if available
Note on sample selection

Olivetti and Petrongolo (2008) a.o. have shown importance of sample-selection

Controlling for sample-selection

- is 'equivalent' to controlling for labor supply effects
- to assume net-supply effects are zero
- singling out some portion of labor supply

We opt not to control for sample selection!
Empirical specification

Our baseline specification is

\[ \Delta GAP_{i,t} = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \Delta D_{i,t} + \alpha_3 \Delta S_{i,t} + \mu_i + \tau_t + \epsilon_{i,t} \]  

(2)

Dependent variable: \( GAP_{i,t} \) is the difference btw men/women’s average remuneration

Remuneration effect, or wage-gaps corrected for

- human-capital and work-experience differences
- relative importance of the remaining part of GWPs increased steadily
- \( \Rightarrow \) derive 'corrected' GWGs through decomposition
Labor demand and supply

We orientate on the seminal work of Blau and Kahn (2003)

- Relative (female) labor demand measure for country $i$:

\[
D_{i,t} = \sum_s \frac{L^f_{i,s}}{L_i} \Delta \tilde{L}_{i,s,t}
\]  

- Relative labor supply of women $S_{i,t}$: relative abundance of active women in a country’s work force

- Net supply of female labor: $NetS_{i,t} = S_{i,t} - D_{i,t}$
Relative demand and supply in Europe (2001=0)

Source: Eurostat, own calculations; unweighted average
Relative female labor demand in Europe (2001=0)

Source: Eurostat, own calculations; unweighted average
GWG and net supply

Remuneration GAP and net supply of female labor in Europe

Source: Eurostat, own calculations; unweighted average
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Relative Demand ($D$) and Supply ($S$) effects in Europe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Robustness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004-2012</td>
<td>2012 age incl. 26-55 employed check</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\Delta D)$</td>
<td>(1) -1.43*** (3.72)</td>
<td>(3) -1.37*** (-3.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4) -1.32*** (-3.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(6) -2.90*** (-6.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\Delta S)$</td>
<td>(1) 0.95*** (3.39)</td>
<td>(3) 0.93*** (3.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4) 0.66* (2.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(6) 0.79** (2.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta NetS$</td>
<td>(1) 1.14*** (5.15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>FE</th>
<th>FE</th>
<th>FE</th>
<th>FE</th>
<th>FE</th>
<th>GMM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TimeD</td>
<td>incl.</td>
<td>incl.</td>
<td>incl.</td>
<td>incl.</td>
<td>incl.</td>
<td>incl.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The role of the public sector

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Base-line</th>
<th>excl. consol. countries</th>
<th>2003-2009</th>
<th>gender intensity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta D_{public}$</td>
<td>-0.35***</td>
<td>-0.34**</td>
<td>-0.45***</td>
<td>-0.34***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-3.39)</td>
<td>(-2.69)</td>
<td>(-3.91)</td>
<td>(-3.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta D_{private}$</td>
<td>-0.15**</td>
<td>-0.19***</td>
<td>-0.24*</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-2.27)</td>
<td>(-2.92)</td>
<td>(-1.84)</td>
<td>(-1.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta S$</td>
<td>0.23***</td>
<td>0.17**</td>
<td>0.28***</td>
<td>0.21***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3.35)</td>
<td>(2.23)</td>
<td>(3.48)</td>
<td>(2.87)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model FE FE FE FE
TimeD incl. incl. incl. incl.
N 252 211 132 252
Contribution

- We construct an unique panel dataset of GWGs for Europe 2003-2013.
- GWGs can be studied in the time-dimension
- Able to study labor supply and demand effects on GWGs

- We find significant supply and demand effects on GWGs.
- Public sector plays a decisive role → 'swing demander'.
- Budgetary consolidation policies might affect gender outcomes.


