# Innovation process: an analysis of European CIS data

#### Authors : Océane Vernerey and Jimmy Lopez

Preliminary results

Université de Bourgogne(UB) Laboratoire d'Economie de Dijon (LEDi) (ANR-19-CE26-0008-01)

Mannheim 16-17 March 2023



O.Vernerey et J.Lopez

Innovation process on European CIS data

Introduction and motivation Related literature

## Table of contents



Introduction

- Introduction and motivation
- Related literature

Specification of our Model

- Intuition
- Model and estimation



- Sample and variables
- Descriptives Statistics





Introduction and motivation Related literature

## Introduction and motivation

 $\rightarrow$  **Innovation** : plays a crucial role as an economic engine.

 $\rightarrow$  Innovation process : studying the link between input and output innovations

- A lot of studies use the Community Innovation Survey (CIS) :
  - Main source of statistics on business innovation in Europe :
    - to study the determinants of innovation,
    - the complementarity between the types of innovation,
    - the environmental benefit...
  - Currently available on a broad time scale :
    - Ranging from 1998 to 2018.
    - Firms in each member State of the European Union.

Introduction and motivation Related literature

# Introduction and motivation (continued)

Our contribution consists of a version of the **CDM model** (Crépon, Duguet, Mairesse 1998).

Many studies use the CDM model : but this work concerns a **single country**, or a comparison between **two countries** or between **two waves** of surveys...

#### $\rightarrow$ We go further by benefiting from 8 waves and 9 countries.

- to compare between countries and over time.
- We have countries that have not been much studied in the literature.

<ロト <回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Introduction and motivation Related literature

# Related literature

#### Crépon, Duguet, Mairesse (1998) : CISO (France)

- Use the number of patents or sales of innovative products.
- Estimation : Asymptotique Least Squares.
- Positive effect of R&D on innovation and of innovation on productivity.

#### Mairesse Mohnen Kremp (2005) : CIS3 (France)

- Use sales of innovative products (continuous measure).
- Estimation : two generalized Tobit.
- Positive effect of R&D on innovation and of innovation on productivity.

#### Parisi et al (2005) : Italian manufacturing firm

- Use product and process innovation (discret measure)
- Estimation : Bivariate Probit
- Positive effect of R&D on product innovation (not on process innovation).
- Positive effect of Process innovation on productivity.

Introduction and motivation Related literature

# Related literature

#### Griffith, Huergo, Mairesse, Peters (2006) : CIS3 (FR, DE, ES, UK)

- Use product and process innovation (discrete measure).
- Bivariate Probit.
- Positive effect of R&D on product and process innovation.
- Positive effect of product innovation on productivity but not process innovation.

#### Hajjem, Garrouste, Ayadi (2015) : CIS6 (France)

- Use product, process, marketing and organisational innovation (discret).
- Estimation : Multivariate Probit.
- Positive effect of R&D on all of types of innovation.
- Positive effect of marketing and organisational innovation on productivity.
- Negative effect of product innovation on productivity.

 $\rightarrow$  From this overview, we see that there is degree of heterogeneity in the findings according to specification.

Intuition Model and estimation

# Intuition of the CDM model

#### CDM = Crépon, Duguet, Mairesse (1998)

 $\Rightarrow$  original empirical approach in order to clarify the stages of the innovation process.

- **STEP 1** : Firms invest in R&D.
- **STEP 2** : R&D expenditures generate (or not) innovations
- **STEP 3** : Innovative firms improve their productivity.

Research return is therefore the result of two processes :

- a research-innovation link,
- an innovation-productivity link.

Intuition Model and estimation

## Model

$$\begin{cases} (1) & Rd_{i} = \sum_{k} x_{1i}^{k} b_{1}^{k} + u_{1i} \\ (2) & In(R_{i}) = \sum_{k} x_{2i}^{k} b_{2}^{k} + u_{2i} \\ (3) & Id_{i} = Rd_{i}\beta_{3} + \sum_{k} x_{3i}^{k} b_{3}^{k} + u_{3i} \\ (4) & In(I_{i}) = In(R_{i})\gamma_{4} + \sum_{k} x_{4i}^{k} b_{4}^{k} + u_{4i} \\ (5) & In(Y_{i}) = In(I_{i})\gamma_{5} + \sum_{k} x_{5i}^{k} b_{5}^{k} + u_{5i} \end{cases}$$
(1)

- $Rd_i$  and  $In(R_i)$  are respectively probability and intensity of R&D.
- $Id_i$  and  $In(I_i)$  are respectively probability and intensity of innovation.
- $In(Y_i)$  is the performance of the firm *i*.
- x<sub>i</sub> five vectors of k covariates.
- $b_{.}, \beta_{.}$  and  $\gamma_{.}$  the parameters, and  $u_{.i}$  five error terms.
- $\rightarrow$  Estimation by maximum likelihood :
  - two Type II tobit models [eq(1-2) and eq(3-4)],
  - and one linear regression for [eq(5)].

Sample and variables Descriptives Statistics

# Sample and variables

Use the European Community Innovation Survey (CIS) by Eurostat :

• Harmonized survey that covers firms in each EU Member State in several waves (= three years).

Our sample (500 000 firms) :

• 8 waves from 1998 to 2016, and 9 countries : (Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Estonia, Spain, Hungary, Lithuania, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia)

#### Our dependant variable :

- In(R<sub>i</sub>) → R&D intensity : the logarithm of the ratio of continuous R&D expenditure to the turnover.
- In(I<sub>i</sub>) → Innovation intensity : the logarithm of the share of sale of new product in turnover.
- In(Y<sub>i</sub>) → Firm's performance : approximated by the growth of turnover over the period.

**Covariates** : size of firm, share of market, group, cooperation and fixed effects...

O.Vernerey et J.Lopez

Sample and variables Descriptives Statistics

## **Descriptives Statistics**

#### Selection extend :

- CIS3 contains the largest share of firms without innovation activity (71.22%).
- Other CIS waves quite similar (contain on average 60% of firms without innovation activity).

#### Innovation sample :

- Innovative firms are more likely to be part of a group (39.5% versus 21.3%).
- Innovative firms are more engaged in R&D (52% versus 5%).
- Innovative firms cooperate more (38.1% versus 4%).
- Innovative firms sell more on the international market (67.3% versu 40.1%) .
  - Less difference for the local and national market.

<ロト <回 > < 回 > < 回 >

## Main results

VADIABIES	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
VARIABLES	R&D (proba)	R&D (log)	Innov (proba)	Innov (log)	Perform (log)
SAMPLE	Full	R&D>0	Full	Innov>0	Full
[50;249] employees (dummy)	0.005	-0.19*	0.135***	-0.071***	0.019***
[]	[0.0074]	[0.0102]	[0.0056]	[0.0157]	[0.0029]
≥ 250 employees (dummy)	0.115***	0.170***	0.227***	-0.099***	0.021***
	[0.0115]	[0.0160]	[0.0086]	[0.0226]	[0.0041]
Group (dummy)	0.117***	0.113***	0.088***	0.010	-0.003
	[0.0067]	[0.0085]	[0.0060]	[0.0131]	[0.0029]
Market share (log)	0.129***	-0.317***			
	[0.0026]	[0.0039]			
National market (dummy)	0.455***				
	[0.0111]				
International market (dummy)	0.927***				
	[0.0109]				
Cooperation (dummy)			1.103***		
			[0.0071]		
R&D probability (dummy)			1.529***		
			[0.0175]		
R&D intensity (log)				0.226***	
				[0.0210]	
Innovation intensity (log)					0.024***
~					[0.0014]
Observations			504 660		
W	ald chi2(443) = 20	5484.42   Prob	> chi2 = 0.0000		
	Standar	d errors in brac	kets		

\*\*\* p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \* p<0.1

Ξ.

・ロト・(部・・ヨ・・ヨ・)

## Results by countries and by waves

COUNTRY	BG	CZ	EE	ES	HU	LT	РТ	SK*
<b>R&amp;D intensity</b> (log)	-0.105	0.512***	0.417***	0.152***	0.347	0.913***	0.437***	0.418***
(on innovation intensity (log))	[0.1293]	[0. 06821]	[0.2109]	[0.0229]	[0.2478]	[0.1495]	[0.0080]	[0.1905]
Innovation intensity (log)	0.026***	0.028***	0.054***	0.012***	0.037***	0.023***	0.032***	0.028***
(on performance (log))	[0.0043]	[0.0038]	[0.0078]	[0.0021]	[0.0054]	[0.0086]	[0.0035]	[0.0095]
Observations	98 518	41 278	12 441	197 244	31 486	14 110	42 947	14 945

With waves and sectors fixed effects

Standard errors in brackets

\*\*\* p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \* p<0.1

WAVE	CIS3	CIS4	CIS6	CIS8	CIS10	CIS12	CIS14	CIS16
	(1998-2000)	(2002-2004)	(2004-2006)	(2006-2008)	(2008-2010)	(2010-2012)	(2012-2014)	(2014-2016)
R&D intensity (log) (on innovation intensity (log))	0.138 [0.1173]	0.236*** [0. 0699]	0.284*** [0.0643]	0.275*** [0.0558]	0.192*** [0.0492]	0.145*** [0.0552]	0.203*** [0.0549]	0.241*** [0.0546]
Innovation intensity (log) (on performance (log))	0.036*** [0.0088]	0.039*** [0.0048]	0.034*** [0.0041]	0.019*** [0.0036]	0.020*** [0.0038]	0.022*** [0.0040]	0.011** [0.0045]	0.021*** [0.0034]
Observations	19 521	43 611	61 267	68 453	63 795	59 881	57 660	63 836

With country and sector fixed effects

Standard errors in brackets

\*\*\* p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \* p<0.1

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

# Conclusion

#### Conclusion :

- We find a **positive effect** of R&D intensity on innovation intensity.
- We find a **positive effect** of innovation intensity on performance.
- We find a certain **heterogeneity** between countries and over time.

#### Extensions :

- Add control variables : for exemple financial support.
- Testing alternative estimation methods and sensitivity analysis.
- Add other types of innovation and check the complementarity.
- Integrate the innovation process with the impact of anti-competitive regulations on the labor market.

### Thank you for your attention !

O.Vernerey et J.Lopez

Innovation process on European CIS data

14 / 14

æ

イロン 不良 とくほど 不良と